

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

JOHN BARTON PAYNE, Secretary

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GEORGE OTIS SMITH, Director

Bulletin 715—M

PERMIAN SALT DEPOSITS OF THE  
SOUTH-CENTRAL UNITED STATES

BY

N. H. DARTON

Wpisano do inwentarza  
ZAKŁADU GEOLOGII

Dział B Nr. 728  
Dnia 8. III 1947

Contributions to economic geology, 1920, Part I  
(Pages 205-223)

Published April 28, 1921

Bibl. Kat. Nauko Ziemi

Dep. No 8.



WASHINGTON

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE


1921

0





Второго декабря  
1894 года  
Секретарь  
Два







## CONTENTS.

	Page.
Introduction.....	205
Age.....	206
Stratigraphic relations.....	206
Structure.....	207
Kansas.....	207
Oklahoma.....	213
Texas.....	214
Data from borings.....	214
Spur.....	216
McLean.....	217
Kent, Scurry, and Garza counties.....	217
Big Spring.....	218
Wells north of Amarillo.....	218
Memphis.....	218
Quanah.....	218
San Angelo.....	218
Buena Vista.....	218
Upland.....	219
Reeves County.....	219
New Mexico.....	219
General features.....	219
Carlsbad.....	219
Roswell region.....	220
Artesia region.....	221
Lesbia.....	221
Tucumcari.....	221
Limits.....	221
Area and tonnage.....	222
Origin.....	222

## ILLUSTRATIONS.

	Page.
PLATE XXI. Map of salt basin in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Kansas.....	206
XXII. Sections across the salt deposits of central Kansas.....	208
XXIII. Records of deep borings in southern Kansas and north- western Oklahoma.....	210
XXIV. Records of borings in Woods and Alfalfa counties, Okla.....	212



	Page.
FIGURE 31. Record of boring at McPherson, Kans-----	208
32. Records of borings in central Kansas-----	209
33. Records of borings in south-central Kansas-----	211
34. Records of deep borings in northwestern Texas-----	215
35. Record of boring at Childress, Tex-----	217
36. Record of boring near Shamrock, Tex-----	217
37. Record of Hapgood boring, 28 miles north of Amarillo, Tex-----	218
38. Section across southeastern New Mexico from Sacramento Mountain through Carlsbad-----	220
39. Record of boring 8 miles east of Carlsbad, N. Mex-----	221
40. Record of boring 13 miles north-northeast of Roswell, N. Mex-----	222

## ILLUSTRATIONS.

PLATE XXI. Map of salt basin in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Kansas-----	208
XXII. Section across the salt deposits of central Kansas-----	209
XXIII. Records of deep borings in southern Kansas and northwestern Oklahoma-----	210
XXIV. Records of borings in Woods and Alfalfa counties, Okla-----	212





## PERMIAN SALT DEPOSITS OF THE SOUTH-CENTRAL UNITED STATES.

By N. H. DARTON.

### INTRODUCTION.

During the last few years extensive drilling for oil, gas, and water has revealed a vast deposit of salt constituting part of the Permian succession in eastern New Mexico and northwestern Texas and Oklahoma. The northern extension of the deposit in the Hutchinson-Lyons area, central Kansas, has been known for many years. The limits of this deposit, especially to the northwest and south, have not been ascertained, but in general the area of thick salt extends fully 650 miles from north to south and 150 to 250 miles from east to west. The thickness and succession of beds are variable, but 700 feet is reported in one hole, and in many places the aggregate is more than 300 feet. These facts indicate that it is the largest known salt deposit in the world. (See Pl. XXI.)

In this report will be given a brief statement of the principal data so far obtained, especially with the purpose of stimulating the collection of further information regarding the succession of salt beds, the nature of the associated strata, and the limits of the several deposits. To this end it is important that those who are drilling in the general region should keep careful records of the strata and collect samples of salts and brines for testing. The United States Geological Survey, in cooperation with the Texas Bureau of Economic Geology and Technology, maintains a field laboratory near Amarillo, where D. D. Christmer, chemist in charge, provides for the collection and general testing of samples without charge.

In most processes of deep drilling there is considerable difficulty in recognizing salt because of its solubility in water, and for that reason many records fail to give reliable information regarding the presence and thickness of salt beds. Some records of borings passing through salt beds either fail to refer to them at all or group them with the insoluble sediments as "shale, salty," "sand and salt," "brine and red sand." Owing to these reasons many of the data given in the following pages are not as reliable as might be wished.



Some borings in whose logs no salt is recorded are in areas that are known almost certainly to be underlain by salt.

In connection with the endeavor to find potassium salts in the United States it is believed that the place in which such deposits are most likely to occur is in this great basin of saline accumulation, where the mother liquors of the sodium chloride might at some time have become so desiccated that their potash content was laid down in small local basins. Many tests have been made of salt and brines from borings in the area treated in this report, and invariably the potassium content has been far too low to have any commercial significance. However, the districts not yet explored by borings are very extensive, and there is a possibility that commercial deposits of potassium salts will yet be found. Such a discovery is not likely to be made, however, unless there is careful collection and testing of samples of salts and brines.

#### AGE.


The great salt succession occurs undoubtedly in the Permian series, in beds which belong to the Manzano group in New Mexico and the Marion formation in Kansas. The classification of the beds in Kansas is made by the geologists of that State. The section of the rocks of central Kansas (Pl. XXII) shows the stratigraphic relations. From the evidence in New Mexico it is certain that the salt is in the underground extension of the Manzano deposits, which underlie the Triassic in the eastern part of the State. The cross section in figure 38 (p. 220) shows the broader relations to the structure and stratigraphy.

#### STRATIGRAPHIC RELATIONS.

The salt beds occur in a succession of red shales and sandstones and are associated with more or less gypsum, anhydrite, dolomite, and limestone. The salt is in bodies that vary in thickness and are doubtless lenticular. The records are not comparable in detail, although no doubt part of the diversity is due to the imperfections in the records themselves. In some areas apparently there is an upper and a lower series of salt measures, and some of the holes probably did not reach the lower series.

The records given in this report illustrate the principal features of the stratigraphic relations of the salt measures, especially the two detailed sections of shafts at Lyons and Little River, Kans. (p. —). Unfortunately many of the records do not state the true nature of the beds, failing particularly to recognize anhydrite, which in many records is reported as limestone or other rock. In Kansas there





MAP OF SALT BASIN IN NEW MEXICO, KANSAS, OKLAHOMA, AND TEXAS.







appears to be but little anhydrite or gypsum interbedded in the salt measures or closely associated with them, although thick deposits of gypsum are reported from both higher and lower horizons. In Oklahoma, Texas, and New Mexico gypsum and anhydrite occur abundantly in close association with the salt, notably at Carlsbad, where the main body of salt is overlain by 185 feet of anhydrite and underlain by 1,325 feet of it. With the present lack of knowledge as to the true nature of the materials penetrated in most of the borings it is not possible to recognize any definite order of the strata in the salt succession.

### STRUCTURE.

In general, the great Permian salt deposit lies in a wide, flat, shallow syncline, and the thickest salt beds occupy approximately the bottom of the basin. There are also local structural features of various kinds, most of which have not been determined, especially in the wide area of the Staked Plains, where the strata are deeply buried beneath sands of Tertiary age. It may be that the basin structure has persisted from Permian time and influenced the location of the sea from which the salt was deposited. This question, as affecting the western Texas area, has been discussed by Udden.<sup>1</sup>

### KANSAS.

Many published data<sup>2</sup> show that a continuous body of thick salt beds underlies an area of at least 7,000 square miles in central Kansas. The salt is worked as a commercial product at several localities, notably near Hutchinson and Lyons, which are the centers of the industry. The sections in Plate XXII show the principal relations of the deposit as determined by borings. The salt measures, which are from 200 to 400 feet thick in the major deposits, are regarded as an upper member of the Marion formation, of Permian age. They are overlain by 200 to 400 feet of the Wellington shale, which separates them from the Permian "Red Beds" (Cimarron group). The salt thins out toward the east and presumably also toward the north, but it may extend to the Nebraska line. Its relations to the northwest and west are not known, for beyond Great Bend the deepest wells have not reached it.

The principal features of the Kansas salt measures are shown in Plates XXII and XXIII and figures 31 to 33. Some of the details are taken from the report by Kirk and Haworth, and others have been obtained from the drillers.

<sup>1</sup> Udden, J. A., Potash in the Texas Permian: Texas Univ. Bull. 17, p. 51, 1915.

<sup>2</sup> Kirk, M. Z., and Haworth, Erasmus, Salt: Kansas Univ. Geol. Survey Mineral Resources, 1898.






FIGURE 31.—Record of boring at McPherson, Kans.


salt beds. A record of the McPherson boring is given in figure 31.

The following table gives the principal data available as to wells in the south-central part of the State:

*Borings in south-central Kansas which penetrated salt beds.*

Locality.	Depth (feet).	Principal materials.
Harper, 3 miles southeast of.....	+3,300	Shale, red, brown, and gray, to 815 feet; salt at 818-824, 946-960, and 970-978 feet.
Ashland, sec. 17, T. 31 S., R. 23 W.....	3,003	Salt at 310-535, 625-630, 695-700, 1,220-1,235, 1,705-1,712, 1,810-1,855, 1,860-1,880, 1,885-1,895, 1,900-1,920, 1,930-1,960, 2,000-2,025, 2,035-2,055, and 2,170-2,185 feet.
Minneola, SE. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 10, T. 30 S., R. 25 W.....	3,807	Salt at 690-750, 780-938, 970-1,000, 1,065-1,090, 1,140-1,148, 1,526-1,550, 2,090-2,185, 2,225-2,250, 2,270-2,300, 2,350-2,365, and 3,570-3,577 feet.
Crisfield, sec. 15, T. 33 S., R. 9 W.....	1,659	Salt at 960-985, 1,010-1,022, 1,205-1,215, and 1,230-1,235 feet.
Anthony.....	2,335	Salt at 946-1,221 feet; shale, with some salt, at 1,350-1,490 feet.
Kingman.....	1,393	Salt and shale with three thin limestone beds at 665-1,038 and 1,070-1,080 feet.






SECTIONS ACROSS THE SALT DEPOSITS OF CENTRAL KANSAS.  
After Kansas Univ. Geol. Survey Ann. Bull. Mineral Resources, 1898 (Pl. V).



SECTION 10, T. 10 N., R. 10 E., S. 10 E.

SECTION 11, T. 10 N., R. 10 E., S. 10 E.



SECTION 12, T. 10 N., R. 10 E., S. 10 E.

SECTION 13, T. 10 N., R. 10 E., S. 10 E.






FIGURE 32.—Records of borings in central Kansas.



In the two following records are given the details of the succession of salt and overlying and interbedded strata in the central Kansas region. They are much more accurate than well records.


*Record of shaft of Lyons Rock Salt Co., Lyons, Rice County, Kans.<sup>a</sup>*

	Thick- ness.	Depth.
	<i>Feet.</i>	<i>Feet.</i>
Soil and sandy loam.....	30	30
Sandy loam.....	15	45
Sandstone.....	10	55
Variegated clays.....	12	67
Blue clay.....	13	80
Black shale.....	30	110
Gray sandstone.....	10	120
Red sandstone.....	78	198
Red sandy shale.....	56	254
Red clay.....	18	272
Soft limestone.....	3	275
Gypsum and limestone.....	9	284
Blueshale.....	4	288
Red and blue shale, mixed with gypsum.....	292	580
Dark-gray shale.....	60	640
Reddish-gray shale.....	30	670
Dark-gray shale.....	123	793
Light-gray salt rock.....	2	795
Dark-gray salt and rock.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	795 $\frac{1}{2}$
Light-gray salt rock.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	798
Dark-gray salt rock.....	4	802
Light-gray salt rock.....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	805 $\frac{1}{2}$
Reddish-gray salt rock.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	806
Gray shale.....	8	814
Dark-gray salt rock.....	10 $\frac{1}{2}$	824 $\frac{1}{2}$
Gray shale and salt, mixed.....	3	827 $\frac{1}{2}$
Gray shale.....	4	831 $\frac{1}{2}$
Light-gray salt rocks.....	9	840 $\frac{1}{2}$
Rock salt and shale.....	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	842
Light-gray salt rock.....	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	850 $\frac{1}{2}$
Gray shale.....	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	852
Light-gray salt rock.....	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	860 $\frac{1}{2}$
Shale.....	1	861 $\frac{1}{2}$
Light-gray salt rock.....	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	868
Shale and salt rock, mixed.....	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	870 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dark salt and shale.....	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	879
Crystalsalt.....	4	883
Shale and salt.....	7	890
Dark salt and shale.....	18 $\frac{1}{2}$	908 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dark-red shale.....	6	914 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dark salt and rock.....	10	924 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dark salt, with crystals.....	17	941 $\frac{1}{2}$
Rock and salt and shale.....	19	960 $\frac{1}{2}$
Dark salt and shale.....	21	981 $\frac{1}{2}$
Crystalsalt.....	2	983 $\frac{1}{2}$
Shale.....	1	984 $\frac{1}{2}$
Light-gray salt.....	9 $\frac{1}{2}$	994
Shale.....	$\frac{1}{2}$	994 $\frac{1}{2}$
Light-gray salt and a little shale.....	10	1,004 $\frac{1}{2}$


<sup>a</sup> Kirk, M. Z., and Haworth, Erasmus, op. cit., p. 93.




Sec. 17, T. 31 S.,  
R. 23 W., 12 miles  
north of Ashland,  
Clark County, Kans.




SE 1/4 sec. 10, T. 30 S.,  
R. 25 W.,  
Clark County, Kans.




3 miles east of  
Gate City, Okla.




Sec. 16, T. 26 N., R. 25 W.,  
near Laverne,  
Harper County, Okla.



Sec. 3, T. 21 N., R. 21 W.,  
8 miles southwest  
of Woodward, Okla.



Sec. 1, T. 19 N.,  
R. 25 W., near Arnett,  
Ellis County, Okla.



RECORDS OF DEEP BORINGS IN SOUTHERN KANSAS AND NORTHWESTERN OKLAHOMA.



1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1908

1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907

1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

1875

1876

1877

1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

1887

1888

1889

1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

1906

1907






FIGURE 33.—Records of borings in south-central Kansas.



*Record of shaft of Standard Salt Co., Little River, Rice County, Kans.<sup>a</sup>*

	Thick- ness.	Depth.
	<i>Ft. in.</i>	<i>Ft. in.</i>
Soil.....	2	2
Sandy clay.....	44	46
Soft red shale.....	4	50
Sand and shale.....	15	65
Red and blue shale.....	284	349
Blue shale.....	62	411
Red and blue shale.....	10	421
Red shale.....	13	434
Blue shale.....	6	440
Red and blue shale.....	22	462
Red shale.....	1	463
Blue shale.....	73	536
Gray shale.....	3	539
Blue shale.....	19	558
Salt and shale.....	5	563
Blue shale.....	2	565
Salt and shale.....	10	575
Salt.....	10	585
Shale.....	1	586
Salt.....	6	592
Shale.....	3	595
Salt.....	6 7	601 7
Shale.....	8	609 7
Salt.....	16	625 7
Blue shale.....	2	627 7
Salt.....	3	630 7
Blue shale.....	1	631 7
Red shale.....	6	632 1
Blue shale.....	1 6	633 7
Salt.....	5	638 7
Blue shale.....	1	639 7
Clear crystal salt.....	27	666 7
Shale.....	5	671 7
Salt.....	2	673 7
Shale.....	1	674 7
Crystalline salt.....	22	696 7
Blue shale.....	4 6	701 1
Crystalline salt.....	31	732 1
Blue and red shale.....	1 2	733 3
Salt.....	6 6	739 9
Shale.....	3 6	743 3
Salt and shale.....	6	743 9
Crystallized salt.....	15	758 9
Salt and shale.....	6	759 3
Salt.....	5	764 3
Salt and shale.....	3	767 3
Crystallized salt (planning to work this bed).....	18	785 3
Salt and shale.....	1 6	786 9
Salt.....	9	795 9
Shale.....	4	799 9
Crystallized salt.....	7 6	807 3
Shale.....	9 6	816 9
Salt.....	3 6	820 3
Shale.....	17	837 3
Crystallized salt.....	8	845 3
Shale and gypsum.....	108	953 3
Sand and shale.....	6 10	960 1
Sandstone.....	1 6	961 7
Shale and gypsum.....	16 6	978 1
Blue and red shale.....	10	988 1
Shale and gypsum.....	8	996 1

<sup>a</sup> Kirk, M. Z., and Haworth, Erasmus, op. cit., p. 92.


A boring in progress in sec. 35, T. 21 S., R. 30 W., 25 miles north-east of Garden City, reached a depth of 2,200 feet late in 1919. It passed out of the base of the Dakota sandstone at about 810 feet and finally penetrated several hundred feet of red shale. Strong brines were found at intervals from 1,135 to 1,224 feet, but no beds of salt were reported. Salt beds may exist in this locality, but it is probable that the main salt horizon of the central part of the State was not reached.




U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

BULLETIN 715 PLATE XXIV


Sec. 2, T. 28 N.,  
R. 17 W., 28 miles  
northwest of Alva,  
Woods County, Okla.




NW. 1/4 sec. 8, T. 28 N.,  
R. 16 W., 20 miles  
north of west of Alva,  
Woods County, Okla.



Alva, Okla.



Sec. 27, T. 29 N.,  
R. 9 W.,  
Alfalfa County, Okla.



RECORDS OF BORINGS IN WOODS AND ALFALFA COUNTIES, OKLA.







A 3,055-foot boring in sec. 11, T. 35 S., R. 2 E., in the southeast corner of Sumner County, apparently was sunk beyond the eastern edge of the salt deposit, but no salt is mentioned in the record.<sup>3</sup> Red materials extend from the surface to 773 feet, and gypsum from 773 to 993 feet, with shale and limestone below.

### OKLAHOMA.

The great Permian salt deposit has been penetrated by numerous borings in western Oklahoma, but useful data regarding the salt beds have been given in only a few of the records. R. K. Bailey, of the United States Geological Survey, visited several of the wells in 1917 and 1918 and obtained records and samples for testing. The greatest thicknesses of salt reported were 580 feet at Gate and 540 feet in the Cosden well, northwest of Alva. Smaller amounts were reported in the records of other holes in Harper, Woods, Alfalfa, Woodward, and Ellis counties. Several deep holes, such as those near Enid and Fairview, Okla., and Quanah, Tex., report little or no salt, but this may be due to imperfect observations. For the same reason the reported thickness at Woodward, Arnett, Alva, and Laverne may be too low. The following are the principal data available:

*Deep holes in western Oklahoma in the records of which thick beds of salt were reported.*

Locality.	Depth (feet).	Materials.
Laverne.....	1,620	Red beds to 700 feet, with salt at 420-470 feet, gypsum at 480-490 feet, and salt at 490-500 feet; shale (some of it red) at 700-1,620 feet.
Alva, near.....	3,681	Red beds to 1,100 feet; salt at 1,550-1,640 and 1,680-1,700 feet; shale and salt at 1,780-1,800 feet.
Alva, 20 miles north of west of, NW. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 8, T. 27 N., R. 16 W.	3,915	Salt at 1,020-1,030, 1,035-1,045, 1,050-1,170, 1,900-2,040, 2,062-2,100, 2,115-2,230, and 2,250-2,300 feet; "sand and salt" at 1,860-1,900 feet; brines at 2,010, 2,750, 3,175, 3,250, 3,333, 3,835, and 3,915 feet. (See Pl. XXIV.)
Alva, 28 miles northwest of, NW. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 2, T. 28 N., R. 17 W.	1,330	Salt at 1,040-1,080 feet; clay and salt at 964-970 and 1,010-1,030 feet. (See log, Pl. XXIV.)
Alfalfa County, sec. 27, T. 29 N., R. 9 W..	2,725	Red beds to 780 feet; shales and limestones below. Salt at 880-920, 1,100-1,120, 1,230-1,250, 1,260-1,280, 1,301-1,330, and 1,340-1,427 feet. (See log, Pl. XXIV.)
Gate, three-fourths mile east of, sec. 3, T. 5 N., R. 28 E.	2,840	Salt at 467-567, 572-585, 620-630, 670-720, 1,045-1,048, 1,160-1,166, 1,252-1,288, 1,290-1,395, 1,405-1,430, 1,990-1,997, 2,010-2,020, 2,035-2,040, 2,050-2,063, 2,072-2,087, and 2,107-2,190 feet; anhydrite at 2,370-2,395 feet. (See log, Pl. XXIII.)
Woodward, 8 miles southwest of, sec. 3, T. 21 N., R. 21 E.	a 1,775	Salt at 1,590-1,645 feet, under red beds.
Arnett, sec. 1, T. 19 N., R. 25 E.....	1,740	Salt at 1,430-1,440, 1,495-1,595, and 1,635-1,650 feet. (See log, Pl. XXIII.)
Cimarron County, sec. 22, T. 4 N., R. 1 E.	2,030	No salt mentioned in record, but outside reports refer to salt.
Magnolia County, 3 miles north of Sayre, Beckham County.	a 1,780	Salt samples at 1,345-1,350, 1,395-1,416, and 1,500-1,510 feet.

a In progress.

<sup>3</sup> Kansas Univ. Geol. Survey Bull. 3, p. 345, 1917.



*Deep borings in western Oklahoma in the records of which little or no salt was reported.*

Locality.	Depth (feet).	Materials.
Enid.....	3,365	Red beds at 48-1,000 feet, alternating limestone and shale at 1,000-3,365 feet, with few red sandstones at intervals between 2,165 and 2,660 feet.
Canute, near, sec. 18, T. 11 N., R. 19 W..	541	Shale and sandstone, red and gray.
Alfalfa County, SW. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 13, T. 28 N., R. 11 W.	3,396	Red and brown rocks to 820 feet; limestone, sandstone, and shale below.
Cimarron County, sec. 22, T. 5 N., R. 5 E.	1,583	Red beds, with gypsum.
Cimarron County, sec. 22, T. 4 N., R. 1 E.	2,030	Red beds to 1,940 feet; limestone below.
South Fairview, sec. 33, T. 20 N., R. 12 E.	1,758	Red shale to 1,690 feet; small bed of salt at about 1,590 feet.
Leedy, 4 miles northwest of, SE. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 27, T. 17 N., R. 21 W.	2,010	Poor record.
Clinton, Custer County.....	2,507	Red beds, with some blue shale.
Ellis County, sec. 1, T. 19 N., R. 25 W..	721	Red beds.
Gage, sec. 2, T. 21 N., R. 24 W.	516	Do.
Major County, sec. 33, T. 20 N., R. 12 W..	3,475	Red beds to 1,690 feet; limestone and shale below.
Custer, Custer County.....	2,015	Red beds.
Greer County, SW. $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 24, T. 7 N., R. 21 W.	2,135	Red and brown beds.
El Reno, Canadian County.....	3,315	Do.

## TEXAS.

*Data from borings.*—The presence of salt under the greater part of western Texas is known from many deep borings, most of which have been described by Udden.<sup>4</sup> The record of the hole bored by the United States Geological Survey south of Amarillo and data from other holes since bored add to the evidence. However, in this area, as elsewhere, doubtless the salt has been penetrated by some holes whose drillers have failed to report salt or have not noted the thickness of the several beds. The greatest thickness reported is at Adrian, where the aggregate is 700 feet; other notable records are 680 feet in the Miller hole, 545 feet in the Boden well, and 460 feet or more in the United States Geological Survey well. The following are the principal data available regarding salt deposits in wells in western Texas (see also fig. 34):

*Deep borings in western Texas in the records of which salt beds were reported.*

Locality.	Depth (feet).	Principal materials.
Adrian, Oldham County, 2 miles southwest of.	2,825	Salt at 1,185-1,370 and 2,240-2,440 feet; salt and gypsum at 750-1,185 and 1,370-1,517 feet. (See log.)
Boden field, Potter County.....	2,000	Salt at 640-645, 650-665, 701-710, 720-730, 875-925, 930-950, 1,005-1,230, 1,290-1,460, and 1,690-1,720 feet; salt and silt at 730-745 feet, a few thin layers at 1,720-2,010 feet.
Millerranch, Palo Duro Canyon, 7 miles above Canyon, Randall County.	2,575	Salt and red shale at 940-1,170 feet; salt at 1,390-1,430, 1,435-1,500, 1,508-1,530, 1,570-1,610, 1,635-1,680, 1,710-1,720, 1,830-1,950, 2,025-2,205, 2,212-2,315, and 2,440-2,480 feet.
United States Geological Survey boring, Cliffside, 6 miles northwest of Amarillo, Potter County.	1,703	Salt and shale at 665-860 feet; salt at 972-1,058, 1,116-1,322, and 1,392-1,440 feet; salt and sandstone at 1,475-1,538 feet; anhydrite, shale, and salt at 1,581-1,703 feet.
McLean, Gray County, half a milesouth of.	1,670	Red beds to 1,650 feet at least; considerable salt to 1,670 feet.

<sup>4</sup> Udden, J. A., Potash in the Texas Permian: Texas Univ. Bu. 17, 59 pp., 1915.






FIGURE 34.—Records of deep borings in northwestern Texas.



*Deep borings in western Texas in the records of which salt beds were reported—*  
Continued.

Locality.	Depth (feet).	Principal materials.
Childress, Childress County.....	1,263	Salt at 848-1,098, 1,118-1,138, 1,178-1,203, and 1,218-1,238 feet. (See log, fig. 35.)
Justiceburg, Garza County.....	800	Salt at 585-600 and 770-785 feet and below.
Spur, Dickens County.....	4,489	Salt at 570-580, 633-638, and 732-741 feet; much salt with shales at 900-1,250 feet.
Post, Garza County.....	1,674	Salt at 1,656-1,674 feet.
Snyder, Scurry County.....	2,500	Salt rock at 655-705, 720-765, 770-775, 785-800, 870-1,020, 1,570-1,600, and 1,660-1,805 feet.
Upland, Upton County.....	1,300	Probably in salt at 1,300 feet.
Buena Vista, Pecos County.....	1,114	Somer rock salt at 962 and 975 feet.
Scoggin, Kent County.....	961	Salt at intervals from 880 to 961 feet.
Shamrock, Wheeler County.....	2,027	"Shale and salt rock" at 935-1,090 feet and near 1,360 feet. (See log, fig. 36.)
Happgood well, 28 miles north of Amarillo, Potter County.....	2,395	Salt in shale at 840-1,300 feet. (See log, fig. 37.)
Masterson well No. 2, 28 miles north of Amarillo, Potter County.....	2,125	No salt reported.
Masterson well No. 3, 28 miles north of Amarillo, Potter County.....	2,195	Salt at 1,325-1,415 feet; "lime salt" at 1,415-1,585 feet.
Bivins well, 28 miles north of Amarillo, Potter County.....	3,010	Salt 200 feet or more at intervals from 700 to 2,680 feet. Red beds at 2,835 to 3,010 feet.
Ranch well No. 1, 28 miles north of Amarillo, Potter County.....	1,900	Salt at 885-920, 1,370-1,382, 1,505-1,550, and 1,590-1,670 feet.
Pullman, Potter County.....	1,276	Rocks salt at 1,143-1,160 feet.
Memphis, Hall County, 5 miles south of.....	1,908	Salt rock, etc., at 550-770 and 1,150-1,290 feet; hard red and blue shale and salt at 1,290-1,580 feet.
Hollowfield No. 1 well, Hall County.....	2,395	Salt at 730-735, 1,080-1,320, and 1,470-1,580 feet.
Oil Issues No. 1 well, Oldham County.....	1,485	Salt 80 feet at intervals from 660 to 1,140 feet.
Holbrook No. 1 well, Potter County.....	<sup>a</sup> 2,020	Salt 160 feet at intervals from 955 to 1,630 feet.
W. & P. Masterson well, Potter County.....	<sup>a</sup> 2,980	Salt at 1,480-1,510, 1,670-1,700, 2,510-2,515, and 2,655-2,755 feet.
Tuck-Trigg well, Potter County.....	3,920	Salt 200 feet at intervals from 665 to 2,460 feet.
Palo Duro well, Randall County.....	2,635	Salt 140 feet at intervals from 310 to 2,630 feet.

<sup>a</sup> In 1920 still drilling in soft red rocks, but granite is reported in borings near by.*Deep borings in western Texas in the records of which little or no salt was reported.*

Locality.	Depth (feet).	Materials.
Glenrio, Deaf Smith County.....	800	Red beds, sandstone, dolomite, and anhydrite.
San Angelo, Tom Green County, 4 miles west of.....	3,967	No red beds below 183 feet; gray limestone and shale.
Littlefield, Hockley County, midway on north line of league 730.....	2,032	Red beds; some lime and sand.
Toyah, Reeves County.....	4,100	Red beds, gypsum, limestone, sandstone, and shale.
Quanah, Hardeman County.....	1,160	Red beds to 1,105 feet, possibly lower; no salt.

*Spur.*—According to Udden,<sup>5</sup> the 4,489-foot hole bored at Spur in 1909 to 1913 revealed three beds of salt at 570 to 580, 633 to 638, and 732 to 741 feet. The lower sands of the red beds, from 900 to 1,250 feet, contained from 15 to 67 per cent of salt, the average being 36 per cent, equivalent to about 105 feet of solid salt. All the salt is in red beds consisting of layers of red sandy shale containing 18 beds of anhydrite and gypsum. A bed of anhydrite extends from 330 to 403 feet, and other thick beds occur at 540 to 570, 603 to 628, and 1,175 to 1,200 feet. Gypsum beds 1 to 15 feet thick occur in the upper 285 feet of the boring. The combined thickness of gypsum and anhydrite is stated by Udden to be at least 250 feet. Below 1,250 feet the hole is in

<sup>5</sup> Udden, J. A., The deep boring at Spur: Texas Univ. Bull. 363, sci. ser. 28, 1914.



dolomite interrupted by minor amounts of sandstone, shale, and anhydrite.

*McLean.*—Udden<sup>6</sup> gives a detailed driller's record of the 1,670-foot boring of the Panhandle Oil & Gas Co. half a mile south of McLean, but it contains meager data as to salt. Gypsum and salt are recorded at 1,235 to 1,240 feet; salt and white lime rock at 1,290 to 1,300 feet; hard and soft lime, red shale, and salt at 1,300 to 1,350 feet; and red-brown shale and salt at 1,589 to 1,593 feet. It is stated that beds of salt of "considerable thickness were penetrated" ending at 1,260 feet and somewhat above 1,670 feet. Large amounts of gypsum and anhydrite were found.

*Kent, Scurry, and Garza counties.*—Udden<sup>7</sup> gives some data regarding the records of borings at Post, Scoggin, Justiceburg, and Snyder which indicate that the salt bed is present but apparently thinner than in other regions to the north. However, most of the records are not very specific as to the amount of salt penetrated.

The Double U boring, near Post, ended in salt at 1,672 to 1,674 feet, and salt appears to have occurred in small bodies down to 1,344 feet, most of it mixed with sand, clay, and anhydrite.

The 2,500-foot hole at Snyder penetrated several beds of "salt rock" below 655 feet, possibly aggregating 100 feet. Alternating thick beds of salt and red rocks occurred from 690 to 800 feet, clay mixed with salt from 870 to 1,020 feet, and salt and shale from 1,570 to 1,600 feet. The salt rock at 2,130 to 2,160 feet was the lowest salt bed.

The Scoggin boring afforded meager data, but it was reported that salt beds were penetrated at intervals from 880 to 961 feet.

The 600-foot well of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway at Justiceburg was in Permian red shale with "sheets of rock salt" from 311 to 585 feet and "pure rock salt" from 586 to 600 feet.




FIGURE 35.—Record of boring at Childress, Tex.




FIGURE 36.—Record of boring near Shamrock, Tex.

<sup>6</sup> Udden, J. A., Potash in the Texas Permian: Texas Univ. Bull. 17, pp. 19-23, 1915.

<sup>7</sup> Idem, pp. 28-37.



*Big Spring.*—It is reported that the well sunk in the courthouse yard at Big Spring in 1892 found salt water at 500 feet and salt from about 900 feet to about 1,340 feet.

*Wells north of Amarillo.*—The record of the well bored by the United States Geological Survey 6 miles northwest of Amarillo is given in figure 34. It penetrated 460 feet or more of salt in several beds between 680 and 1,600 feet. One bed of nearly pure salt was 150 feet thick.

The Hapgood well, bored to a depth of 2,395 feet in section 65, block 018, 28 miles north of Amarillo, had the record shown in figure 37. The record shows red shale with some salt from 840 to 1,300 feet, but the total amount is not given. The hole was later deepened to 2,395 feet, but although no more salt was reported the record is too general to be useful. Several other holes were sunk in




FIGURE 37.—Record of Hapgood boring in sec. 65, block 018, 28 miles north of Amarillo, Tex.

the same vicinity. Masterton well No. 3 of the Amarillo Oil & Gas Co.; in sec. 102, block 018, was completed at 2,195 feet; the only salt reported was at 1,325 to 1,415 feet followed by "lime salt" at 1,415 to 1,585 feet. Masterson well No. 2, in sec. 70 of the same block, was 2,125 feet deep, and its record shows no salt. The record of Bivins well No. 1 of the same company, in sec. 106, block 46, 1,535 feet deep, reports salt and red rock at 692 to 940 feet, salt at 1,145 to 1,188 feet, and salt, gypsum, and slate at 1,200 to 1,330 feet. The record of Ranch Creek well No. 1, 1,900 feet deep, reports salt at 885 to 920, 1,370 to 1,382, 1,505 to 1,550, and 1,590 to 1,670 feet—172 feet in all. The drillers of the Miller well at Pullman, Potter County, which had reached a depth of 1,276 feet November 8, 1919, reported salt at 1,143 to 1,160 feet.

*Memphis.*—The 1,908-foot boring 5 miles south of Memphis passed through an extensive series of salt-bearing strata, but the record is so general that the thickness of salt can not be ascertained. The amount was probably considerable.

*Quanah.*—The absence of salt in the record of the 1,160-foot hole at Quanah, in Hardeman County, may indicate either that the salt measures do not extend that far east or that they have been overlooked by the drillers.

*San Angelo.*—The 3,967-foot hole at San Angelo, in Tom Green County, is apparently in the same category with the Quanah boring.

*Buena Vista.*—The 1,414-foot hole at Buena Vista, in Pecos County, according to a report given to Udden, penetrated rock salt at 962 and



975 feet, and he states regarding the Permian red beds from 588 feet down: "It is probable that these contain more salt than would appear from the two isolated mentions of rock salt by the driller, who stated that cuttings from some parts of the borings were difficult to obtain and seemed to 'disappear before coming to the surface.'"

*Upland.*—A boring at Upland, Upton County, reached a depth of 1,300 feet in 1913. According to Udden, the drillers reported salt and brine at 1,100 to 1,120 feet, and at 1,300 feet the cuttings were so scanty that probably a bed of salt or salt-bearing shale was penetrated.

*Reeves County.*—Apparently no salt has been noted in the holes sunk for oil in Reeves County, although the upper strata penetrated are the red-bed succession of the Pecos Valley region. The 4,100-foot hole northwest of Toyah, described in detail by Udden,<sup>8</sup> began in the Comanche series and apparently penetrated beds of about the same age as those in the 2,820-foot hole at Carlsbad, N. Mex., 80 miles farther north, in which 633 feet of salt was found.

#### NEW MEXICO.

*General features.*—Several bore holes indicate that an area of about 20,000 square miles in eastern New Mexico is underlain by the southwestern extension of the great salt bed. Possibly the salt may extend northward under parts of Union, San Miguel, and Mora counties. In the 2,820-foot hole at Carlsbad the salt beds were found to be 633 feet thick, and the record of the hole north of Roswell reported 526 feet of salt. Probably there is not a continuous sheet more than 500 feet thick under all of the eastern or southeastern part of the State, but it seems probable that a large area is underlain by the thick deposit. The limits can only be conjectured within the area underlain by Permian rocks. No outcrops appear, although the salt deposits near Estancia appear to be on the zone in which the horizon of the salt-bearing beds is near the surface. The great salt flat north of Van Horn, Tex., may also mark the proximity of this horizon to the surface. A section across the southeastern part of the State is given in figure 38.

*Carlsbad.*—In 1913 a large body of salt and anhydrite was found in a 2,820-foot test boring for oil in the NE.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 4, T. 22 S., R. 28 E., near Carlsbad. Samples from this well were tested chemically by E. E. Lyder and W. A. Whitaker, at the University of Kansas. The record in figure 39 and other data were kindly fur-

<sup>8</sup> Udden, J. A., op. cit., p. 39.



nished by the late William H. Andrews. This record is remarkable in showing not only a very thick body of salt but a large amount of anhydrite, features which do not appear in many records of wells in different parts of the lower Pecos Valley in New Mexico.

In 1916-17 a boring 787 feet deep was made in the NW.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 21, T. 22 S., R. 27 E., about 6 miles southwest of the deep hole above described, by the Longyear Co., in a search for potash, some traces of which had been found in the older boring. Samples were carefully collected and tested by R. K. Bailey in the field laboratory of the United States Geological Survey at Amarillo, Tex. Red shales and gypsum extended to 165 feet, gypsum with a few red clay partings from 165 to 428 feet, solid anhydrite from 428 to 629 feet, and alternations of salt and anhydrite from 629 to 787 feet. The thickest body of salt extended from 743 to 775 feet. This record, so far as it goes, confirms the record of the upper part of the 2,820-foot hole as given in figure 39.

*Roswell region.*—The 3,120-foot hole bored by the Toltec Oil Co. 13 miles north-northeast of Roswell penetrated 526 feet of salt in numerous thick beds interstratified in dolomite and anhydrite, with small amounts of red and gray sandstone. The record in figure 40 is based on the driller's log, with data from a complete set of samples kindly furnished by the company and tested in the laboratory of the United States Geological Survey.

The record of the 2,943-foot hole in sec. 5, T. 11 S., R. 28 E., about 25 miles east of Roswell, does not report any salt, except 2 feet at a depth of 850 feet,

but presents the usual reiteration of such terms as "pink and red rock," "limestone," "lime shells," which give scant information.




FIGURE 38.—Section across southeastern New Mexico from Sacramento Mountain through Carlsbad.



Undoubtedly the hole passed through the salt and anhydrite succession reported in other holes to the west and east. Much salt water was found, notably at 2,165 and 2,240 feet.

*Artesia region.*—In a 501-foot hole on one of the Turkey Track ranches, 25 miles east of Artesia, near the northwest corner of T. 16 S., R. 30 E., salt was entered at 231 feet and continued to the bottom. In a well a few miles northeast of another of the Turkey Track ranches, 15½ miles east of Artesia, the salt bed was entered at 281 feet, and 5 miles east of that ranch the salt was entered at 200 feet.

*Lesbia.*—A 1,414-foot boring at Lesbia is reported to have penetrated salt at 700 feet and from 1,200 to 1,300 feet.<sup>9</sup>

*Tucumcari.*—The McGee test well for oil, being drilled in the SE. ¼ sec. 27, T. 10 N., R. 31 E., 8½ miles southeast of Tucumcari, penetrated rock salt at 1,100 to 1,135, 1,430 to 1,455, 2,372 to 2,377, and 3,220 to 3,225 feet. The strata below 1,455 feet as reported are 170 feet of red shale, 375 feet of gray limestone, 200 feet of brown shale, 280 feet of dark limestone, 650 feet of brown shale, 620 feet of red shale and limestone, and 194 feet of limestone, mostly dark, to the bottom of the hole at 4,014 feet (September, 1920).

### LIMITS.

The southern limit of the salt deposit in Texas has been discussed in connection with the records at Spur, Buena Vista, Post, etc. (p.216). The eastern limit is probably about 50 miles west of the outcrop zone of the basal beds of the Permian in western Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas, and in places it is marked by salt marshes and springs. Apparently the salt thins rapidly toward the outcrop zone, for the records of wells at its eastern margin report small thicknesses. To the north in Kansas the limit is unknown, and the beds may extend to Nebraska. The rate of thinning is gradual, for the salt is more than 200 feet thick at Wilson and Kanopolis. At McPherson it has thinned to 125 feet. Some holes in Kansas north of latitude 39° have failed to report salt, but that is not conclusive evidence of its absence. The




FIGURE 39.—Record of boring in the NE. ¼ sec. 4, T. 22 S., R. 28 E., 8 miles east of Carlsbad, N. Mex.

<sup>9</sup> Texas Univ. Bull. 17, p. 8, 1915.



western extension of the deposit in Kansas is also problematic, for the salt measures sink deeper in that direction and have not been penetrated. The 1,365-foot hole at Great Bend reached the salt, but holes at La Crosse, Dodge, Jetmore, and northeast of Garden are far too shallow to penetrate it.

The failure to find salt in the two holes 1,583 and 2,030 feet deep in Cimarron County, Okla., may indicate that the salt is absent, was not recorded, or lies deeper than in the region to the east. It is stated by a local observer that some salt was penetrated in the 2,030-foot hole in the western part of the county.

In New Mexico the salt is so thick at Carlsbad and north of Roswell that its western margin must be some distance west of Pecos River. Apparently no salt exists in the Sacramento Mountains; therefore the western margin may be near longitude 105°, in Otero, Lincoln, and Chaves counties.

#### AREA AND TONNAGE.

The area known to be underlain by the great Permian salt deposit is not far from 100,000 square miles. If it extends to the southeast corner of Colorado and northward to Nebraska its area is considerably greater.

On the assumption of an average thickness of 200 feet of salt, the gross quantity in the area of 100,000 square miles is about 30,000 billion tons.

#### ORIGIN.

The salt beds of this great deposit doubtless originated in the evapora-




FIGURE 40.—Record of boring in sec. 31, T. 8 S., R. 24 E., 13 miles north-northeast of Roswell, N. Mex.

tion of ocean water occupying a basin or series of basins for a considerable part of Permian time. The irregular distribution of the gypsum and anhydrite in relation to the salt indicates remarkable oscillations in short lines and complexity of local conditions, and the presence of limestone beds at intervals shows that deeper marine submergences occurred from time to time. It is certain that a considerable supply of sea water was necessary for the accumulation of deposits of salt several hundred feet thick over a vast area.



A figure of interest in this connection is the fact that a body of sea water 100 feet deep evaporating to dryness deposits the greater part of its calcium sulphate, amounting to about 2 inches under ordinary conditions, and then deposits about 3 feet of sodium chloride. That the waters were shallow much of the time is shown by the large amount of shale and sandstone present, in part mixed with the salt. Most of these sedimentary materials are red, but some are of gray and other tints.

The studies by J. Usiglio<sup>10</sup> on the deposition of salts from sea water are of interest in this connection. He concentrated water from the Mediterranean Sea, containing 3.77 per cent of total solids, to about one-sixtieth of its volume and obtained the following results:

*Salts laid down in concentration of sea water.*

[Parts per thousand.]

Specific gravity.	Volume.	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .	CaCO <sub>3</sub> .	CaSO <sub>4</sub> . 2H <sub>2</sub> O.	NaCl.	MgSO <sub>4</sub> .	MgCl <sub>2</sub> .	NaBr.	KCl.
1.0258.....	1.000								
1.0500.....	.533	0.0030	0.0642						
1.0836.....	.316		Trace.						
1.1037.....	.245		Trace.						
1.1264.....	.190		.0530	0.5600					
1.1604.....	.144			.5620					
1.1732.....	.131			.1840					
1.2015.....	.112			.1600					
1.2138.....	.095			.0508	3.2614	0.0040	0.0078		
1.2212.....	.064			.1476	9.6500	.0130	.0356		
1.2363.....	.039			.0700	7.8960	.0262	.0434	0.0728	
1.2570.....	.030			.0144	2.6240	.0174	.0150	.0358	
1.2778.....	.023				2.2720	.0254	.0240	.0518	
1.3069.....	.016				1.4040	.5382	.0274	.0620	
Total deposit..		.0030	.1172	1.7488	27.1074	.6242	.1532	.2224	
Salts remaining in solution.....					2.5885	1.8545	3.1640	.3300	0.5339
Sum.....		.0030	.1172	1.7488	29.6959	2.4787	3.3172	.5524	.5339

<sup>10</sup> Annales chim. et phys., 3d ser., vol. 27, pp. 92-172, 1849.





A figure of interest in this connection is the fact that a body of sea water 100 feet deep evaporating to dryness deposits the greater part of its calcium sulphate amounting to about 2 inches under ordinary conditions, and then deposits about 3 feet of sodium chloride. That the waters were shallow much of the time is shown by the large amount of shale and sandstone present, in part mixed with the salt. Most of these sedimentary materials are red, but some are of gray and other tints.

The studies by J. U. Slight on the deposition of salts from sea water are of interest in this connection. He concentrated water from the Mediterranean Sea, containing 3.7 per cent of total solids to about one-sixth of its volume and obtained the following results:

Specific Gravity	Volume	Total Solids	CaSO <sub>4</sub>	NaCl	MgSO <sub>4</sub>	MgCl <sub>2</sub>	NaBr	NaI
1.025	1,000	36.0	12.0	20.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.050	800	45.0	15.0	25.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.075	640	54.0	18.0	30.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.100	500	63.0	21.0	35.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.125	400	72.0	24.0	40.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.150	320	81.0	27.0	45.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.175	250	90.0	30.0	50.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.200	200	99.0	33.0	55.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.225	160	108.0	36.0	60.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.250	128	117.0	39.0	65.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.275	102	126.0	42.0	70.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.300	80	135.0	45.0	75.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.325	64	144.0	48.0	80.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.350	51	153.0	51.0	85.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.375	40	162.0	54.0	90.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.400	32	171.0	57.0	95.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.425	25	180.0	60.0	100.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.450	20	189.0	63.0	105.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.475	16	198.0	66.0	110.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.500	12	207.0	69.0	115.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.525	10	216.0	72.0	120.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.550	8	225.0	75.0	125.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.575	6	234.0	78.0	130.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.600	5	243.0	81.0	135.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.625	4	252.0	84.0	140.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.650	3	261.0	87.0	145.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.675	2	270.0	90.0	150.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.700	1	279.0	93.0	155.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.725	0.8	288.0	96.0	160.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.750	0.6	297.0	99.0	165.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.775	0.5	306.0	102.0	170.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.800	0.4	315.0	105.0	175.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.825	0.3	324.0	108.0	180.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.850	0.2	333.0	111.0	185.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.875	0.1	342.0	114.0	190.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.900	0.1	351.0	117.0	195.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.925	0.0	360.0	120.0	200.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.950	0.0	369.0	123.0	205.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
1.975	0.0	378.0	126.0	210.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.000	0.0	387.0	129.0	215.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.025	0.0	396.0	132.0	220.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.050	0.0	405.0	135.0	225.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.075	0.0	414.0	138.0	230.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.100	0.0	423.0	141.0	235.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.125	0.0	432.0	144.0	240.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.150	0.0	441.0	147.0	245.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.175	0.0	450.0	150.0	250.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.200	0.0	459.0	153.0	255.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.225	0.0	468.0	156.0	260.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.250	0.0	477.0	159.0	265.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.275	0.0	486.0	162.0	270.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.300	0.0	495.0	165.0	275.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.325	0.0	504.0	168.0	280.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.350	0.0	513.0	171.0	285.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.375	0.0	522.0	174.0	290.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.400	0.0	531.0	177.0	295.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.425	0.0	540.0	180.0	300.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.450	0.0	549.0	183.0	305.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.475	0.0	558.0	186.0	310.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.500	0.0	567.0	189.0	315.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.525	0.0	576.0	192.0	320.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.550	0.0	585.0	195.0	325.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.575	0.0	594.0	198.0	330.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.600	0.0	603.0	201.0	335.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.625	0.0	612.0	204.0	340.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.650	0.0	621.0	207.0	345.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.675	0.0	630.0	210.0	350.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.700	0.0	639.0	213.0	355.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.725	0.0	648.0	216.0	360.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.750	0.0	657.0	219.0	365.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.775	0.0	666.0	222.0	370.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.800	0.0	675.0	225.0	375.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.825	0.0	684.0	228.0	380.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.850	0.0	693.0	231.0	385.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.875	0.0	702.0	234.0	390.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.900	0.0	711.0	237.0	395.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.925	0.0	720.0	240.0	400.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.950	0.0	729.0	243.0	405.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
2.975	0.0	738.0	246.0	410.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.000	0.0	747.0	249.0	415.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.025	0.0	756.0	252.0	420.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.050	0.0	765.0	255.0	425.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.075	0.0	774.0	258.0	430.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.100	0.0	783.0	261.0	435.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.125	0.0	792.0	264.0	440.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.150	0.0	801.0	267.0	445.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.175	0.0	810.0	270.0	450.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.200	0.0	819.0	273.0	455.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.225	0.0	828.0	276.0	460.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.250	0.0	837.0	279.0	465.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.275	0.0	846.0	282.0	470.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.300	0.0	855.0	285.0	475.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.325	0.0	864.0	288.0	480.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.350	0.0	873.0	291.0	485.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.375	0.0	882.0	294.0	490.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.400	0.0	891.0	297.0	495.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.425	0.0	900.0	300.0	500.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.450	0.0	909.0	303.0	505.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.475	0.0	918.0	306.0	510.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.500	0.0	927.0	309.0	515.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.525	0.0	936.0	312.0	520.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.550	0.0	945.0	315.0	525.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.575	0.0	954.0	318.0	530.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.600	0.0	963.0	321.0	535.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.625	0.0	972.0	324.0	540.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.650	0.0	981.0	327.0	545.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.675	0.0	990.0	330.0	550.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.700	0.0	999.0	333.0	555.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.725	0.0	1008.0	336.0	560.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.750	0.0	1017.0	339.0	565.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.775	0.0	1026.0	342.0	570.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.800	0.0	1035.0	345.0	575.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.825	0.0	1044.0	348.0	580.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.850	0.0	1053.0	351.0	585.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.875	0.0	1062.0	354.0	590.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.900	0.0	1071.0	357.0	595.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.925	0.0	1080.0	360.0	600.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.950	0.0	1089.0	363.0	605.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
3.975	0.0	1098.0	366.0	610.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
4.000	0.0	1107.0	369.0	615.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
4.025	0.0	1116.0	372.0	620.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
4.050	0.0	1125.0	375.0	625.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
4.075	0.0	1134.0	378.0	630.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
4.100	0.0	1143.0	381.0	635.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0
4.125	0.0	1152.0	384.0	640.0	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.0



# INDEX.

A.	Page.
Abegg, Frank, acknowledgment to.....	126
Abstein, H. T., cinnabar claims of, in the Yellow Pine dis- trict, Idaho.....	81-82
Acknowledgments for aid.....	49, 73, 86, 94, 126, 172
Alberta mine, Mogollon district, N. Mex., description of.....	196
Alex and Rudolf potash mine, Al- sace, history and de- scription of.....	41-42
Alsace, potash deposits in, access to potash deposits in, area under- lain by.....	18 19
bibliography of.....	49-55
discovery of.....	19-20
geology of.....	21-24
importance of.....	17
location of.....	18
mining of.....	28-44
nature and quality of.....	25-26
origin of.....	23-24, 46-48
output from.....	20-21
ownership of.....	20, 29-30, 33, 35, 36, 38, 39, 41, 42-43
reserves of.....	26-28
shipping facilities for.....	18, 21
specimens from.....	26
treatment of.....	44-45
potash from, analyses of.....	32, 34, 35
cost of producing.....	45-46
Amarillo, Tex., depths to salt beds near.....	214, 216, 218
Amélie potash mine, Alsace, equip- ment of.....	29, 30, 33
history and situation of.....	28-29, 30-31
ownership of.....	29-30
potash beds in.....	31-33
shaft house and head frame at, plate showing.....	30
storage and refinery buildings of, plate showing.....	30
Andrews, William H., acknowledg- ment to.....	219-220
Anna potash mine, Alsace, history and description of.....	35-36
Antimony, occurrence of, in the Yellow Pine district, Idaho.....	83
Argentite, occurrence of, in the Divide district, Nev.....	159, 168
Artesia region, N. Mex., depth to salt beds in.....	221
Atkins, D. C., acknowledgment to.....	126

B.	Page.
Baer, G. C., acknowledgment to.....	172
Barbour, E. H., acknowledgment to.....	126
Barcelona province, Spain, potash in.....	1-16
Batesville manganese district, Ark., earlier publications on.....	94-95
field work in.....	94
folds and faults in.....	105
geologic map of.....	94
geology of.....	98-105
location and geography of.....	93-94, 96-97
ore deposits in, extent of.....	123-124
five types of.....	108-119
minerals in.....	106-108
outcrops of.....	119
relation of, to rock struc- ture and surface features.....	119-120
section through.....	100
ores in, chemical composition of.....	120-122
grades of.....	115-116
suitability of.....	122-123
Paleozoic rocks in, sections of.....	98
production in.....	96
Berry, R. W., topographic work by, on the Mogollon dis- trict, N. Mex.....	172
Big Spring, Tex., depth to salt beds at.....	218
Botsford, C. A., acknowledgment to.....	172
Box Butte County, Nebr., potash lakes in.....	129
potash resources in.....	131
Boyer, Ben, manganese claims of.....	66
Boyer & Frankenberry, manganese claims of.....	65-66
Braunite, description of.....	107
Brougher, H. C., silver lode in the Divide district, Nev., discovered by.....	149, 165
Buena Vista, Tex., depth to salt beds at.....	216, 218-219
"Buttons," manganese, nature of.....	109-110

## C.

Cardona, Spain, location of.....	1-2, 4
mining of salt at.....	5
outcrop of rock salt at, plate showing.....	4
outcrop of tilted sandstones and gypsum at, plate show- ing.....	6



- Cardona, Spain, potash field near, Page.  
 access to ----- 1-2  
 potash field near, geologic  
 features of ----- 5-7  
 owners of concessions in --- 3-4  
 salt beds at, folding of ----- 7  
 folding of, plate showing --- 6  
 potassium in ----- 7-8  
 salt mountain at, description of --- 4-5  
 plate showing ----- 4  
 Cardoner River, Spain, sources of  
 water in ----- 6  
 valley of, structural geology of --- 9  
 Carlsbad, N. Mex., depth to salt beds  
 at ----- 219-220  
 Cason shale, nature and occurrence  
 of, in the Batesville  
 district, Ark. ----- 101-104  
 replacement deposits in --- 109-110  
 Cerargyrite, occurrence of, in the  
 Divide district, Nev. --- 159,  
 163-164, 168  
 Chaffee County, Colo., manganese de-  
 posits in ----- 63-64  
 manganese mines in ----- 64-67  
 Cinnabar. *See* Mercury.  
 Clifton prospect, Mogollon district,  
 N. Mex., description of --- 199  
 Colorado, manganese in ----- 61-72  
 Colorado Manganese Mining & Smelt-  
 ing Co., manganese  
 claims of ----- 68-72  
 Confidence mine, Mogollon district,  
 N. Mex., description of --- 202  
 vertical projection of ----- 203  
 Condra, G. E., acknowledgment to --- 126  
 information on potash collected  
 by ----- 125  
 estimate by, on potash resources  
 of Nebraska ----- 125, 130  
 Cooney, James, discovery and devel-  
 opment by, in New  
 Mexico ----- 172  
 Cooney district. *See* Mogollon dis-  
 trict.  
 Cooney mine, Mogollon district, N.  
 Mex., description of --- 195-196  
 Copper, occurrence of, in the Mo-  
 gollon district, N.  
 Mex. --- 189-192, 194, 195, 196  
 D.  
 Darton, N. H., Permian salt deposits  
 of the south-central  
 United States ----- 205-223  
 Deadwood mine, Mogollon district,  
 N. Mex., description of --- 200  
 Deep Down mine, Mogollon district,  
 N. Mex., description of --- 198  
 Divide andesite, nature and distri-  
 bution of, in the Divide  
 district, Nev. ----- 155-156  
 silver-bearing lodes in ----- 161  
 Divide district, Nev., depth of cross-  
 cuts in ----- 160  
 discovery of silver in --- 147, 148-149  
 Divide district, Nev., exploration Page.  
 and development in ----- 149-150  
 geography of ----- 148  
 geologic map of ----- 150  
 geology of ----- 150-158  
 igneous rocks in ----- 151-152  
 mines and prospects in ----- 164-170  
 ore deposits in, comparison of,  
 with those at Tonopah,  
 Nev. ----- 162-164  
 silver-bearing lodes in, nature  
 and occurrence of --- 158-161  
 origin of ----- 161  
 summary of facts concerning --- 147-148  
 water supply of ----- 150  
 Divide Extension mine, Nev., de-  
 scription of ----- 167-168  
 Douglass Mountain anticline, Mont.,  
 phosphate rock in --- 143-  
 144, 145  
 Dunkleberg Ridge anticline, Mont.,  
 phosphate rock in --- 144, 145  
 E.  
 Eberle mine, Mogollon district, N.  
 Mex., description of --- 199-200  
 Emerson, C. L., acknowledgment to --- 126  
 Erickson, E. Theodore, analyses by --- 8, 32,  
 133, 135, 136, 137  
 Eureka prospect, Mogollon district,  
 N. Mex., description  
 of ----- 199  
 F.  
 Fairchild, J. G., analyses by ----- 107, 159  
 Ferguson, Henry G., The Mogollon  
 district, N. Mex. --- 171-204  
 Fern Quicksilver Mining Co., claims  
 of, on Fern Creek,  
 Idaho ----- 80  
 Fernvale limestone, nature and oc-  
 currence of, in the  
 Batesville district,  
 Ark. ----- 99-101  
 replacement deposits in --- 110-112  
 residual deposits derived  
 from ----- 112-117  
 Fraction rhyolite breccia, correla-  
 tion of, in the Divide  
 district, Nev. ----- 152-153  
 nature and occurrence of --- 150-151  
 silver-bearing lodes in ----- 158  
 structure and thickness of --- 153-154  
 G.  
 Gale, Hoyt S., Potash deposits in  
 Spain ----- 1-16  
 The potash deposits of Alsace --- 17-55  
 Galpin & Vreeland, manganese  
 claims of ----- 67  
 Garza County, Tex., depth to salt  
 beds in ----- 216, 217  
 Gibson, Paris, acknowledgment to --- 86



	Page.		Page.
Gold, occurrence of, in the Divide district, Nev.-----	162	Josef and Else potash mine, Alsace, history and description of-----	34-35
occurrence of, in the Mogollon district, N. Mex.-----	195, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203		
Gold Mountain district, Nev. See Divide district, Nev.		K.	
Grant, Wilbur H., acknowledgment to-----	172	Kansas, central, sections across the salt deposits of-----	208
Graton, L. C., cited-----	178, 195	map of salt basin partly in-----	206
Gunnison County, Colo., manganese claims in-----	67-68	southern, records of deep borings in-----	210
Gypsum, tilted, overlying salt beds at Cardona, Spain, plate showing-----	6	Kansas salt field, age and extent of records of borings in-----	207 207-213
		Kent County, Tex., depth of salt beds in-----	216, 217
H.		Kernick vein, Divide district, Nev., description of-----	162, 169
Haldane, W. G., acknowledgment to--	126	Kidder, S. J., acknowledgment to--	172
Hausmannite, description of-----	106-107	Kirk, M. Z., and Haworth, Erasmus, cited-----	207, 210, 212
occurrence of, in Colorado-----	63, 68	Kirkpatrick, R. D., acknowledgment to-----	126
Haworth, Erasmus, Kirk, M. Z., and, cited-----	207, 210, 212	Knopf, Adolph, The Divide silver district, Nev-----	147-170
Hematite, deposits of, near Stanford, Mont-----	85-92	Kreiss, A. L., acknowledgment to--	126
ores containing, analyses of-----	91		
Hicks, W. B., Potash resources of Nebraska-----	125-139	L.	
Higham, W., & Sons, manganese claims of-----	64-65	Laboratory, field, location of-----	205
Hill, Walter Hovey, acknowledgment to-----	73	Larsen, Esper S., and Livingston, D. C., Geology of the Yellow Pine cinnabar-mining district, Idaho-----	73-83
Horst, Dr., analysis by-----	34, 35	Latite lavas, nature and distribution of, in the Divide district, Nev-----	156-158
Hutchinson, Kans., salt industry near-----	207	Last Chance mine, Mogollon district, N. Mex., description of-----	200-202
I.		vertical projection of-----	202
Idaho Quicksilver Mining Co., claims of-----	81	Lesbia, N. Mex., depth to salt beds at-----	221
Iola, Colo., manganese deposits near-----	67	Liberty Hill manganese claims, near Salida, Colo., description of-----	64-65
Iron Cross prospect, Mogollon district, N. Mex., description of-----	203	Little Panney mine, Mogollon district, N. Mex., description of-----	197-198
Iron Mountain manganese claims, near Wellsville, Colo., description of-----	65-66	vertical projection of-----	198
J.		Livingston, D. C., Larsen, Esper S., and, Geology of the Yellow Pine cinnabar-mining district-----	73-83
Jesse Lake, Nebr., potash in-----	125, 127, 133, 138	Lyons, Kans., salt industry near-----	207
Johns, W., acknowledgment to-----	172	Lyons Rock Salt Co., record of shaft of, at Lyons, Kans-----	210
Johnson mine, Mogollon district, N. Mex., description of-----	196	M.	
Johnston, Gavin, silver ore shipped from Divide district, Nev., by-----	148-149	McCarty, A. M., exploitation of Nebraska potash by-----	125
Jones, D. E. W., acknowledgment to-----	126	McCreath, A. S., analyses by-----	122
Jones, Edward L., jr., A deposit of manganese ore in Wyoming-----	57-59	McLean, Tex., depth to salt beds at-----	214, 217
Some deposits of manganese ore in Colorado-----	61-72		



	Page.		Page.
Manganese, deposits of, in Colorado,		Mogollon district, N. Mex., fault-	
descriptions of -----	63-72	ing in -----	183-187
deposits of, in Colorado, field		geography of -----	171-172, 173-174
work on -----	61	geologic history of, recent -----	187-188
in Colorado, geologic oc-		geologic map of -----	174
currence of -----	62	geologic sections across -----	184
types and mineral con-		geology of -----	174-188
tent of -----	62-63	history of -----	172-173
in the Batesville district,		location of -----	171
Ark., geology of -----	98-105	map showing outcrops of faults	
mode of occurrence of -----	106-120	in -----	184
on Sheep Creek, Wyo -----	57-59	mines and prospects in -----	194-203
occurrence of, in the Mogollon		ore deposits in -----	188-194
district, N. Mex -----	203	production in -----	173
Manganese ores, ferruginous, de-		rocks of, eruption or deposition	
scription of -----	108	of -----	174-183
Manganite, description of -----	107	sections showing assumed posi-	
occurrence of, in Colorado -----	63, 70, 71	tion of surface in,	
Manresa, Spain, location of -----	1-2	after faulting -----	194
monastery at, plate showing -----	12	structure of -----	183-187
Montserrat escarpment near,		summary of facts concerning -----	204
plate showing -----	12	Molybdenum, occurrence of, in the	
<i>See also</i> Cardona, Spain, potash		Divide district, Nev -----	159-
field near.		160, 166	
Map, geologic, of the Divide district,		Montserrat, Spain, Tertiary section	
Nev -----	150	at -----	12-14
geologic, of the Mogollon dis-		Montserrat escarpment near Manresa,	
trict, N. Mex -----	174	Spain, plate showing -----	12
of northern part of Philips-		Montana, iron deposits in -----	85-92
burg phosphate field,		Monumental Mercury Mines Co.,	
Mont -----	142	claims of, on Cinnabar	
of salt basin in Texas, New		Creek, Idaho -----	82
Mexico, Oklahoma, and			
Kansas -----	206		
showing outcrops of faults in			
the Mogollon district,			
N. Mex -----	184		
Marie-Louise potash mine, Alsace,			
history and description			
of -----	39-41		
Maud S. mine, Mogollon district,			
N. Mex., description of -----	198-199		
Max potash mine, Alsace, buildings			
of, plate showing -----	34		
history and description of -----	33-34		
Maxville, Granite County, Mont.,			
phosphate rock near -----	141-145		
<i>See also</i> Philipsburg phosphate			
field.			
Memphis, Tex., depth to salt beds			
at -----	216, 218		
Mercury, ore of, in the Yellow Pine			
district, Idaho -----	73-83		
Miller Mining & Milling Co., man-			
ganese prospect of -----	68		
Miser, Hugh D., Preliminary report			
on the deposits of man-			
ganese ore in the			
Batesville district,			
Ark -----	93-124		
Modesitt, Carl, exploration for pot-			
ash by -----	125		
acknowledgment to -----	126		



O.

Page.

Page.

O'Brien, John, acknowledgment to	126
Oddie rhyolite, gold veins in, in the Divide district, Nev.	162
nature and distribution of, in the Divide district, Nev.	154-155
Oklahoma, records of deep borings in	210, 212
Oklahoma salt field, map of	206
records of borings in	213-214

P.

Pacific mine, Mogollon district, N. Mex., description of	202-203
Pardee, J. T., Phosphate rock near Maxville, Granite County, Mont.	141-145
Penrose, R. A. F., jr., cited	110-111, 114-115
Phillipsburg phosphate field, Mont., map and section of part of	142
structural features in	142-143
Potash, deposits of, in Barcelona province, Spain	1-16
lakes containing, in Nebraska	126-130
possibility of finding, in Texas and adjoining States	206
resources of, in Nebraska	130-132
Potter, J. G., manganese claims of	67-68
Poverty Mining Co., manganese deposit developed by	57-59
Princeton anticline, Mont., phosphate rock in	143, 145
Prindle, L. M., acknowledgment to	49
Prinz Eugen potash mine, Alsace, history and description of	38-39
Psilomelane, description of	106
occurrence of, in Colorado	63, 65, 66, 67, 68
Pyrolusite, description of	107
occurrence of, in Colorado	63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 71

Q.

Quanah, Tex., no salt recorded at	216, 218
Quicksilver. <i>See</i> Mercury.	

R.

Reeves County, Tex., no salt recorded in	216, 219
Reichsland potash mine, Alsace, buildings of, plate showing	34
history and description of	36-38
Rhyolite breccia. <i>See</i> Fraction rhyolite breccia.	
Richardson, W. E., acknowledgment to	120
Rosenkranz, T. H., work of	142

Roswell region, N. Mex., depth to salt beds in	220-221
Royal anticline, Mont., phosphate rock in	144, 145
Runey, Charles, acknowledgment to	126
Running Wolf iron deposits, Mont., geology and general features of	85-92
S.	
Saguache County, Colo., manganese prospect in	68
Ste.-Thérèse potash mine, Alsace, history and description of	42-43
Salida, Colo., manganese deposits near	63, 64
Salt, beds of, overlain by sandstones and gypsum, at Cardona, Spain, plate showing	6
beds of, contorted, at Cardona, Spain, plate showing	6
collection and testing of samples of	205-206
deposit of, in Texas and adjoining States, age of	206
in Texas and adjoining States, extent of	221-222
origin of	222-223
possibility of finding potash in	206
stratigraphic relations of	206-207
largest in the world	205
outcrop of, at Cardona, Spain, plate showing	4
Salt mountain at Cardona, Spain, description of	4-5
plate showing	4
Salts, deposition of, from sea water	223
San Angelo, Tex., no salt recorded at	216, 218
Sandstones, tilted, overlying salt beds at Cardona, Spain, plate showing	6
San Miguel County, Colo., manganese deposits in, general features of	68-69
manganese deposits in, geology of	69-70
origin of	71-72
Scurry County, Tex., depth to salt beds in	216, 217
Sharp, W. E., acknowledgment to	126
Sheep Creek, Wyo., manganese deposit on	57-59
Show, J. H., acknowledgment to	126
exploration for potash by	125
Silver, mode of occurrence of, in the Divide district, Nev.	158-161, 162, 163-164
occurrence of, in the Mogollon district, N. Mex.	189-192, 196, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203



	Page.
Snowbird iron claim, Mont., analyses	
of ore from	91
description of	89-91
South Alpine prospect, Mogollon district, N. Mex., description of	203
Spain, northeastern, general geology of	11-14
potash deposits in	1-16
extent of	16
legislation concerning	15-16
Spur, Tex., depth to salt beds at	216-217
Standard Salt Co., record of shaft of, at Little River, Kans.	212
Stanford, Mont., iron deposits near, features and size of	90-92
iron deposits near, geology of	86-87
location of	85
mode of occurrence of	87-90
Stevens, T. E., acknowledgment to	126
Suria, Spain, location of	1-2
potash deposit at, development of	10
discovery of	8-10
shaft sunk near, record of	9-10
structure of area near	9
<i>See also</i> Cardona, Spain, potash field near.	
T.	
Temperature, underground, in Alsace	38, 43
Tertiary beds in Alsace, section of	22
Texas salt field, map of	206
records of borings in	214-219
Theodor potash mine, Alsace, history and description of	38-39
Tonopah Divide lode, features of	158-160, 165-167
Tonopah Divide mine, Nev., description of	165-167
Tonopah Hasbrouck mine, Nev., description of	169-170
Tucumcari, N. Mex., depth to salt beds at	221
Tuff, white, nature and occurrence of, in the Divide district, Nev.	150-151

	Page.
Udden, J. A., cited	214, 216, 217
Upland, Tex., depth to salt beds at	216, 219
Usiglio, J., work of, on deposition of salts from sea water	223

## V.

Van Meter, E. H., acknowledgment to	73
Villanueva de la Aguda, Spain, potash at	11

## W.

Wad, description of	108
occurrence of, in Colorado	63, 66
Wells, R. C., analysis by	157
Wellsville, Colo., manganese deposits near	63, 65, 66, 67
Westgate, Lewis G., Deposits of iron ore near Stanford, Mont.	85-92
Wheelock, C. E., acknowledgment to	172
White River, Mont., features of	97
Whittaker, Frank C., acknowledgments to	86
Wyman Gulch syncline, Mont., description of	142
phosphate rock in	143, 144
Wyoming, manganese in	57-59

## Y.

Yellow Pine district, Idaho, cinnabar deposits in	79-80
field work in	73
geology of	76-79
history of cinnabar mining in	74-75
location and topography of	73-74, 75-76
prospects in	80-82
summary of facts and conclusions on	83
Yellow Pine Quicksilver Co., claims of, near Fern Creek, Idaho	81



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

JOHN BARTON PAYNE, Secretary

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GEORGE OTIS SMITH, Director

Bulletin 715

CONTRIBUTIONS TO ECONOMIC GEOLOGY

(SHORT PAPERS AND PRELIMINARY REPORTS)

1920

PART I.—METALS AND NONMETALS EXCEPT FUELS

F. L. RANSOME, H. S. GALE, AND E. F. BURCHARD  
GEOLOGISTS IN CHARGE



WASHINGTON

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

1921



DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

John Barton Payne, Secretary

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

George Otis Smith, Director

Bulletin 715

CONTRIBUTIONS TO ECONOMIC GEOLOGY


(SHORT PAPERS AND PRELIMINARY REPORTS)

1920

PART I.—METALS AND NONMETALS EXCEPT FUELS

E. J. BARRETT, H. S. GALE, and E. J. BERNHARD

GEOLOGISTS IN CHARGE



WASHINGTON

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

1921



## CONTENTS.

[The letters in parentheses preceding the titles are those used to designate the papers for advance publication.]

	Page.
Introduction .....	vii
(A) Potash deposits in Spain, by H. S. Gale (published June 5, 1920)---	1
(B) The potash deposits of Alsace, by H. S. Gale (published June 5, 1920) .....	17
(C) A deposit of manganese ore in Wyoming, by E. L. Jones, jr. (published Sept. 18, 1920) .....	57
(D) Some deposits of manganese ore in Colorado, by E. L. Jones, jr. (published Sept. 17, 1920) .....	61
(E) Geology of the Yellow Pine cinnabar-mining district, Idaho, by E. S. Larsen and D. C. Livingston (published Sept. 15, 1920) .....	73
(F) Deposits of iron ore near Stanford, Mont., by L. G. Westgate (published Sept. 16, 1920) .....	85
(G) Preliminary report on the deposits of manganese ore in the Batesville district, Ark., by H. D. Miser (published Nov. 15, 1920) ----	93
(H) Omitted.	
(I) Potash resources of Nebraska, by W. B. Hicks (published Feb. 8, 1921) .....	125
(J) Phosphate rock near Maxville, Granite County, Mont., by J. T. Pardee (published Feb. 7, 1921) .....	141
(K) The Divide silver district, Nev., by Adolph Knopf (published Feb. 12, 1921) .....	147
(L) The Mogollon district, N. Mex., by H. G. Ferguson (published Feb. 8, 1921) .....	171
(M) Permian salt deposits of the south-central United States, by N. H. Darton (published April 28, 1921) .....	205
Index .....	225

## ILLUSTRATIONS.

	Page.
PLATE I. A, Outcrop of rock salt by the roadside at the foot of the bluff below the old castle, Cardona, Spain; B, The salt mountain at Cardona, Spain, from the castle, overlooking the salt basin .....	4
II. A, Outcrop of tilted sandstones and gypsum overlying the light-colored shale and salt beds, as exposed in the bluff below the old castle at Cardona, Spain; B, Contorted bedding of the salt in the cliffs at the head of the salt basin, Cardona, Spain .....	6



	Page.
PLATE III. The Monserrat escarpment near Manresa, Spain.....	12
IV. A, Storage and refinery buildings at Amélie shaft 1, Wittelsheim, Alsace; B, Shaft house and head frame at Amélie shaft 1.....	30
V. A, Max mine, near Wittelsheim, Alsace; B, Reichsland mine, Wittenheim, Alsace.....	34
VI. Geologic map of the Batesville district, Ark.....	94
VII. Generalized sections of the Paleozoic rocks of the Batesville district, Ark.....	98
VIII. Section through the Lassiter, Polk Southard, and Blue Ridge mines, Batesville district, Ark., illustrating the occurrence of their manganese-ore deposits.....	100
IX-XII. Omitted.	
XIII. Map and section of the northern part of the Phillipsburg phosphate field, Mont.....	142
XIV. Geologic map of the Divide district, Nev.....	150
XV. Geologic map of the Mogollon district, Socorro County, N. Mex. ....	174
XVI. Geologic sections across the Mogollon district, N. Mex.....	184
XVII. Map showing outcrops of faults in Mogollon district, N. Mex.....	184
XVIII. Sections showing assumed position of surface in Mogollon district, N. Mex., after faulting.....	194
XIX. Vertical projection of Little Fanney mine, Mogollon district, N. Mex.....	198
XX. Vertical projection of Last Chance and Confidence mines, Mogollon district, N. Mex.....	202
XXI. Map of salt basin in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Kansas.....	206
XXII. Sections across the salt deposits of central Kansas.....	208
XXIII. Records of deep borings in southern Kansas and northwestern Oklahoma.....	210
XXIV. Records of borings in Woods and Alfalfa counties, Okla.....	212
FIGURE 1. Index map of Spain showing the situation of the potash deposits of Catalonia.....	2
2. Sketch map of the "salina de Cardona," Spain.....	6
3. Map of the northeastern part of Spain, with geology generalized from the Carte géologique internationale de l'Europe.....	12
4. Map showing potash field and valley of the Rhine in the vicinity of Mulhouse, Alsace.....	18
5. Diagram representing lower potash bed in Amélie shaft 1, Wittelsheim, Alsace.....	31
6. Claim map of cinnabar camp in Yellow Pine mining district, Valley County, Idaho.....	74
7. Reconnaissance geologic sketch map of Yellow Pine cinnabar district, Valley County, Idaho.....	78
8. Map of a part of north-central Montana showing the location of the hematite deposits near Stanford.....	85
9. Geologic map of the vicinity of the hematite deposits near Stanford, Mont.....	86
10. Map showing claims on the hematite deposits near Stanford, Mont.....	88
11. Section of the ore body on the Snowbird claim, near Stanford, Mont.....	90



	Page.
FIGURE 12. Index map of Arkansas showing the location of the Batesville manganese-ore district-----	93
13. Section near the central part of the Cason mine, Batesville district, Ark-----	103
14. Sketch section at the Club House mine, Batesville district, Ark-----	113
15. Section through the Searcy mine, Batesville district, Ark-----	114
16-25. Omitted.	
26. Map showing potash-lake district in western Nebraska-----	128
27. Index map showing location of Philipsburg phosphate field, Mont-----	141
28. Generalized columnar section of the rocks of the Divide district. Nev-----	147
29. Map of southwestern New Mexico showing the location of the Mogollon district-----	171
30. Transverse sections across Fanney vein, Mogollon district, N. Mex-----	197
31. Record of boring at McPherson, Kans-----	208
32. Records of borings in central Kansas-----	209
33. Records of borings in south-central Kansas-----	211
34. Records of deep borings in northwestern Texas-----	215
35. Record of boring at Childress, Tex-----	217
36. Record of boring near Shamrock, Tex-----	217
37. Record of Hapgood boring, 28 miles north of Amarillo, Tex--	218
38. Section across southeastern New Mexico from the Sacramento Mountains through Carlsbad-----	220
39. Record of boring 8 miles east of Carlsbad, N. Mex-----	221
40. Record of boring 13 miles north-northeast of Roswell, N. Mex--	222







# CONTRIBUTIONS TO ECONOMIC GEOLOGY, 1920.

## PART I. METALS AND NONMETALS EXCEPT FUELS.

F. L. RANSOME, H. S. GALE, and E. F. BURCHARD, *Geologists in charge.*

### INTRODUCTION.

The Survey's "Contributions to economic geology" have been published annually since 1902. In 1906 the increase in the number of papers coming under this classification made it necessary to divide the contributions into two parts, one including papers on metals and nonmetals except fuels and the other including papers on mineral fuels. In 1915 the year included in the title was changed from the year in which the field work reported in these papers was done to the year of publication, and in consequence there was no volume entitled "Contributions to economic geology, 1914." The subjoined table gives a summary of these bulletins.

*United States Geological Survey "Contributions to economic geology."*

Date in title.	Date of publication. <sup>a</sup>	Bulletin No.	Date in title.	Date of publication. <sup>a</sup>	Bulletin No.
1902.....	1903	213	1912, Part I.....	1914	540
1903.....	1904	225	Part II.....	1914	541
1904.....	1905	260	1913, Part I.....	1915	580
1905.....	1906	285	Part II.....	1915	581
1906, Part I.....	1907	315	1915, Part I.....	1916	620
Part II.....	1907	316	Part II.....	1916	621
1907, Part I.....	1908	340	1916, Part I.....	1917	640
Part II.....	1909	341	Part II.....	1917	641
1908, Part I.....	1909	380	1917, Part I.....	1918	660
Part II.....	1910	381	Part II.....	1918	661
1909, Part I.....	1910	430	1918, Part I.....	1919	690
Part II.....	1911	431	Part II.....	1919	691
1910, Part I.....	1911	470	1919, Part I.....	1920	710
Part II.....	1912	471	Part II.....	1920	711
1911, Part I.....	1913	530	1920, Part I.....	1921	715
Part II.....	1913	531	Part II.....	1921	716

<sup>a</sup> The date given is that of the complete volume; beginning with Bulletin 285, the papers have been issued as advance chapters as soon as they were ready.

As the subtitle indicates, most of the papers in these volumes are of three classes—(1) short papers describing as thoroughly as conditions will permit areas or deposits on which no other report is likely



to be prepared; (2) brief notes on mining districts or economic deposits whose examination has been merely incidental to other work; and (3) preliminary reports on economic investigations the results of which are to be published later in more detailed form.

Although these papers set forth mainly the practical results of economic investigations they include brief theoretical discussions and summary statements of conclusions if these appear to require prompt publication.

Beginning in the spring of 1917 and continuing throughout the period of the war the United States Geological Survey made special field explorations, surveys, and laboratory studies of deposits of ores of metals used in the manufacture of ferroalloys, pig iron, and steel, including manganese, chromium, tungsten, molybdenum, titanium, uranium, vanadium, zirconium, and iron.

Summaries of the data were promptly published by the Geological Survey in the form of press bulletins, and several longer papers on these subjects were published in Survey Bulletin 710<sup>1</sup> and in the Transactions of the American Institute of Mining and Metallurgical Engineers.<sup>2</sup> Other papers prepared largely by Federal Survey geologists have been published by several State surveys.<sup>3</sup> The papers on manganese and iron ore in this bulletin are some of the results of this war work, and other papers, now in preparation, will be published in "Contributions to economic geology, 1921."

<sup>1</sup> Jones, E. L., jr., A reconnaissance of the Pine Creek district, Idaho: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 710, pp. 1-36, 1919; Deposits of manganese ore in New Mexico: Idem, pp. 37-60; Deposits of manganese ore in southeastern California: Idem, pp. 185-208. Sears, J. D., Deposits of manganese ore in Costa Rica: Idem, pp. 61-84; Deposits of manganese ore near Boqueron River, Panama: Idem, pp. 85-92. Jones, E. L., jr., and Ransome, F. L., Deposits of manganese ore in Arizona: Idem, pp. 93-184. Pardee, J. T., and Jones, E. L., jr., Deposits of manganese ore in Nevada: Idem, pp. 209-242.

<sup>2</sup> Harder, E. C., and Hewett, D. F., Recent studies of domestic manganese deposits: Am. Inst. Min. and Met. Eng. Trans., September, 1919, 48 pp. Diller, J. S., Recent studies of domestic chromite deposits: Idem, 44 pp. Burchard, E. F., Manganese-ore deposits in Cuba: Idem, 52 pp. Burchard, E. F., Chrome-ore deposits in Cuba: Idem, 23 pp.

<sup>3</sup> Stose, G. W., and Schrader, F. C., Manganese deposits of east Tennessee: Resources of Tennessee, vol. 8, Nos. 3 and 4, 531 pp., Tennessee State Geol. Survey, 1919. Stose, G. W., Miser, H. D., Katz, F. J., and Hewett, D. F., Manganese deposits of the west foot of the Blue Ridge, Va.: Virginia Geol. Survey Bull. 17, 166 pp., 1919. Hull, J. P. D., LaForge, Laurence, and Crane, W. R., Manganese deposits of Georgia: Georgia Geol. Survey Bull. 35, 295 pp., 1919.



