

Dk 2896.43

Please do not destroy or throw away this publication. If you have no further use for it, write to the Geological Survey at Washington and ask for a frank to return it

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Ray Lyman Wilbur, Secretary
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
George Otis Smith, Director

Bulletin 813—A

MINERAL INDUSTRY OF ALASKA IN 1928
AND
ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

BY
PHILIP S. SMITH

Mineral resources of Alaska, 1928—A



*Bibl. Kat. Nauk Stwierm
Dz. Nr. 8.*

**Wpisano do inwentarza
ZAKŁADU GEOLOGII**
Dział 8 Nr. 228
Dnia XIII 1941

UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON : 1930

Dk
2896

Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C. - - - - - Price 15 cents

0

CONTENTS

	Page
Mineral industry of Alaska in 1928.....	1
Introduction.....	1
Mineral production.....	5
General features.....	5
Gold.....	10
Total production.....	10
Gold lodes.....	12
Gold placers.....	19
General conditions.....	19
Production by districts.....	22
Southeastern Alaska.....	23
Copper River region.....	24
Cook Inlet-Susitna region.....	25
Yukon region.....	26
Kuskokwim region.....	36
Seward Peninsula.....	38
Northwestern Alaska.....	43
Dredging.....	45
Copper.....	49
Silver.....	55
Lead.....	57
Platinum metals.....	59
Tin.....	61
Coal.....	62
Petroleum.....	66
Miscellaneous mineral products.....	68
Administrative report.....	73
Selected list of Geological Survey publications on Alaska.....	I

ILLUSTRATIONS

	Page
FIGURE 1. Trends of mineral production of Alaska, 1890-1928.....	9
2. Trends of total value of gold production of Alaska, 1880-1928, and proportion of gold derived from lodes and placers.....	20
3. Quantity of copper produced from Alaska mines, 1900-1928, and fluctuations in the price of copper during that period..	53

MINERAL RESOURCES OF ALASKA, 1928

MINERAL INDUSTRY OF ALASKA IN 1928

By PHILIP S. SMITH¹



INTRODUCTION

For many years the mineral industry has been one of the main contributors to the development of Alaska, if not the main industry. To assist in fostering this industry the Federal Government through the Geological Survey has for 30 years paid considerable attention to the problems relating to the industry and, by means of its studies of the distribution, character, origin, and extent of ore deposits, has kept those interested in mining developments informed of the facts of significance to the prospector, the miner, or the business man. One of the phases of these investigations that has obvious value is an annual record of the kinds and quantity of mineral produced, as such a record furnishes measures of the size and trend of the industry. It is to supply this information that the Geological Survey collects annually records of the production of all mineral commodities and makes these records available through annual reports, of which this one is the twenty-fifth.²

The collection of the data necessary for these annual statements is by no means a simple matter, because the great size of the Territory, the diversity of its mineral products, and the large numbers but small size of many of the enterprises make it impracticable to gather all the desired information at first hand. The information must therefore be obtained from many sources, which necessarily vary in reliability and completeness. Among the most reliable sources are the field engineers, geologists, and topographers of the Geological Survey engaged in Alaskan surveys, who acquire much accurate information regarding the mineral production of the regions

¹ The statistics in this chapter have been compiled largely by Miss L. H. Stone.

² The other volumes of this series, commencing with that for 1904, are Bulletins 259, 284, 314, 345, 379, 442, 480, 520, 542, 592, 622, 642, 662, 692, 712, 714, 722, 739, 755, 773, 783, 792, 797, 810.

in which they work, or more general information by contact with miners and operators in the course of their travels to and from the field. Members of other Government organizations—for instance, the Bureau of Mines, the Bureau of the Mint, and the Customs Service—in the course of their regular duties collect many data which are extremely valuable in these studies and the use of which avoids unnecessary duplication in the collection of records. Most of the banks, express companies, and other business organizations in Alaska collect for their own use data regarding mineral commodities of their particular districts. Some of these data are extremely pertinent to the general inquiry conducted by the Geological Survey, and through the cordial cooperation of many of these companies important facts have been made available to the Geological Survey for its information but not for publication, as their disclosure would reveal confidential facts. Most of the larger Alaskan newspapers as well as certain papers published in the States that feature Alaskan matters are courteously sent by their publishers to the Geological Survey, and from these and the technical and scientific periodicals are gleaned many items regarding new developments. In addition to all these sources the Geological Survey each year sends out hundreds of schedules—one to every person or company known to be engaged in mining. On these schedules are a number of questions regarding the mining developments and production of each individual property during the year. These schedules when properly filled out by the operators of course constitute a most authoritative record. Unfortunately, however, not all of them are returned by the operators, and even some of the operators who return them have not all the specific data desired or misunderstand the inquiries or reply in such a manner that the answers may not be correctly interpreted when the schedules are edited. It is a gratifying evidence of the general appreciation of these annual summaries that so many of the operators cooperate fully and cordially with the Geological Survey by furnishing the information called for on the schedules as well as volunteering much other pertinent information.

It is evident that with such a mass of information available the resulting report should correctly and adequately reflect the actual conditions, and every effort is made to see that this result is attained so far as possible. Unfortunately, however, there are many causes of inaccuracy. For instance, the same term may be differently interpreted by different persons, so that answers to the same question are not always made from the same viewpoint. To the lode miner the value of the production from his mine will probably mean tons of ore mined times the assay value of its metallic contents. To others knowing the inevitable loss that occurs in the metallurgical

or milling treatment of this ore the value of the production will probably mean value of the metal recovered. To still others it may mean the amount they received from the bank for their product after deducting assaying and handling charges, insurance, etc., or it may mean the amount the local trader paid for their gold, even though that amount may have a wholly fictitious relation to its mint value. So far as possible these various standards have been reconciled to the single one represented by the value of the metal recovered, as shown generally for placer or lode gold by bank assays or receipts without deductions. Many of the mineral products, however, are not disposed of during the year in which they are produced at the mine, so that for these the only accurate record available is the gross quantity produced and its approximate metal tenor. This condition is especially common for the larger lode mines, where production of ore may continue up to the last day of the year, but the ore thus produced may not reach the mill, smelter, or mint until many months later. This same condition also occurs to a lesser degree with some of the placer product. It is readily evident that there will always be differences between the quantities of metals reported by different agencies, though on the whole many of these differences tend to offset one another. Thus for a mine that has been in operation for some time at approximately the same rate, its production that did not reach the mill, smelter, or mint during the current year is usually about balanced by its similar production during the last part of the preceding year, which is reported by the mint or smelter during the current year.

Another element that creates some inaccuracy or misconception is the fact that the price of all mineral commodities except gold fluctuates considerably during the year. All the reports do not give the value of the production on a single consistent basis, so that many must be edited to bring them to an approximately common standard. For this reason the average prices of the several mineral commodities for the year as determined by the Bureau of Mines are used instead of the prices actually received by the producer. Although it is recognized that this arbitrary method of computation results in obscuring the amount actually received by the individual mines, it probably does not introduce any considerable error in the totals, inasmuch as higher prices received by the more shrewdly or efficiently administered mines are about balanced by the lower prices received by less fortunate ones.

It is the constant aim of the compilers to make these annual summaries of mineral production as accurate and adequate as possible. The Geological Survey therefore bespeaks the continued cooperation of all persons concerned in the mineral industry and urges them

to communicate any pertinent information that may lead to this desired end. It should be emphasized that all information regarding individual properties is regarded as strictly confidential. The Geological Survey will not use any data that are furnished in any way to disclose the production of individual plants nor allow access to its records in any way that will be disadvantageous to either the individuals who furnish the information or those to whom the data relate. So scrupulously is this policy followed that it has been necessary to combine or group together certain districts or products so that the production of an individual may not be disclosed. In order to fulfill this obligation it has even been necessary to adopt certain rather artificial and unnatural groups, as, for instance, the "miscellaneous mineral products," which include petroleum, quicksilver, stone or marble, tin, and other materials produced in small quantity or by only one producer, whose output would otherwise be obvious.

Special acknowledgment is due to Frank J. Katz and other officers of the Bureau of Mines and the Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce, of the Department of Commerce; the collectors and other officers of the Alaska customs service; the officers of the Alaska Railroad; F. H. Moffit, S. R. Capps, J. B. Mertie, jr., and B. D. Stewart, of the Geological Survey; the agents of the American Railway Express Co. in Alaska; Maj. Malcolm Elliott and other members of the Alaska Road Commission; Volney Richmond, of the Northern Commercial Co.; the Alaska Juneau Gold Mining Co., the Daily Alaska Empire, and J. C. McBride, of Juneau; the Pacific Coast Cement Co. and the Alaska Weekly, of Seattle, Wash.; the Hirst-Chichagof Mining Co., Apex-El Nido Co., and Chichagoff Mines (Ltd.), of Chichagof; Arthur O. Moa and the Hyder Weekly Herald, of Hyder; the Kennecott Copper Corporation, of Kennecott; Thomas Larson, of Kotsina; Alex Liska and the Anchorage Weekly Times, of Anchorage; J. L. McAllen, of Willow Creek Mines, Wasilla; the Seward Gateway, of Seward; Ivan L. Peterson, of Chickaloon; H. N. Evans, of Kanatak; J. B. O'Neill, of McCarthy; C. C. Heid and Charles Zielke, of Nenana; the First National Bank, the Fairbanks Exploration Co., G. E. Jennings and Henry Cook, of Fairbanks; J. J. Hillard, of Eagle; C. E. M. Cole, of Jack Wade; A. W. Amero, of Beaver; E. J. Ulen and Capt. E. G. Rowden, of Wiseman; A. J. Griffin, of Richardson; Alex. Mitchell, of Kantishna; the Miners and Merchants Bank, of Iditarod; Frank Speljack, of Ophir; William N. Growden and Oliver Anderson, of Ruby; John Haroldson, Ed. Sinclair, and J. L. Jean, of Quinhagak; Charles Mespelt, of Medfra; George W. Hoffman, of Napamute; S. M. Gaylord, of Casadepaga; Hammon Consolidated Gold Fields, R. W. J. Reed, the Miners and Merchants Bank, and Lomen Bros.,

of Nome; A. V. Cordovado, of Deering; A. S. Tucker, of Bluff; Goldsmith Dredging Co., of Solomon; Robert Benson, of Kougarok; T. P. Roust, of Candle; Wallace L. Johnson, of Council; Arthur W. Johnson, of Haycock; Lewis Lloyd and James C. Cross, of Shungnak; and R. S. Hall, of Wainwright.

MINERAL PRODUCTION

GENERAL FEATURES

The total value of the mineral production of Alaska in 1928 was \$14,061,000. This was furnished by a number of mineral products, of which the most valuable were gold and copper. The total was about a third of a million dollars less than in the preceding year, but this decrease amounts to less than 2½ per cent of the total value in 1927, so that, in a broad way, it is evident that the amount of money won from the Alaska mines in 1928 showed no marked change from that of the preceding year. Viewed in more detail, however, there were decided changes in the value of the individual mineral commodities. For instance, the amount of copper produced was only about 75 per cent of the amount produced in 1927, and its value was a million and a quarter dollars less. On the other hand, the value of the gold produced in 1928 was over \$900,000 more than in 1927, and the amount of coal produced in 1928 was greater than ever before in the history of Alaska coal mining. These and other facts relating to the individual metals and mineral products are discussed in more detail in the pages of this report devoted to the different products.

On the whole the market prices for many of the metals that enter most largely into Alaska's metal production were better than for the preceding year. This statement of course does not apply to gold, for its price is constant. According to the Bureau of Mines, which computes the average price of metals for each year, silver brought 58.5 cents an ounce in 1928, against 56.7 cents in 1927, and copper brought 14.4 cents a pound in 1928, against 13.1 cents in 1927. Some of the metals that enter in smaller amounts into the total mineral production, however, brought a lower price in 1928 than in the preceding year. Thus, according to the Bureau of Mines, the average selling price of lead in 1928 was 5.8 cents a pound, as against 6.3 cents in 1927; the price of tin was 50.46 cents a pound, against 64.37 cents in 1927; and the price of platinum was \$75 an ounce, against \$85 in 1927. The net results of the fluctuation in prices was to increase materially the value of the output in 1928, the increase of 1.3 cents a pound for copper affording an increased income of half a million dollars over what would have been received if the price of copper for 1927 had prevailed during 1928.

Although there was no outstanding new development during the year, the general tone of the mining industry appeared encouraging. Several of the projects that before had been in preparatory stages came into production. The most noteworthy of these was the starting of dredging on the properties of the Fairbanks Exploration Co. in the Fairbanks district. This project had been in a development stage for a number of years, and many million dollars had been expended in prospecting the ground and installing the required mining equipment, so that the end of this preparatory period and the beginning of real production marks an event of great significance. It was not only in actual production, however, that the season of 1928 appeared encouraging, but rather in the greater interest in mining that appears to have been taken by many people who during the last decade at least had shown little activity in mining ventures. Throughout the Territory were heard rumors of renewed interest in mining projects. Doubtless many of these projects are destined to be dropped before they have progressed far, but some of them are likely to be carefully examined and, if undertaken, have excellent chances of being productive. In fact, the present tendency among even the most primitive prospectors seems to be to test the ground more adequately before commitment rather than after difficulties arise. At some of the larger mines the application of most advanced engineering procedure has made them outstanding examples of efficient and economical operation. Even the ordinary traveler in Alaska becomes aware of an undercurrent of new interest in mining. Almost every passenger vessel bound to or from Alaska carries engineers, promoters, or capitalists who are engaged on some mining mission, and most of them are interested in large-scale enterprises requiring considerable outlay rather than in the hand-to-mouth operations that were so characteristic of the past. Out in the hills prospectors are still all too scarce, but even there they are beginning to be somewhat more numerous than in the last few years, though it is still difficult for them to accumulate enough money to finance a prospecting trip, and many of the good prospectors must eke out their slender funds by devoting most of their time to trapping or some other work that will bring an immediate return. The encouragement given to prospectors by the payment from the treasury of the Territory of certain of their expenses incident to transportation is said to have shown beneficial results, though the law has been in effect only since April, 1927, and the official in charge of this work states in his report³ that "sufficient data are not yet in

³ Report on cooperation between the Territory of Alaska and the United States in making mining investigations and in the inspection of mines for the biennium ending Mar. 31, 1929, p. 29, Juneau, 1929.

hand to form a comprehensive basis for judging the results achieved."

General conditions affecting transportation throughout the Territory showed continued improvement. The officials of the Alaska Railroad displayed new interest in trying to make the services of the railroad meet the needs of mining operations adjacent to its route. The officials of the Alaska Road Commission have mapped out a broad program for road development and made notable progress on many of the projects that were in course of construction that will be of service in developing the mineral resources of the country. One of the outstanding results was the building of the highway linking Circle with Fairbanks, whereby automobiles can make the trip between the two places in only a few hours. Airplane travel is growing increasingly common, and prospectors and miners are now using this means of transportation to reach remote parts of the Territory that were almost inaccessible by other means. The remarkable records of the Alaska pilots in reaching their destinations with sureness and safety have given a great impetus to airplane travel, and its speed makes it more economical than many of the usual methods.

The accompanying table shows the value of the mineral output of Alaska for each year from 1880, the first year for which records are available, to and including 1928; also the distribution of the total value among the metals and minerals that contributed to it. The total value for this period is nearly \$600,000,000, about five-eighths of which has been afforded by gold and more than 95 per cent by gold and copper together.

Value of total mineral production of Alaska, 1880-1928

By years:		By years—Continued.	
1880-----	\$6,826	1893-----	\$1,104,982
1881-----	15,000	1894-----	1,339,332
1882-----	23,000	1895-----	2,588,832
1883-----	67,146	1896-----	2,885,029
1884-----	72,000	1897-----	2,539,294
1885-----	425,000	1898-----	2,329,016
1886-----	540,000	1899-----	5,425,262
1887-----	657,000	1900-----	7,995,209
1888-----	667,181	1901-----	7,306,381
1889-----	847,490	1902-----	8,475,813
1890-----	873,276	1903-----	9,088,564
1891-----	1,014,211	1904-----	9,627,495
1892-----	1,019,493	1905-----	16,490,720

* \$37,205 for coal produced prior to 1890 should be distributed among these years, but data are not available for this purpose, and the entire value of that coal has been credited to 1890.

By years—Continued.

1906.....	\$23, 501, 770
1907.....	20, 840, 571
1908.....	20, 092, 501
1909.....	21, 140, 810
1910.....	16, 875, 226
1911.....	20, 720, 480
1912.....	22, 581, 943
1913.....	19, 547, 292
1914.....	19, 109, 731
1915.....	32, 790, 344
1916.....	48, 386, 508
1917.....	40, 694, 804
1918.....	28, 218, 935
1919.....	19, 626, 824
1920.....	23, 330, 586
1921.....	16, 994, 302
1922.....	19, 420, 121
1923.....	20, 330, 643
1924.....	17, 457, 333
1925.....	18, 220, 692

By years—Continued.

1926.....	\$17, 664, 800
1927.....	14, 404, 000
1928.....	14, 061, 000
	<hr/>
	599, 435, 000

By substances:

Gold.....	373, 080, 000
Copper.....	200, 878, 000
Silver.....	11, 486, 000
Coal.....	6, 214, 000
Tin.....	1, 048, 000
Lead.....	1, 440, 000
Marble and other products (includ- ing platinum metals).....	5, 289, 000
	<hr/>
	599, 435, 000

In Figure 1 is shown graphically the curve of total mineral production for each year from 1890 to 1928. From this curve it will be evident that for more than 20 years, except for the inflation marked by the years of the World War, the annual mineral production for Alaska has ranged between \$14,000,000 and \$23,000,000. In this period the value for 1928 was the least since 1904, but there is as yet no evidence that a permanent waning of the industry as a whole is in progress, and the mining of certain of the metals is undoubtedly on the increase.

Mineral output of Alaska, 1928 and 1927

	1928		1927		Increase or decrease, 1928	
	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
Gold..... fine ounces..	331, 140	\$6, 845, 000	286, 720	\$5, 927, 000	+44, 420	+\$918, 000
Copper..... pounds..	41, 421, 000	5, 965, 000	55, 343, 000	7, 250, 000	-13, 922, 000	-1, 285, 000
Silver..... fine ounces..	454, 700	266, 000	627, 800	356, 000	-173, 100	-90, 000
Coal..... short tons..	126, 100	662, 000	104, 300	548, 000	+21, 800	+114, 000
Tin, metallic..... do..	41.0	41, 000	26.7	34, 000	+14.3	+7, 000
Lead..... do..	1, 019	118, 000	1, 008	127, 000	+11	-9, 000
Miscellaneous mineral prod- ucts, including petroleum, platinum, metals, marble, gypsum, etc.....		164, 000		162, 000		+2, 000
		14, 061, 000		14, 404, 000		-343, 000

An analysis of the production of minerals for the year 1928 is given in the above table, from which it will be seen that the value of the gold and copper together amounted to about 91 per cent




FIGURE 1.—Trends of mineral production of Alaska, 1890-1928

of the total and that of the gold to about 49 per cent. Each mineral product is discussed in more detail in the following pages, where are set down such facts as are available regarding the amount of each product, the places from which it comes, and new developments.

GOLD

TOTAL PRODUCTION

The total value of gold produced from Alaska mines in 1928 was \$6,845,000, as contrasted with \$5,927,000 in 1927, an increase of \$918,000. The general trend of gold mining in Alaska since 1890 is graphically represented by one of the curves on Figure 1. From 1890 to 1904 the curve for the value of the gold production practically coincides with the curve for the value of the total mineral production of Alaska and marks a fairly even upward trend. From 1904 to 1906 there was an abrupt increase in the value of the gold, marking the boom periods of many of the placer camps. From the peak of gold production in 1906 there was a gradual decline for the next 10 years, and during the period of the war there followed a rather rapid decrease to less than \$10,000,000 a year. After 1922 the gold production was fairly uniform and was between about \$6,000,000 and \$7,000,000 a year; the largest amount was produced in 1928.

There are two principal types of deposits from which the gold is recovered—lodes and placers. The lodes are the mineralized veins or masses of ore in the country rock that were in general formed through deep-seated geologic processes and represent material in place. The placers are deposits of sand and gravel which have been worn from the hard rocks in their general vicinity and in which the loose grains of gold or other valuable minerals have been more or less concentrated by surficial geologic processes that were effective because of some distinctive physical or chemical property of the material thus concentrated.

The following table shows the amount and value of the gold produced annually for the last 13 years, the total amount that has been produced since gold mining began in the Territory in 1880, and the value of the gold that has been derived from each of the two principal types of gold mines. Of the \$373,000,000 worth of gold that has been produced from Alaska mines, \$250,000,000, or 67 per cent, has come from placers and \$123,000,000, or 33 per cent, from lodes. The relation between these two sources of gold in the past has varied widely. Thus up to 1898 the lode production was greater than that from the placers. Then ensued a period of more than 20 years when

the placer production far exceeded that from the lodes. Since 1919 the production from each source has been approximately the same. There is reason to believe that in the future the production from lodes is more likely to show an increase than that from placers. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the peak of lode-gold production has yet been reached.

Gold and silver produced in Alaska, 1880-1928

Year	Gold		Silver		Value of gold by sources	
	Fine ounces	Value	Fine ounces	Value	Placer mines	Lode mines
1880-1915.....	12, 592, 121	\$260, 302, 243	4, 923, 198	\$2, 821, 911	\$185, 200, 444	\$75, 101, 799
1916.....	834, 068	17, 241, 713	1, 379, 171	907, 495	11, 140, 000	6, 101, 713
1917.....	709, 049	14, 657, 353	1, 239, 150	1, 021, 060	9, 810, 000	4, 847, 353
1918.....	458, 641	9, 480, 952	847, 789	847, 789	5, 900, 000	3, 580, 952
1919.....	455, 984	9, 426, 032	629, 708	705, 708	4, 970, 000	4, 456, 032
1920.....	404, 683	8, 365, 560	953, 546	1, 039, 364	3, 873, 000	4, 492, 560
1921.....	390, 558	8, 073, 540	761, 085	761, 085	4, 226, 000	3, 847, 540
1922.....	359, 057	7, 422, 367	729, 945	729, 945	4, 395, 000	3, 027, 367
1923.....	289, 539	5, 985, 314	814, 649	668, 012	3, 608, 500	2, 376, 814
1924.....	304, 072	6, 285, 724	669, 641	448, 659	3, 564, 000	2, 721, 724
1925.....	307, 679	6, 360, 281	608, 259	482, 495	3, 223, 000	3, 137, 281
1926.....	324, 450	6, 707, 000	690, 000	430, 500	3, 769, 000	2, 938, 000
1927.....	286, 720	5, 927, 000	627, 800	356, 000	2, 982, 000	2, 945, 000
1928.....	331, 140	6, 845, 000	454, 700	266, 000	3, 347, 000	3, 498, 000
	18, 048, 760	373, 080, 000	15, 418, 700	11, 486, 000	250, 008, 000	123, 072, 000

In the foregoing table the amount of silver produced by Alaska mines has also been given, though a detailed discussion of the source of the silver minerals is given on a later page. All gold that is found in nature, either in lodes or in placers, contains some silver. Furthermore, many lodes contain more than one valuable mineral constituent, so that even those lodes that are principally valuable for their gold content may derive considerable additional return from the sale of the silver, copper, lead, or other subordinate minerals, and doubtless some of the operating mines could not be worked at a profit except for the additional value of those other minerals. It is therefore not practicable, except through an undesirably minute classification, to tabulate in detail all the sources of gold-bearing material. In the following table, which lists the sources from which gold was produced in 1928, all the ores from lode mines that yielded gold have been segregated from those that carry copper, and the gold recovered from placers is stated separately. No gold is recovered from the ores here classed as principally valuable for their copper content, though these are the ores that are the source of most of the silver that is recovered. The absence of any appreciable quantity of gold in the ores from which most of the Alaska copper is produced is a noteworthy but as yet unexplained feature.

Gold and silver produced in Alaska, 1928, by sources

Source	Gold		Silver	
	Fine ounces	Value	Fine ounces	Value
Gold ores (3,736,500 tons).....	169,220	3,498,000	80,340	47,000
Copper ores.....			350,430	205,000
Placers.....	161,920	3,347,000	23,930	14,000
	331,140	6,845,000	454,700	266,000

GOLD LODES

As has been stated, \$3,498,000 in gold was produced from Alaska lode mines in 1928. This was approximately 51 per cent of the entire gold production of the Territory for that year. It was recovered from widely distributed mines, but more than 93 per cent came from southeastern Alaska, as shown in the following table.

Gold and silver produced from gold-lode mines in Alaska in 1928 by districts

District	Ore mined (short tons)	Gold		Silver	
		Fine ounces	Value	Fine ounces	Value
Southeastern Alaska.....	3,720,000	157,575	\$3,257,200	78,900	\$46,158
Willow Creek.....	6,000	5,030	104,000	190	112
Fairbanks district.....	5,500	4,010	82,900	780	456
Other districts.....	5,000	2,605	53,900	470	274
	3,736,500	169,220	3,498,000	80,340	47,000

Of the Alaska lode gold mines the properties of the Alaska Juneau Gold Mining Co. in southeastern Alaska are by far the largest, and that company alone produced nearly 90 per cent of the total lode-gold output of the Territory in 1928. The magnitude of this company's mining operations is set forth in the company's published report to its stockholders, from which the following statements are abstracted. The total rock mined and trammed to the mill in 1928 was 3,718,140 tons, or an average of 10,186 tons a day. Of this amount 1,922,949 tons of coarse tailings were rejected and 1,795,191 tons were fine milled. The average gold content of all the material mined was \$1.11 a ton. The amount of gold in that part of the rock which was rejected was about 21 cents a ton and the value of the gold content of the rock that was further treated was about \$2.07 a ton. Of this content gold worth 32 cents was lost during the treatment, \$1.34 was recovered as bullion, and 41 cents was recovered in the concentrates which were subsequently smelted. The following table, compiled from the published reports of the Alaska Juneau

Gold Mining Co., summarizes the mining record of this company since the beginning of its operations in 1893:

Production of Alaska Juneau mine, 1893-1928

Year	Ore (tons)			Metals recovered			
	Total	Fine milled	Coarse tailings rejected	Gold	Silver (ounces)	Lead (pounds)	Total value
1893-1913.....	507, 254	330, 278	176, 976	\$707, 730	Lost in tailing.		\$707, 730
1914-1915.....	242, 328	239, 918	2, 410	251, 655	6, 192	117, 031	261, 326
1916.....	180, 113	180, 113	-----	115, 022	2, 844	61, 068	121, 378
1917.....	677, 410	677, 410	-----	429, 262	12, 248	296, 179	460, 666
1918.....	592, 218	574, 285	17, 933	430, 124	11, 828	273, 297	459, 445
1919.....	692, 895	616, 302	76, 593	499, 002	16, 431	359, 762	542, 714
1920.....	942, 870	637, 321	305, 549	732, 870	23, 348	487, 574	791, 389
1921.....	1, 613, 600	904, 323	709, 277	969, 703	40, 619	550, 913	1, 035, 251
1922.....	2, 310, 550	1, 108, 559	1, 201, 991	1, 296, 157	49, 404	687, 315	1, 388, 679
1923.....	2, 476, 240	1, 134, 759	1, 341, 481	1, 427, 199	41, 876	755, 423	1, 514, 774
1924.....	3, 068, 190	1, 367, 528	1, 700, 662	1, 907, 374	63, 191	1, 256, 857	2, 055, 782
1925.....	2, 481, 780	1, 537, 884	1, 943, 896	2, 030, 067	55, 971	1, 288, 974	2, 184, 384
1926.....	3, 829, 700	1, 649, 678	2, 180, 022	1, 931, 052	52, 333	1, 300, 915	2, 067, 836
1927.....	4, 267, 810	1, 839, 695	2, 428, 115	2, 328, 540	61, 232	1, 513, 306	2, 463, 262
1928.....	3, 718, 140	1, 795, 191	1, 922, 949	3, 142, 808	77, 491	2, 038, 655	3, 316, 019
	28, 601, 098	14, 593, 244	14, 017, 854	18, 198, 565	515, 109	10, 987, 269	19, 370, 637

This record is especially impressive for the last few years, when operating costs have been successively reduced, until now they stand at so low a figure as to compel the highest admiration for the mining administration that has developed such efficient operation. For 1928 the cost of mining is stated by the company to have been 29.66 cents for each ton of ore trammed to the mill, the cost of milling was 22.85 cents, and all other operating and marketing costs and expenses, including interest, amounted to 10.31 cents, making the entire cost for each ton of ore trammed only 62.82 cents. During the year not only have the mining and milling costs been kept at a low figure but the tenor of the ore handled has been much higher. As a result the value of the gold recovered from each ton of rock mined in 1928 was 84 cents, as against 55 cents in 1927 and 50 cents in 1926; in fact, the tenor of the ore was the highest since 1915—that is, during the entire period of enlarged operations by this company. In addition to its usual mining activities this company during the year successfully negotiated the acquisition, by the issuance of stock, of all the physical properties in Alaska of the Alaska Treadwell Gold Mining Co., the Alaska Mexican Gold Mining Co., and the Alaska United Gold Mining Co., most of which are on Douglas Island a short distance west of Juneau. As a result of this transaction the company acquired, among other things, three hydroelectric power plants. The company also took an active part in prospecting and development work in the Taku region, where promising lead-zinc-gold-silver deposits have been discovered. Some of these claims

lie in British Columbia, but others are in the United States. At the end of 1928 development work on these claims had not proceeded far enough to allow any definite statement of their true character, but the indications were regarded as distinctly favorable for disclosing ore bodies of value.

The next most productive gold mines in southeastern Alaska were the Hirst-Chichagof and those of the Apex-El Nido Mining Co. The Hirst-Chichagof mine is near the head of Mine Bay, on the west coast of Chichagof Island about 60 miles northwest of Sitka. No noteworthy new developments were reported as having been made on the property during the year, and work appears to have been carried on at approximately the same rate as heretofore. The Apex-El Nido mines are in the northern part of Chichagof Island, on the south side of Lisianski Inlet about 5 miles southeast of its junction with Lisianski Strait. Work on this property was suspended late in June, and the manager, who was in poor health, went to the States. Later in the season engineers were sent to make a thorough examination of the property, but no report of the results of these studies has been made public, and no information is available as to when mining operations will be resumed. In this same general region, at the head of Klag Bay, is the property of the Chichagoff Mines, which has long been one of the well-known mines of the Territory. At this mine practically only development work was in progress, so that its gold production was much less than usual. This company has recently been undergoing refinancing and reorganization, as a result of which increased output is to be expected as soon as the necessary changes have been effected. No detailed statements, as to its plans, however, have been given out by the company. Elsewhere on Chichagof Island there are a number of small gold-lode properties on which some prospecting and development work was carried on, but none of them is reported to have made any material production during the year.

In the Funter Bay region, on Admiralty Island, development work was continued at the Admiralty-Alaska Gold Mining Co.'s mine and the Williams mine. At the first-named about 5,400 feet of diamond drilling was done with the view of determining the extent and size of the mineralization. The results of this work, together with the reports of several mining engineers who made a careful examination of the property, were sufficiently encouraging for the management to plan an extensive development program. Reports were current that steps were being taken to reopen the old Jualin mine, to the north of Juneau in the Berners Bay region, and that negotiations were in progress looking toward resumption of work at the near-by Kensington mine, but no production of gold from either

of these properties was made in 1928. Near Windham Bay, south of Juneau, the Jacob Marty Mines has continued development work on a number of claims but has not yet reached an operating stage.

The Hyder district, at the head of Portland Canal, in southeastern Alaska, was the scene of continued prospecting during the year, but very little gold was produced from any of its mines. The geology and mineral resources of this region have recently been fully described by Buddington,⁵ and his account of the individual properties and of the district as a whole encourages prospecting there. During 1928 the greatest amount of development work was done on claims of the Mountainview Gold Mining Co. on Fish Creek, about 6 miles north of Hyder. Several thousand feet of development work has already been done, and the results are said to be encouraging, though the only production has been a few tons shipped to outside smelters for test runs. The ore in addition to gold carries lead, silver, and some copper. Shortage of funds necessitated cessation of the work late in the year, but this was regarded as only temporary. In the vicinity of the Mountainview property some prospecting and development work was in progress on the claims belonging to the Titan Mining Co. Throughout the year the Riverside mine, which in earlier years had been the most productive mine in the Hyder camp, was idle, owing, it is stated, to difficulties in management. No statement has been given out as to when mining is likely to be resumed there. Farther north, in the Texas Creek region, prospecting and search for workable ore deposits has been continued with reported encouraging results, though no shipments of ore or bullion were made.

In the Ketchikan district gold lodes have long been recognized and in the past have yielded considerable gold, though recently most of them have been inactive. In 1928 the most productive gold mine in the district was that of the Kassan Gold Mining Co. near Hollis, on Prince of Wales Island. This mine has been developed to a depth of about 600 feet and several levels turned off. The general gold tenor of the ore is rather low, so that unless mining is done on a large scale the margin of profit is likely to be small. Considerable graphite occurs with the ore, and this makes recovery of the gold on ordinary plates difficult. In fact, the plates become dirty so quickly that lately their use has been discontinued, and it is the practice to send the finely crushed ore directly to Wilfley tables, where concentration is effected; the middlings after further grinding are passed through flotation cells, where the mineral they contain is recovered. Power is obtained by means of a 100-horsepower Diesel

⁵ Buddington, A. F., *Geology of Hyder and vicinity, southeastern Alaska*: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 807, 124 pp., maps, 1929.

engine burning distillate, which costs at the property about 6½ cents a gallon. An attempt to acquire hydroelectric power by developing the Karta River has been unsuccessful, as that stream is required for other purposes. On Helm Bay north of Ketchikan a little gold-lode mining was done in 1928 on the Gold Standard property. At this place a small 5-stamp mill operated by local water power was in use part of the summer, and the material passing over the plates was concentrated on a table, the concentrates being shipped to Tacoma for treatment at a smelter. A little other prospecting is in progress in the vicinity of Helm Bay, and it is reported that during 1928 several of the old mines and prospects were thoroughly sampled by a capable mining engineer, evidently with the view of reopening them if they proved suitable. The results of this investigation have not been made public. A little work was also done on one of the old properties near Dolomi, on Prince of Wales Island, and a small amount of gold produced. Some work was also started at the Peerless mine, on Thorne Arm, but it was discontinued early in the season. No work was reported to have been in progress at the mine of the Alaska Palladium Co. on Kasaan Peninsula, though rumors were current that developments might be resumed in the near future.

The Willow Creek district north of the head of Cook Inlet was the second most productive gold-lode camp in the Territory in 1928. Its production, however, was somewhat less than in 1927, owing largely to cessation of productive work on some of the properties pending reorganizations and the laying out of new plans for their development. The largest producers of lode gold in this region are the Willow Creek Mines, the Golden Bear Mining Co., the Marion-Twin Gold Mining Co., the Fern Gold Mining Co., and the Mabel mine. The Lucky Shot and War Baby mines of the Willow Creek Mines were operated during much of the year under lease by a group of miners, as that system has proved effective heretofore in the operation of the Gold Bullion mine of the same company. Unfortunately, in November, when work for the season had practically ceased, the mill at the Lucky Shot mine caught fire and was completely destroyed. Plans were immediately made to rebuild the mill, and material for the new structure was arriving on the ground during the winter and was being freighted to the mill site, so as to be on hand early in the open season of 1929. At the other properties much of the season of 1928 was spent in development work, both in the mines and in the mills, and the results of this work should be reflected by increased production later. No new discoveries of moment were made, but throughout the camp revival of activity was evident.

In the Fairbanks region gold-lode mining was continued in 1928, in both the Ester Dome and Pedro Dome areas, on a somewhat larger scale than in the preceding year, though at several of the mills the operations were hampered by shortage of water. In the vicinity of Ester Dome, which lies northwest of Fairbanks, the principal producing properties were the Mohawk and Elmes mines, on the northeastern slopes of the dome, and the Eva Quartz Co. and First Chance properties, on the Ester Creek side. Reports were current late in the season that an English company had taken up its options on the old Ryan lode, on the eastern flanks of the dome, and would press development work actively next season. In the vicinity of Pedro Dome the greatest gold-lode production was reported from the Crites & Feldmann mine and the McCarty mine, both in the valley of Fairbanks Creek; from the property of Heath & Kearns, on Dome Creek; and from the Wyoming mine of the Wackwitz Bros., on Bedrock Creek, a tributary of Cleary Creek. The Crites & Feldmann mine has long been the principal producer in this region, and work there continued at practically the same rate as heretofore. The Heath & Kearns mine is being developed on the Soo claim, formerly part of the property earlier exploited by Spaulding. The McCarty mine is near the summit of the ridge at the head of Fairbanks Creek, where showings of especially rich quartz float had been found the year before. At the Wyoming mine considerable new underground developments had been made and some new surface construction done during the year. In the valley of Bedrock Creek, on the old Rhoads-Hall property, now known as the Cleary Hills Mines, a new shaft had been sunk and other underground work done but no production of gold reported. Several other small operations that were merely in a prospecting stage were also reported to have had some work done on them during the year.

Among the gold-lode producing districts grouped in the table on page 12 under the heading "Other districts," the most productive are the mines and prospects on Kenai Peninsula—including the Nuka Bay region, the region south of Hope, and the hills north of Girdwood—and in the Kuskokwim region at the old Pearson & Strand mine, on a tributary of Nixon Fork. In the Nuka Bay region the greatest amount of gold was recovered from the Babcock & Downey property. Work at the Alaska Hills mine closed early owing to a slide which destroyed several of the buildings. Some work was done on gold-lode claims on each side of the Alaska Hills mine. Two prospectors were driving short tunnels to disclose the geologic conditions at a property on the right arm of Nuka Bay, and two or three other prospectors were looking for opportunities to locate promising ground in the district.

In the vicinity of Girdwood prospecting and development work has been in progress at several places near the head of Crow Creek, and a small amount of lode gold was produced. The most active work is reported to have been done on the old Monarch group of claims; on the old Jewell claims, to the south; and on the Gunnysack group of claims, to the south of the Jewell, but small amounts of prospecting were also done at several other places in that region. Farther south on Kenai Peninsula proper the Lucky Strike mine, on Palmer Creek, was again in operation, and the owners reported that recent developments had disclosed ore that appeared to be better than the ordinary run which had lately been produced. In addition to the gold recovered on the plates at this mill some concentrates were produced and shipped to a smelter in the States for treatment. Several small lode-gold prospects, at which some work was done during the season, were also reported at a number of points near the Alaska Railroad, notably at mile 20, mile 40, and mile 43½. At several other places lode prospecting was in progress, but so far as known it amounted to little more than the annual assessment work required by law.

In the Kuskokwim Valley the only lode-gold production reported came from the old Pearson & Strand mine, operated by Charles Mespelt, on Ruby Creek, in the Nixon Fork district. No details regarding the recent developments at this mine are available, but apparently more work was done in 1928 than during the last few years. In connection with this general region it may be of interest to record the fact that according to the published annual report of the Alaska Treadwell Gold Mining Co. for 1928, that company during the year wrote off the last of the item for its earlier expenditures for developing a property in this region, though it had discontinued work at that place several years before.

In addition to the operations in these various districts and camps from which some lode gold was produced in 1928, there was renewed activity in prospecting for gold lodes and rehabilitating some of the mines that had been idle for several years at other places in Alaska. In the vicinity of Valdez and at other points on Prince William Sound a good deal of interest was displayed in revived activities at several of the old properties. According to local reports a new mill was being constructed at the Ethel mine, development was in progress at the Little Giant mine, a new lease had been taken on the Ramsay-Rutherford property, and late in the year the old Granite mine was acquired by a company that proposed to reopen it. Prospecting for lodes was continued in the Tiekell region, and the finds are reported to have been encouraging. During the driving of the tunnel for the Eklutna power development a quartz vein was cut

that was said to carry gold, but further examination failed to indicate that it had commercial value. No new developments were reported on the lodes in the Valdez Creek region. In the Nome region further development work was carried on at the Hed & Strand mine, but this property has not yet reached a productive stage. A little search for gold lodes was carried on at a few other points in Seward Peninsula but appears not to have disclosed workable deposits.

GOLD PLACERS

GENERAL CONDITIONS

Placer mining in Alaska in 1928 returned gold worth more than a third of a million dollars in excess of the amount produced in 1927, and on the whole the industry seemed to be in a flourishing condition for still further increase in the near future.

The annual production of placer gold and certain other data relating to Alaska's gold production are graphically represented in Figure 2. From this diagram may be traced the changes that have taken place in the industry. Thus in no year from the beginning of the industry in 1880 to 1898 did the production of placer gold amount to as much as \$1,000,000, and the average during that period was less than \$280,000. In 1899 there was a sudden increase, marking the discoveries of Nome and some of the camps in the upper Yukon Valley, which were soon followed by the discovery of Fairbanks and many of the other camps of the interior, resulting in a golden period that lasted through 1916, during which the annual yield of placer gold averaged more than \$10,000,000 and in 1906 reached the peak of nearly \$19,000,000. In 1918, after the entry of the United States into the World War, placer production dropped to less than \$5,000,000, and in the 10 years since that time it has fluctuated between that amount and \$3,000,000.

The increased production of placer gold in 1928 is to be attributed to the generally favorable weather that prevailed in most of the placer districts of the Territory and to the coming into production of some of the large enterprises that had been in the course of development during preceding years. The success of so many of the placer operations depends on adequate water supply that weather conditions which afford abundant rainfall are regarded by the placer miners as especially desirable. During the open season of 1928, throughout most of interior Alaska in which the placer camps are situated, there was fairly abundant rainfall in the early months and again late in the fall, though the middle of the season was rather dry. The break-up of the streams in the spring and their freezing up in the fall occurred at about the same dates as usual, so that the length of the mining season was about normal.




FIGURE 2.—Trends of total value of gold production of Alaska, 1880-1928, and proportion of gold derived from lodes and placers

The principal increase in placer-mining operations was the beginning of actual mining on the big dredging enterprise in the Fairbanks district that has been in course of development by the Fairbanks Exploration Co. for several years. Two large dredges that were built during the winter of 1927-28 started digging early in the season, and a smaller dredge was built during the summer and was in operation during the last months of the season. Several dredges that had been constructed by other companies during 1927 but had been completed so late in that season that they had mined only a short time were able to operate throughout much of the season of 1928. These larger well-managed enterprises not only contributed notably to the direct output of gold during the current year but are of even greater significance in assuring continued activity in placer mining. They also have many indirect effects in stimulating the industry, for they afford employment whereby prospectors can accumulate funds with which to do prospecting on their own account during the off season, and by their demonstration of sound engineering practice they afford excellent training to prospectors in efficient methods of conducting various phases of mining, some of which can be effectively employed by individuals on personal holdings. On the whole, however, the lessons taught by these larger enterprises tend to dissuade the prospector from searching for small tracts that can be mined by one or two men, so that much of the search that is now being made, even by individual prospectors, is directed to the discovery of large tracts that can be mined only by well-financed companies. This in a way is unfortunate, for it is difficult for the individual to prospect a large tract adequately, and a large company that might undertake the development of such a tract, realizing the large amount that it must spend in preliminary investigation, is seldom willing to meet the price asked by the prospector. In other words, many of the prospectors are spending their time searching for a thing which, if found, will be difficult to dispose of at a high price. On the other hand, however, there is an increasing interest on the part of capitalists in large properties that hold promise of being profitably mined, so that the prospector can usually dispose of his finds provided the price he asks is in accord with the showings. It is believed that there are still large tracts of Alaska which have not yet been thoroughly prospected or adequately examined for large-scale placer operations. Most of these areas do not appear to give promise of holding bonanza deposits that can be won cheaply. There are, however, extensive areas in which, it is confidently believed, large, well-organized, and well-managed companies will find placers that can be mined profitably for many years.

At the present time wages appear to be relatively higher and costs lower in the States than in Alaska, so that incentive for a new generation of prospectors to come to Alaska and take part in the search for new placers is lacking. This condition, however, is not regarded as permanent, and when conditions change so that active prospecting is renewed in the Territory the opportunities that still await the earnest worker will probably prove to be very great.

PRODUCTION BY DISTRICTS

From the description already given as to the methods used in collecting and interpreting the information that forms the basis of this report it is probably evident that it is more difficult to obtain accurate facts regarding the production of placer gold than regarding any of the other items. This is due to the great number of small producers, who are widely scattered and many of whom are in the most remote parts of the Territory. The gold they produce frequently passes through many hands before it finally reaches a mint or assay office, so that a single lot is difficult to trace. It may appear in the reports of the individual and then lose its identity by being lumped with other gold by the storekeeper who took it in exchange for supplies, and still further consolidated by the bank, perhaps in some distant district, to which it was sent by the merchant, and its course perhaps still further obscured by being shipped to another bank before being turned in to the mint. Every reasonable effort has been made to check the information from different sources and to adjust discrepancies so far as possible. As a result it is believed that the figures given for the total placer production are in accord with the actual facts. The distribution of this total among the different districts, however, is open to much more serious errors, as gold produced in one district, unless reported to the Geological Survey by the original producer, may be credited to some other district through which it passed in the course of trade. For instance, in 1928 a small amount of gold that was evidently mined in Alaska was nearly excluded in this tabulation because it had been credited to Oregon through being turned in by a resident of that State. In spite of the possibility of some error in the distribution of placer gold among the different districts, the following table has been prepared to show the comparative standing of the different districts as accurately as possible. The greatest amount came from the Yukon Basin and the next largest from Seward Peninsula. Placer mining in each of the main regions will be discussed in some detail in the following pages, and the more notable events of the year will be recorded for each region.

Value of placer gold produced in Alaska in 1928 and 1927

Region	1928	1927	Decrease or increase, 1928
Southeastern Alaska.....	\$9,800	\$20,000	-\$10,200
Copper River region.....	110,000	89,000	+21,000
Cook Inlet and Susitna region.....	72,800	66,000	+6,800
Yukon Basin.....	1,876,500	1,282,000	+594,500
Kuskokwim region.....	217,500	151,000	+66,500
Seward Peninsula.....	1,056,300	1,365,000	-308,700
Kobuk region.....	4,100	9,000	-4,900
	3,347,000	2,982,000	+365,000

SOUTHEASTERN ALASKA

Although southeastern Alaska is rich in gold lodes, its placers are of relatively small extent and yield only a little gold, because throughout most of the region the topography is mountainous, with precipitous slopes leading down from the crests of the ridges to the ocean waters or to the valley floors and affording little or no lodgment for detrital material. Furthermore, so much of the region was occupied in the recent past by glaciers that there is an almost complete lack of deposits produced through the long-continued sorting action that is so essential for the formation of rich placers. Even along the coast there are almost no beaches where concentration has long been effective. In the lowlands along the larger streams, in some of which great amounts of detrital material have been dumped by past processes, sorting action conducive to the formation of rich placers has been relatively slight, and much of the material handled by the streams has not been subjected to weathering and similar processes which unlock the mineral grains of different kinds and thus promote separation through physical differences. There is therefore small likelihood that southeastern Alaska as a whole holds much promise as a placer region, though in a few places where special geologic conditions prevail there is a prospect of finding placers of local value.

In 1928 there were two main tracts in southeastern Alaska from which some placer gold was recovered. These were the Porcupine River district and the Yakataga district. The principal work in the Porcupine River district was done on claims of the Porcupine Mining Co., where 35 men are said to have been employed throughout the open season in development work on a large hydraulic enterprise that has been going through the preparatory stage for the last two years. Much of the preliminary work is now reported to have been completed, so that another year productive operations can be carried on more continuously. Two or three smaller camps were

also engaged in placer mining in the district, but their efforts were largely directed to prospecting, and their output of gold was small.

In the Yakataga district the placers are all of the beach type, occurring in the stretch of coast where sorting by the ocean is effective. Their position exposes the workings to the waves of the Pacific, so that except under favorable weather conditions they can not be mined, and even then the use of extensive mechanical appliances is precluded. As a result only two or three small camps of two men or so each are engaged in mining, and though the amount of gold they produce is small, relatively to the size and expense of the operations it is large. Mining on the coast has been carried on at about the same scale as in 1928 for several years.

The only other place in southeastern Alaska at which some production of placer gold was reported in 1928 was on Montana Creek, a tributary of the Eagle River, a short distance north of Juneau. Four men were employed at this place, but most of their time was spent in preparatory work, so that they produced only a little gold.

COPPER RIVER REGION

In the Copper River Valley there are three principal areas that yield placer gold, though there are a few small camps widely scattered throughout the river basin. These principal areas, named in order of their production, are the Nizina, Chistochina, and Nelchina districts. As will be noted from the table on page 23 the value of the placer gold produced from the Copper River districts was \$21,000 greater in 1928 than in 1927. In the Nizina district the bulk of the placer gold came from the properties of the Chititu Mines, on Chititu and Rex Creeks, and from the Nicolai Placer Mines, on Dan Creek. At both these properties the season of 1928 was reported to have been especially favorable, although mining operations were somewhat hampered by low water in the early part of the season and some damage was done by high water late in the season. About 35 men were employed at these two properties, and there were about four others engaged in prospecting work on their own account in the district.

In the Chistochina district the Slate Creek Mining Co. on Slate Creek was the only operator that reported any noteworthy production and was the only one in this district that did more than prospecting work. Owing to favorable conditions as regards water supply the output of placer gold from this district was considerably more in 1928 than in 1927, when mining was much hampered by shortage of water. In the Nelchina district all the mining was done by a few small camps consisting of only two or three men each, and the total production amounted to only a few thousand dollars.

During the open season the Alaska Road Commission did considerable work on the trail that leads from the Chickaloon branch of the Alaska Railroad to Caribou Creek, which will be of much assistance in making the Nelchina district more accessible.

COOK INLET-SUSITNA REGION

In the Cook Inlet-Susitna region, as that term is used in this report, are included the placer camps in Kenai Peninsula and adjacent country, the Yentna-Cache Creek district, and the Valdez Creek district near the head of the Susitna River. In the past many of these camps have been highly productive, though lately their output of gold has decreased and only a few score miners are now at work, where formerly there were hundreds. Owing to more favorable weather conditions in 1928 the output of placer gold from this region showed an increase of about \$6,800 above that reported in 1927 and was approximately \$72,800.

In the Yentna-Cache Creek district no new discoveries of moment were reported. As in the past, the greatest amount of mining was done on Cache Creek and its tributaries. The dredge, which had been in successful operation here for several years but which was damaged in 1926 and put out of commission, still continued to stand idle. The largest single operation in the district was that of J. C. Murray, of Cache Creek, who hydraulicked considerable ground on both sides of the creek and is also reported to have found good showings of gold in some of the bench ground that was prospected. On Falls Creek, a tributary of Cache Creek, W. H. Nagley and associates did considerable work, and on Thunder Creek, also a tributary of Cache Creek, one small camp is reported. On Peters Creek four different camps, comprising a total of eight men, were engaged in placer mining. Mining camps of one to three men each were also reported as active on Bird, Poorman, and Willow Creeks, which are tributary to Peters Creek. North of Peters Creek, in the valley of the Tokichitna River, some prospecting and development work was reported to be in progress, but no specific information is available as to the amount of gold obtained, though it was probably small. In the Fairview district, which lies to the southwest of the Cache Creek district, four separate camps, consisting of a total of about half a dozen prospectors, took out a little placer gold. Work there, however, appears to have been little more than prospecting, and the only definite report of production received by the Geological Survey came from one outfit on Notobac Creek.

The producing placer camps in the Kenai Peninsula region are mostly situated in the vicinity of Hope, Sunrise, and Girdwood. All of these are small operations, the largest yielding only a few

thousand dollars a year and some of them only a few hundred dollars. In the valley of Resurrection Creek, the stream which enters Turnagain Arm near Hope, the only mining that was reported to have continued throughout the season was at the St. Louis Mining & Trading Co.'s property, where hydraulicking was done by a force of about six men. On the Mathieson ground on the same stream work is said to have been discontinued early in the season, owing to difficulties with the long ditch. On Sixmile Creek, near Sunrise, a small amount of placer gold was produced by two prospectors. On Canyon Creek, which joins East Fork from the west to form Sixmile Creek, several outfits were mining during the season. Tolsen, Plowman, Miller, and Davies, who had leased ground from the Canyon Creek Development Co., report a reasonably satisfactory season. There were two small camps on Mills Creek, farther up the Canyon Creek Valley, and also two small camps on Lynx Creek, a tributary of East Fork. North of Turnagain Arm in the valley of Crow Creek, a tributary of Glacier Creek about 5 miles northeast of Girdwood, some placer gold was mined at the property of Holmgren & Erickson.

In the Valdez Creek region, which lies some 125 miles north of Anchorage, near the head of the Susitna River and about 40 miles in an air line east of the main line of the Alaska Railroad, prospecting for both lodes and placers has been going on for many years. No new finds were reported to have been made during 1928, but the returns to the few placer operators who were in the district appear to have been satisfactory. The supply of water was abundant through the open season, and as a result the output from that district was somewhat larger than it has been during recent years. The largest amount of gold came from hydraulic operations near the main stream and from some of the bench ground, especially on the left bank of Valdez Creek. A little of this ground was also worked by drift mining. Some gold was also recovered from the placers on Lucky Creek, a tributary of Valdez Creek. Twelve men appear to be the total number of miners engaged in productive work in the Valdez Creek district during the year.

YUKON REGION

The Yukon Valley embraces a tremendous extent of territory, and scattered through it from one end to the other are many placer-gold camps. In the past gold has been reported from almost every stream in the entire basin, though the quantities in some have been so small as to be of no possible commercial interest. For convenience of description in this report all the producing placer camps in this vast area have been grouped into 17 more or less distinct tracts that are

here called districts. It should be noted that the boundaries of these districts are by no means well defined and do not necessarily correspond with any of the legal subdivisions such as the precincts or recording districts. In the main the names here given to these districts have been chosen from some of the more prominent features occurring in them. The main purpose of this grouping is to combine areas having in general similar interests and similar conditions and to separate those that are dissimilar. This results in throwing some large tracts together and in splitting up some other parts of the valley into a number of small districts. In some places the boundaries of the different districts almost overlap; in others the boundaries of one district lie far from those of its nearest neighbor.

The gross output of placer gold from all the camps in the Yukon Valley in 1928 was worth \$1,876,500, an increase of almost \$600,000 over the corresponding figure for 1927. The increase is largely attributable to the starting of actual dredging work on the property of the Fairbanks Exploration Co., in the Fairbanks district, but was in no small measure due to the generally favorable weather conditions and fairly abundant water supply in 1928, whereas in 1927 the season was especially dry and opened late and closed early. In the following table the districts are arranged in order of their placer production in 1928, and for comparison the production from the same districts in 1927 is given. The total is believed to be correct as stated, but the distribution of this total among the districts is open to uncertainty, owing to the great number of small producers, their wide distribution, and the failure of some of them to supply the essential information. However, every precaution has been taken to guard against errors and to keep the estimates in accord with all the available facts, so that the figures stated are regarded for all practical purposes as accurate.

Value of placer gold produced in Yukon Basin, 1928 and 1927, by districts

District	1928	1927	District	1928	1927
Fairbanks and Richardson	\$947,500	\$350,000	Ruby	\$21,800	\$52,000
Iditarod	296,200	150,000	Eagle	16,500	19,000
Tolovana	151,000	151,000	Chisana	16,000	15,000
Innoko	113,200	244,000	Kantishna and Bonfield	11,800	12,000
Circle	80,500	72,000	Rampart	7,500	10,000
Fortymile	79,100	37,000	Marshall	3,500	9,000
Hot Springs	77,000	75,000			
Koyukuk and Chandalar	54,900	80,000			
				1,876,500	1,282,000

In the foregoing table two small districts, the Richardson and Chandalar, have been grouped with the near-by larger districts, Fairbanks and Koyukuk, respectively, and two small districts, the Kantishna and Bonfield, have been combined. These combinations

have been made principally to avoid disclosing confidential information regarding individual production from some of the small districts, where the bulk of the placer gold has come from only one or two mines. None of these small districts produced as much as \$10,000, and some of them only a few thousand dollars. The combinations that have been made do not affect the relative standing of the larger districts to which the smaller ones have been added.

The region adjacent to Fairbanks has long been and still is the main placer district in interior Alaska. The greatest amount of placer gold was produced by the dredges of the Fairbanks Exploration Co., on Chatanika River and Goldstream; the Fairbanks Gold Dredging Co., on Fairbanks Creek; the Tanana Valley Gold Dredge Co., on Fish Creek; and the Chatham Gold Dredging Co., on Chatham Creek, a tributary of Cleary Creek. Considerable placer gold was also recovered by hydraulic or open-cut methods, and a little by drift mining. The placer gold recovered by other methods than dredging came principally from Ester, Pedro, Dome, and Big Chena Creeks, the upper Chatanika River, and their tributaries. Several thousand dollars' worth of placer gold, in addition to that produced by the dredges, came from placers on Fairbanks and Fish Creeks. There were also smaller camps in the valleys of several of the other streams whose production, though individually only a few hundred or a few thousand dollars, yet in the aggregate swelled the total production for the district.

By far the most outstanding event in the Fairbanks district was the completion of three of the dredges of the Fairbanks Exploration Co. on Goldstream and at Chatanika. The building of two of the larger dredges was carried on throughout the winter, so that they were completed and in operation early in the season. The third dredge, a smaller one, was erected during the summer near Gilmore and started digging early in September. To supply power for these dredges and for the other activities of the company, a large power plant, utilizing coal from the Nenana field, was built and put into successful operation. The long ditch, with necessary siphons, which was undertaken to bring water from the valley of the upper Chatanika River, was completed, and water was turned into successive sections of it until by fall all parts as far as Goldstream had been tested. As with all new ditch construction in interior Alaska difficulties were experienced in making certain sections water-tight, and doubtless as the ground settles the ditch will require considerable attention for some time, but except in a few places no serious trouble was encountered or expected. Extensive thawing by cold water was carried on in the areas where dredging will be done, and similar work that had been undertaken in the

earlier years at the places where the present dredges are installed had prepared the ground so that it was in condition to be mined. The whole development has been carefully planned, thoroughly analyzed, and efficiently conducted so that little of its success has been left to chance. Intensive study has been made of many of the technical problems involved—for instance, the efficacy of thawing ground with cold water—and the conclusions reached will be of great practical interest to engineers when the final results are made public.

In the ill-defined district east of Fairbanks, here called the Richardson district from the principal settlement in it and including the old camp known as Tenderfoot, the Big Delta and Jarvis Creek area, south of the Tanana, and parts of the Goodpaster and Salcha Valleys, to the northeast and northwest, about 25 men were doing a little placer-gold mining or prospecting. The output of this entire district amounted to only a few thousand dollars in gold. The most productive operation was that of W. F. Puntila, on Tenderfoot Creek. One smaller camp was also mining farther up the valley. Two small camps worked on Democrat Creek, a tributary of Banner Creek, and one prospector was reported to have taken out a little gold on Buckeye Creek, also a tributary of Banner Creek. No details are available regarding the placer-mining operations on the other creeks here included in the Richardson district, and except in the vicinity of Jarvis Creek the work was practically only development work. In the vicinity of Jarvis Creek, however, three to five prospectors were at work and reported a small production of gold.

The output of placer gold from the Iditarod district in 1928 showed a very great increase over the amount attributed to that district in 1927. This increase was largely due to the much greater output of gold by the two dredges. It was also due to the much more plentiful supply of water in 1928 than in 1927, so that many of the smaller plants could be operated more continuously and efficiently. The high stage of water was also advantageous for the freighting of supplies and thus reduced the long delays that have been so vexatious in the past. Continued activity in road building by the Alaska Road Commission in this district is likewise facilitating the movement of freight and encouraging development. One of the largest camps in the district is that of the Black Bear Mining Co., on Chicken Creek, where a dozen or more men were employed throughout the season. Several camps were reported on Willow Creek, the largest of which are one maintained by Frank Manley and one by Joseph Loranger. In addition to the dredges several small parties of miners were at work on Flat Creek and its tributaries. Several camps were also mining on Otter Creek and its

tributaries above Flat Creek. The largest of these, that of Peter Miskovitch, was mining with a hydraulic elevator and employed four men. Many of the smaller operators in the Iditarod district do not report fully to the Geological Survey the results of their work, so that the available information as to the recent mining developments is not as accurate as that from most of the other placer camps.

In the Tolovana district, with which is included Nome Creek, a tributary of Beaver Creek, the greatest amount of gold was produced by the dredge on Nome Creek. The output of gold by this dredge was considerably more than in 1927, and operating conditions were being improved. At the other placer mines in the district, however, there was a decrease in the amount of gold produced, so that the net result so far as the records of the Geological Survey show was identical in 1928 and 1927. Exclusive of the gold mined by the dredge about equal amounts of gold are recovered by drift mining and by hydraulic or open-cut methods. Most of the larger producing mines are on Livengood Creek and its tributaries, Lillian, Ruth, and Amy Creeks. Some placer gold was also recovered from the Tolovana River and its tributaries east of Livengood Creek. Among these tributary streams the most productive were Olive and Ester Creeks, which join the Tolovana from the north, and Wilbur Creek, which enters it from the south. Even under the best ordinary conditions the water supply of the camps in the Tolovana district is small, and some of the gold-bearing gravel mined by drifting in the winter of 1926-27 was not sluiced until 1928. Under these conditions the gold recovered from this gravel in 1928 has been included in the production of that year. The Livengood area continues to be handicapped by lack of good freighting facilities. Although it is only a few score miles distant from some of the good roads of the Fairbanks district, there is no road connection, and most of the freight bound for the camps must be taken by small boats up the Tolovana River, a stream of nearly endless meanders, full of snags, and involving much rehandling of cargo. For the transportation of persons and small articles from these camps to or from Fairbanks airplanes have been advantageously used.

Reports from the Innoko district indicate that in 1928 its production of placer gold showed a greater decrease than that of any of the other districts in the Yukon Valley. This decrease was largely due to the great falling off in the production from the dredges on Yankee and Ganes Creeks. Not only was the production from the individual dredges less in 1928 but only two dredges were in operation, against three in 1927. The dredge of the Innoko

Dredging Co. on Ganes Creek was mining for only about 35 days, owing to shortage of wood for fuel. At the property of the Flume Dredge Co. much of the season was spent in reconstructing the dredge on Little Creek and in operating the dredge on Yankee Creek. Much of the material for the construction work was delayed so that the work was not completed until too late in the season to warrant mining this year, but the dredge was in good shape to put in a full season in 1929. In connection with these dredging operations an extensive equipment for cold-water thawing was installed. Mining other than dredging was done on Ganes Creek by two small camps; on Little Creek by two camps, the larger of which was that of N. J. Vibe; on Spruce Creek by two of moderate size; and on Ophir Creek by three, the largest of which was that of Collins & Hand. In the Cripple Creek Valley there were three producing camps, the largest of which was that of Wilson & Hand. The records indicate that between 40 and 50 men were employed at the producing mines in the Innoko district, but in addition there were probably a few individuals in scattered localities whose efforts were directed only toward prospecting. Extension of the road up Little Creek is said to have progressed satisfactorily, and during the year an additional stretch of about $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles was completed.

The production of placer gold from the Circle district in 1928 was somewhat more than in 1927, although much less than in the immediately preceding years, when the dredge of the Berry Dredging Co. was in operation. In 1928 the largest output of gold came from properties of the Berry Holding Co. on Independence, Mastodon, and Eagle Creeks, where hydraulic plants were operated. Among the other mines in the district that produced some placer gold may be mentioned those of J. A. Anderson and August Erickson, both on Mastodon Creek; of Nicholas Knutson, on Deadwood Creek; and of J. F. Kelley, on Miller Creek. In addition to these larger producers there were a dozen or more 1-man camps widely scattered through the district that produced a few hundred dollars' worth of placer gold each. No noteworthy new discoveries were reported to have been made during the year, but there was a general undercurrent of optimism that seemed encouraging. This spirit seems to have been fostered by the completion of the highway from Fairbanks to Circle, which should be instrumental in opening up the district and stimulating development, for this road joins the formerly remote town of Circle with Fairbanks by less than a day's automobile travel.

Placer mining in the Fortymile district yielded much more gold in 1928 than in 1927. In the main this increase was due to the much more abundant water supply, so that all the mines were able

to handle a large volume of gravel and to operate more continuously. The largest mine in the district is that of the Walker Fork Gold Corporation, which is on Walker Fork and operates a drag-line scraper. During the year the Alaska Consolidated Gold Corporation acquired extensive holdings on Dome and Chicken Creeks and plans to carry on large-scale hydraulic mining. The principal other streams from which placer gold was obtained are Chicken Creek and vicinity, Jack Wade Creek and vicinity, the Fortymile River, Franklin Gulch, and Napoleon Creek. On and near Chicken Creek were 5 hydraulic mines, 2 shoveling-in operations, and 4 camps engaged in prospecting. On and near Jack Wade Creek eight camps had been engaged in drift mining during the winter. In addition, two hydraulic plants, those of Charles Martin and of Patterson & Olsen, were mining during the summer, and two or three individuals were prospecting. On the Fortymile River six small camps were carrying on open-cut work on bars in the river. On Franklin Gulch three groups of miners were mining placer gravel by open-cut methods. The only work that was reported to have been in progress during the year on Napoleon Gulch was ground sluicing and stripping preparatory to undertaking hydraulic mining in the near future.

The value of the placer gold produced in the Hot Springs district in 1928 was practically the same as in 1927, though the source of the gold differed greatly in the two years. Thus in 1928 the gold came largely from the dredge of the American Creek Dredging Co. and the production from the mines of other kinds was rather small, whereas in 1927 the dredge was not completed until late in the season and therefore its output was insignificant, and the output of the mines of other types was correspondingly much greater. Operation of the dredge was somewhat hampered by tracts of frozen ground within the area which the company planned to mine, but on the whole the results for a new undertaking were encouraging. Elsewhere in the American Creek-Tofty-Woodchopper region production from placer mining was almost at a standstill. This was due in a considerable measure to the fact that options on a large tract of the region had been given to an English company that was proposing to build dredges to work the ground and recover the tin and gold it contained, and while this option was in force the local people were more or less marking time, awaiting the company's decision as to its future plans. In the Eureka Creek section of the Hot Springs district the greatest amount of gold was recovered from hydraulic mines of Ed. Ness, Farmer & Jones, and Johnson & Hensley. In addition there were a few smaller camps of only one or two individuals each, and their output amounted to only a few hundred dollars each.

The Koyukuk district, as the term is here used, embraces a very large tract of country and consists of at least three rather widely separated areas in which placer gold has been mined. These subordinate areas are the Indian Creek-Hughes tract, in the central part of the Koyukuk Valley; the Hogatza River and vicinity, somewhat north of Hughes and embracing country north of the Koyukuk River; and the upper Koyukuk area, which includes that part of the Koyukuk Valley lying north and northeast of Bettles and including the country near Wiseman. Mining in the two more southern placer areas was practically negligible, and the Geological Survey has received no specific information regarding work there. Reports from the upper Koyukuk area seem to indicate that many of the miners and prospectors are becoming discouraged by the high cost of living and the difficulties of obtaining the supplies and equipment necessary for development. The Detroit Mining Co., in its exploration of the Hammond River, encountered so many difficulties due to water pressure in its test holes that it was unable to reach bedrock in the main valley and had to discontinue its tests there and transfer its prospecting to the shallower ground. Hydraulic mining of certain bench ground along Nolan Creek was considerably hampered by shortage of water, and bedrock had not been reached when freezing weather set in. A slight flurry of excitement was aroused early in the winter of 1927-28, when a considerable quantity of gold was panned in the channel of the Bettles River while the water was at an exceptionally low stage, just prior to the freeze-up. Several attempts to prospect this point further during the summer of 1928 were unsuccessful, owing to the miners' inability to handle the large volume of water then carried by the river, and further attempts were postponed until cold weather had reduced the volume of water and permitted freezing down a hole to bedrock. Apparently about equal amounts of gold are recovered in the Koyukuk district from drift mines operated during the winter and from the mines that are operated in the summer. The greatest amount of productive mining is done in the vicinity of Nolan and Smith Creeks, five camps employing a total of 12 men, having been at work there during the winter of 1927-28, and four camps having a total of 9 men during the summer of 1928. In the entire upper Koyukuk tract there were about 50 prospectors and miners.

In the table on page 27 the placer-gold production of the Chandalar district has been combined with that from the Koyukuk. The amount of gold that comes from the Chandalar is much less than comes from the camps in the Koyukuk Valley. So far as reported only two mines in the Chandalar produced more than \$1,000 in 1928. These were the property of Carlson, Amero & Buckley on Little

Squaw Creek and that of Newton & Yasuda on Big Creek. Three other small camps are reported to have done some prospecting during the year on Big Squaw, Little Squaw, and Dictator Creeks. No new developments were reported to have resulted from the work of the season.

The greatest amount of gold produced in the Ruby district in 1928 came from mines on Poorman Creek and its tributaries and on Long Creek and its tributary Greenstone Creek, but some placer gold was recovered from Spruce and Trail Creeks, which are tributaries of the Sulatna River to the northeast of the settlement of Poorman and east of the town of Long, respectively. Local reports indicate that some promising placer ground was discovered on Poorman Creek so late in the season that its development had to be postponed until another year. On both Long and Poorman Creeks a great deal of dead work was done during 1928, and this is believed to have made ready much ground to be mined in 1929. In fact, there was a general feeling of optimism in the region that though the production in 1928 was small there should be a material increase in 1929. About 35 miners and prospectors are said to have been at work in the district during the year. Prospecting was continued on Birch and Big Creeks, with the principal object of finding tin ore but incidentally with the hope that the search might disclose profitable gold-placer deposits as well.

Throughout the Eagle district, except on Barney, Nugget, and Broken Neck Creeks, the supply of water for placer mining was rather greater than usual, but there was no marked change in the amount of mining that was carried on. The largest amount of gold was recovered from the properties of the July Creek Mining Co., on Fourth of July Creek; of Froelich, Kummer, Ott & Scheele, on Crooked Creek; of Bryant & Parsons, on Alder Creek; and of Olsen & Johnson and August Fritz, on American Creek. In addition to these larger plants, some work was in progress on Nugget and Barney Creeks and on the bars of the Seventymile River. On Broken Neck Creek most of the season was spent in building a ditch which was completed so as to be available for use next season. On Crooked Creek ditch construction was also in progress and was expected to be finished in 1929 and thereafter be available to increase the amount of placer ground that can be sluiced. No new discoveries of note were reported to have been made in the district during the year.

The Geological Survey has received very little first-hand information regarding mining developments in the Chisana (locally called Shushana) district. Apparently mining was in progress on five properties during the year, and about 11 or 12 men were employed. The water supply of the district is said to have been small,

so that sluicing operations were hampered. On Bonanza Creek a channel in the bench on the left bank was discovered, and the heavy overburden was hydraulicked off and the bedrock and pay gravel shoveled into the sluice boxes. Several new automatic-boom dams were built during the season but were not completed in time to be of much use in 1928. Production from the district seems to have been maintained at essentially the same rate as in 1927.

Placer mining in the Bonnifield district was carried on by six or seven small camps, the largest of which employed five men, and was situated on Grubstake Creek, a tributary of the Tatlanika River. The smaller camps were on Marguerite, Platte, Daniels, Moose, and Gold Run Creeks, and the production of gold from none of them exceeded a few hundred dollars. The production from this district has been combined in the table on page 27 with that from the Kantishna district, but it may be stated that the placer gold from the Bonnifield district in 1928 accounts for somewhat more than half of the combined total. In the Kantishna district there were a number of small camps at work on several of the creeks, notably Eureka, Little Moose, Glen, and Glacier Creeks. None of them, however, recovered gold worth more than a thousand dollars, and most of them only a few hundred dollars' worth. All the ground worked is shallow and is mined by simple methods.

Records received by the Geological Survey regarding placer mining in the Rampart district indicate that not more than 10 or 12 camps were active during 1928, and most of these were small 1-man operations that recovered only a few hundred dollars' worth of gold. On Hunter Creek were three or four hydraulic plants; on Idaho Bar between Hunter and Little Minook Creek one man was doing some drift mining; on Little Minook Creek two or three camps were mining by means of open cuts and automatic dams; on Hoosier Creek one camp of two men was preparing to do drift mining during the winter of 1928-29; on Slate Creek one camp was doing open-cut mining; and on Quail Creek there was also one open-cut mine active.

Willow Creek was the source of most of the placer gold that was mined in the Marshall district in 1928. This stream enters the Yukon a few miles upstream from the settlement of Marshall (Fortuna Ledge post office) and heads in hills composed principally of Upper Cretaceous sediments and Paleozoic greenstones and related rocks. Within the hills Willow Creek flows in a narrow-floored valley whose deposits contain many large boulders that interfere seriously with mining. Only a few miners or prospectors still remain in the district, and consequently the work that they can accomplish in thoroughly prospecting this large tract of country is small. Fifty miles northeast of Marshall, in the valley of the Stuyahok River, a

tributary of the Bonasila River, one man is said to have done some prospecting during the year, but no report as to the results of that work has been received by the Geological Survey.

KUSKOKWIM REGION

Included in the Kuskokwim region are four principal districts where gold placers were mined in 1928. For convenience of description, they may be called the Mount McKinley, Georgetown, Tuluksak-Aniak, and Goodnews Bay districts. The Mount McKinley district embraces all the eastern part of the Kuskokwim Valley, but the placer mining in it is more or less localized around McGrath, Takotna, and Medfra. The Georgetown district is in the central part of the Kuskokwim Valley, and work there centers more or less closely around the settlement of Georgetown, on the Kuskokwim, about 45 miles in an air line south of Iditarod. The Tuluksak-Aniak district is named from two rivers that traverse parts of it; the Tuluksak enters the Kuskokwim from the south about 30 miles east of the settlement of Bethel, and the Aniak enters the Kuskokwim about 50 miles farther upstream, to the east. Goodnews Bay is a small indentation of the coast on the east side of Kuskokwim Bay, about 125 miles in an air line south of Bethel.

The placer production from the Kuskokwim region showed a marked increase in 1928, largely attributable to the greatly increased output from the dredge of the New York-Alaska Gold Dredging Co., on Bear Creek, in the Tuluksak-Aniak district. Details regarding the work at this mine are not available, but from general sources of information it appears that the operating conditions were especially favorable and that the dredge had a very successful season, although no noteworthy new discoveries were made. It is understood that part of the ground mined last summer by the dredge lay somewhat outside the tract originally considered suitable for dredge mining, so that evidently the original estimates of the company as to minable area were conservative. No other dredges were in operation elsewhere in the Kuskokwim region in 1928. The dredge that for many years has been so productive in the district near McGrath was again idle, as it was in 1927, and apparently no plans are now under consideration for its early reconditioning.

Reports regarding placer mining in the Mount McKinley district are extremely meager, and so far as could be learned most of the work was done by several one or two man camps at widely separated points, most of which, however, are adjacent to McGrath or in the hills north of the Kuskokwim farther upstream, near Medfra. Among the streams from which some placer gold was produced in 1928 may be mentioned Candle, Ruby, Hidden, and Eagle Creeks

and Holmes Gulch. Work at these places was done on a somewhat smaller scale than heretofore, and no notable new finds appear to have been made. A Geological Survey party carried on exploratory work in the hills at the head of the Stony River during 1928, but members of that party state that there were no prospectors there. The extent of former glaciation and the general inaccessibility of the region make search for placers there difficult, though the geologist reports certain geologic conditions that are regarded as favorable for mineralization. The vast slightly explored or even totally unexplored area that lies south of the Kuskokwim is regarded as country that well deserves more thorough examination and intelligent prospecting.

Mining in the Georgetown district appears to have been practically restricted to work on Donlin Creek, where two miners operated a small hydraulic plant on bench gravel. In the Tuluksak-Aniak district, in addition to the dredge output, considerable gold was recovered from placers on Marvel Creek, a tributary of the Salmon River, which in turn flows into the Aniak River, and from Canyon Creek, a tributary of the Kwethluk River, on the western slopes of the Kuskokwim Mountains, east of Bethel. The largest camp on Marvel Creek was that operated by Dahl & Wilson on ground owned by L. C. Hess. Five men were employed at this camp, and the reports indicate an especially good season. A few prospectors were reported to have been carrying on a search for placers on several of the streams that head in the general vicinity of Marvel Dome, and there is said to be some revival of interest in prospecting throughout the area, but returns from this work have not yet made any notable increase in the output of placer gold.

In the Goodnews Bay district only four to six men appear to have been engaged in productive placer gold mining in 1928. Their camps were on Butte, Bear, Olympic, and Clara Creeks. This district was the only placer district in Alaska that appears to have been hampered by too much water during the year. Mining and development work on Wattamus Creek, which has long been one of the productive creeks in the district, was suspended because during most of the summer that stream was running bank full. On the Arolic River prospecting with a horse drill to determine the adaptability of placers on that stream for dredging was continued by a small force of miners, but no statement has been made as to the results, and it is not known whether the findings are such as to warrant the construction of a dredge in that area in the near future. There has been considerable interest in placer deposits of platinum in the Goodnews Bay district in 1928, and several men have been engaged in that work. Further notes on this work are given in a

later section of this report, which treats of platinum. Except at certain claims on Clara Creek, where several ounces of gold was recovered with the placer platinum, the clean-up from the platinum placers showed only a few almost insignificant flakes of gold.

SEWARD PENINSULA

The production of placer gold from Seward Peninsula camps in 1928 was \$1,056,300, or about \$300,000 less than in 1927. Much of this decrease was due to the shortening of the dredging season at most of the dredges on the peninsula and a corresponding decrease in their output. For instance, in 1928, the large dredges of the Hammon Consolidated Gold Fields ceased work early in October, whereas in 1927 one of them was mining as late as November 23. The rather widespread early cessation of dredging was not due to adverse weather conditions in 1928, as the records appear to indicate that both the time of opening and freeze-up and the supply of water were normal. In fact, the general reports from Seward Peninsula indicate that the season of 1928 was as good as the normal or even better. The early closing may, however, be traced to the adverse weather conditions of 1927, which prevented many of the dredge operators from preparing enough ground that season to take care of the dredge requirements for 1928.

Approximately \$832,000, or nearly 79 per cent of the total gold recovered from Seward Peninsula placers, was mined by dredges, one or more of which were active in practically every one of the larger districts of the peninsula. Additional data regarding dredge mining on Seward Peninsula, as well as in other parts of Alaska, are given in a later section of this report. In the relative order of their output of placer gold in 1928 the mining districts of Seward Peninsula stood as follows: Nome, Fairhaven (including the Candle and Inmachuk districts), Council, Solomon (including the Casadepaga River region), the Koyuk River region, Kougarok, Port Clarence, and Bluff. So much of the placer gold from some of these districts came from only one or two mines that it has not seemed advisable to publish the production of the separate districts, as it might disclose the output of the individual mines. The total placer gold production from the peninsula in 1928 was worth \$1,056,300, to which must be added about \$6,000 for the silver that is an integral part of the placer gold as it is recovered from the gravel.

The outstanding enterprise in the Nome region, as well as in the whole of Seward Peninsula, continues to be that of the Hammon Consolidated Gold Fields, with its three dredges between Little and Wonder Creeks, its scores of claims, and its extensive ditch

lines and other equipment essential for properly conducting its work. The dry weather of 1927 prevented thawing as much ground as was necessary to allow the dredges to operate continuously in 1928. As a result the dredges encountered some frozen ground which could not be mined without subjecting the boats to too much wear and without making mining too expensive, so that for several periods during the season the company was compelled to discontinue the work of one or more of the dredges, and the same condition probably caused the early cessation of work in the fall. The good water conditions of 1928 allowed the thawing of adequate amounts of ground to meet the probable requirements of the season of 1929, so that the outlook for a much larger output of gold during that season was encouraging. As has been pointed out in earlier reports of this series, the sound mining practice and experimental research into new mining problems make the work of this company watched with great interest by all mining engineers who have to contend with northern conditions. It is hoped that the time is not far distant when the company, through its engineers, will publish accounts of some of the technical problems that it has studied and thus make available an authoritative basis of facts regarding methods and costs. Among the matters of wide general interest would be data on adequacy and accuracy of methods of sampling and determining values of frozen and unfrozen placer ground; comparison of estimated and recovered returns from placers mined by dredges, with special reference to different kinds of bedrock and other physical conditions; the distribution of ground temperatures in the Nome placers; and methods, costs, and effectiveness of the different thawing processes that have been tried.

Near Nome two other dredges, those of the Dry Creek Dredging Co. and the Bangor Dredging Co., were active during the year. A dredge formerly operating on the Solomon River was moved to a new site on Osborn Creek, in the Nome district, and was reconstructed but was not in running order in 1928. This dredge will be operated by the Osborn Mining Co., recently organized. Reports also indicate that during the year new equipment was shipped in for the old dredge on Hastings Creek and that active work was under way in preparing this boat for mining on that stream in 1929. In addition to the dredges, small open-cut mines were being developed on several of the creeks adjacent to Nome. Most of these mines employed only a few men; the largest appears to have been that of the Monument Creek Mining Co. on Monument Creek, a tributary of the Snake River, where five to eight men were employed for most of the open season. West of Nome extensive prospecting with a drill has been continued in the coastal-plain region, near the lower part of

the Snake River. The results of this work have not been made public, but the accurate determination of the conditions that prevail there will be of great value in interpreting the conditions that prevailed while the coastal-plain deposits were being laid down and may prove to be of considerable economic significance.

The greatest amount of placer gold mined in the Fairhaven district came from three main tracts—Candle Creek, the Inmachuk River, and Bear Creek. Candle Creek is a large tributary of the Kiwalik River from the west, close to the town of Candle. On Candle Creek and its tributaries, Patterson and Jump Creeks, the greatest amount of placer gold was recovered by the dredge of the Keewalik Mining Co. Altogether about six camps, employing a total of about 50 men, were mining on this creek and its tributaries. Farther up the Kiwalik River, on Quartz Creek, which enters from the east, three small camps did a little productive placer mining, and on Gold Run, which enters the Kiwalik River from the west a few miles below Quartz Creek, one miner recovered some gold. In the Inmachuk Valley the principal producer was a hydraulic mine on the main river near the mouth of Arizona Creek. At this property about 24 men were employed throughout the open season, and mining was carried on for 158 days. A little placer mining and prospecting was carried on at other points in the valley of the Inmachuk and its tributaries. Prospecting was continued in search of any auriferous channels that might have been buried under the lava flows which cover large tracts of the country at the heads of the Inmachuk and of the neighboring streams adjacent to Imuruk Lake. This search has been in progress for several years, but no deposits that appear to warrant mining have yet been reported, though indications of placers have been encountered in many of the shafts that have been sunk in the course of this work. The third tract in which placers were mined in the Fairhaven district, that on Bear Creek, lies east of the hills which form the divide between the Buckland and Kiwalik Rivers. Two camps employing a total of about eight men were at work on Bear Creek during 1928 and took out some gold, but no new developments of general significance were reported.

In the Council district, as in the other larger producing districts of Seward Peninsula, most of the placer gold produced in 1928 came from dredges. Two dredges belonging to the Ophir Gold Dredging Co. and the Northern Star Dredging Co. mined on Ophir Creek and report good operating conditions. One hydraulic mine was also being operated on Ophir Creek. The finding of some promising placer ground on one of the benches of Ophir Creek stimulated a good deal of interest in the early part of the season, but it proved not to extend far, so that its volume was rather small. On Crooked

Creek, which is a tributary of Ophir Creek from the west, about 8 miles due north of Council, and on Sweetcake Creek, which is a tributary of Ophir Creek about 4 miles northwest of Council, some prospecting was in progress that yielded small amounts of gold. On Melsing Creek two small camps did a little placer mining. The dredge that was formerly on Basin Creek, a tributary of Melsing Creek, was idle throughout the year. On Rock Creek, which is a tributary of Aggie Creek south of Council, two small groups of prospectors did a little mining. The results are said to have been rather disappointing, as the distribution of the gold in the gravel was very irregular, so that no continuous pay streak could be traced for any distance.

In the valley of a stream that lies about 50 miles east of Council and is far outside the limits of what is really the Council district and outside the area in which placers have been worked in the past, a prospector reports finding little placer gold during the year. This locality is described as on June Creek, a small stream that rises in the conglomerate hills between the Kwik and Tubutulik Rivers.

Only two dredges were engaged in placer mining in the Solomon district in 1928. These were the dredge of the Goldsmith Dredging Co., on the Solomon River near Coal Creek, and that of the Shovel Creek Dredging Co., on Shovel Creek, a tributary of the Solomon River from the west. To these might be added the dredge of the Casadepaga Mining Co., which mined in the main valley of the Casadepaga River near the mouth of Canyon Creek and was practically the only producer of any appreciable amount of gold in the entire Casadepaga region in 1928. Few details regarding mining other than dredging in the Solomon district have been received by the Geological Survey, and the very absence of reports indicates that few mining enterprises were active and that no new finds of significance were made. In the Bluff area, which lies east of Solomon and which for convenience is grouped with that district, only two or three placer mines were operated. The largest amount of placer gold came from a mine on Koyana Creek and one on Swede Gulch, but some was also taken from claims on Daniel, California, and Eldorado Creeks. No work was done in 1928 on the beach claims at Bluff, which had been equipped during an earlier year with a novel scraping plant.

The Koyuk district includes most of southeastern Seward Peninsula and is so named from the principal stream that traverses it. Most of the placer deposits that are mined are on Dime Creek and a few of the other streams in the vicinity of Haycock. Although there is one small dredge in the district, the bulk of its placer gold came from bench and creek placers mined by hydraulic or open-cut

methods. Three camps, employing a total of five or six men, were mining during the winter, and seven camps, employing a total of about 20 men, during the summer. All these camps were situated on Dime Creek except three on Sweepstake Creek or its right fork. Work in the valley of Sweepstake Creek was discontinued in the later part of August, and the amount of gold produced from it was correspondingly curtailed.

Placer mining in the Kougarok district, in central Seward Peninsula, was done entirely by hydraulic and open-cut methods. The dredge which had long been active was closed down in 1927 and remained idle throughout 1928, and no plans for operating it again in the near future were reported. Most of the camps in the Kougarok district were small one or two man affairs, and the largest employed only four or five men. Their individual output of gold was small, none reporting a yield of more than a few thousand dollars. These camps were situated not only in the valley of the Kougarok River and its tributaries but also were reported from some of the more remote valleys. One of the most productive was that of the Dick Creek Mining Co. on Dick Creek, which lies north of the Kougarok and flows into the Serpentine River. Another of the more remote camps that had a successful season was one on Humboldt Creek, which lies to the northeast of the Kougarok and is a tributary of the Goodhope River. One of the items of most general interest regarding recent developments in the Kougarok district relates to the recent acquisition of large tracts of presumable placer ground on Coffee Creek, on which extensive drilling tests were made during 1928. If the results of these tests indicate favorable conditions, the owners propose to install a hydraulic plant to handle a considerable volume of material. South of the Kougarok district, in the vicinity of Iron Creek, four men are reported to have done some hydraulic mining during the year. On American Creek, about 8 miles east of Iron Creek, one camp of seven or eight men was busy constructing a ditch to lead water for use in hydraulicking placer ground on that stream. Excessively high water interrupted this work, so that no productive mining was reported to have been done at that place.

In the Port Clarence district a little placer gold was mined on the Bluestone River and some of its tributaries, especially Windy and Gold Run Creeks. A little placer gold was also mined on Coyote Creek, which enters Grantley Harbor about 2 miles east of Teller. No first-hand information is available regarding mining operations in the region north of Teller, but it is currently reported that one camp on the Agiapuk River produced a little placer gold and that there were also one or two prospectors in that region. For the last

two years there has been considerable testing of the placer deposits that occur in the valleys of Bluestone River and its tributary, Gold Run, with the aim of determining the practicability of mining this ground with a dredge. This work is being done by the Metal-smith Mines Corporation. No actual construction work was commenced during 1928, but apparently the results of the prospecting were satisfactory, for it is stated by the management that it was the company's intention to place a dredge on the Bluestone River and operate the placer property.

Lying east of Seward Peninsula but more or less closely related to it is the Bonanza district, so named from the small stream in it which has long been known to carry some placer gold. Prospecting has been carried on at a number of places in this general area and for the last two or three years has been especially active in the narrow coastal plain that lies between the waters of Norton Bay and the hills to the east. The bedrock in this part of the area consists mostly of dark slates and sandstones and thus differs markedly from the bedrock throughout most of the placer camps in Seward Peninsula. The history of the coastal plain at this place in the main seems to have been comparable to the history of the coastal plain at Nome and elsewhere in Seward Peninsula, so that prospecting for ancient beaches in this region is well justified. Whether, however, the ancient beaches will prove to be gold bearing depends on the occurrence or lack of mineralization in the material forming this coastal plain and if it occurred, on the effectiveness of any subsequent concentration. The present production from this entire tract amounts at most to only a few thousand dollars a year.

NORTH WESTERN ALASKA

The Kobuk River Valley is the only one in northwestern Alaska that is reported to have been the scene of any placer mining in 1928. In this valley there are two principal areas where placer mining is being done. The western area is near Kiana, and the principal placer tract is in the valley of Squirrel Creek and especially in the valley of its tributary, Klery Creek. The eastern area is in the vicinity of Shungnak, a small settlement about midway between the head and mouth of the Kobuk River. Kiana is about 50 miles in an air line above the mouth of the Kobuk, and Shungnak is about 90 to 100 miles in an air line east of Kiana. Both of these tracts are so remote and so poorly served by any means of regular transportation or communication that their development is much retarded and hampered by high costs, unavoidable delays, and short working season.

In the area near Kiana three men were reported to have done a little prospecting and recovered a small amount of gold from three

separate patches of placer ground on Klery Creek and its tributaries. A drill rig that was shipped into this region a few years ago to test some of the bench ground adjacent to Klery Creek was not used, owing to mechanical difficulties with its operation. The proved occurrence of gold in the field is an incentive for further search for workable deposits, but the field of search is so large and the number of prospectors to do that work is so small that progress in really testing out its worth is extremely slow. The present total production of gold from this tract amounts to only little more than a meager grub stake for most of the workers.

In the tract that lies near Shungnak the placer deposits occur in the lowland adjacent to the Kobuk, close to the places where the small streams which debouch from the hills to the north traverse that lowland. The source of the placer gold found in these deposits appears to be local, as in general it is rough and appears to have been transported only a short distance. This conclusion is further supported by the finding of many quartz veins carrying free gold in the metamorphic rocks that form the hills in which these streams rise or which they traverse. In 1928 there were six small camps employing a total of 12 men established on streams in the vicinity of Shungnak. Three of these were on Dahl Creek and one each on Lynx Creek, California Creek, and the Shungnak River. Lynx and California Creeks are tributaries of the Kogoluktuk River, which joins the Kobuk some 3 or 4 miles east of Shungnak, and the Shungnak River enters the Kobuk about 15 miles west of that settlement. The largest camp is that on California Creek, where mining is carried on by hydraulicking. Most of the season, however, was spent in stripping the deposits and getting them ready for mining. On the Shungnak River most of the work was directed toward testing and prospecting the ground to determine the practicability of mining on a large scale by dredging or hydraulicking. No report of the results of these tests has been made public, but it is understood that they were undertaken by a group that is prepared to finance the work adequately and carry it on if the tests prove satisfactory. The prospectors were brought in from Fairbanks by airplane, thus obviating many of the difficulties usually experienced in reaching this out of the way region. In the course of their preliminary investigations they also did some prospecting in the vicinity of Lake Selby and on the Pah River.

Near the head of the Kobuk another group of seven prospectors associated together as the Arctic Prospecting & Developing Co. were brought to Walker Lake by airplane from Fairbanks in April, 1928, and made some investigations in the vicinity of the lake, without, however, engaging in any active mining. Difficulties in sinking test pits, owing to the many large boulders or the amount

of water encountered, and finally the smashing up of the plane that later came to replenish their supplies prevented the successful accomplishment of the objects of the enterprise and necessitated return for supplies. Returning again the party spent some time in prospecting in the Alatna Valley, especially in the vicinity of its tributary, the Iniakuk River, and later made another trip to Walker Lake. Miscarriage of plans for supplying the party by the use of airplanes finally necessitated abandoning further work, and the entire party tramped out to Alatna in the middle of winter, arriving there December 22. After a six weeks' wait, the party was brought back to Fairbanks by airplane. No announcement has yet been made as to whether prospecting by the company will be resumed in this district in the near future.

DREDGING

Over 65 per cent of all the placer gold produced in Alaska in 1928 was mined by dredges. The total gold recovered by dredges was \$2,185,000, of which the greatest part came from 12 dredges in the Yukon-Tanana region and the rest from 14 dredges in other parts of Alaska, notably Seward Peninsula. This total exceeds by \$445,000 the amount recovered by dredges in 1927, and the increase is largely due to the new large dredges installed in the Fairbanks region that began mining in 1928. The accompanying table gives the output of gold by Alaska dredges beginning in 1903, the earliest year for which records are available.

Gold produced by dredge mining in Alaska, 1903-1928

Year	Number of dredges operated	Value of gold output	Gravel handled (cubic yards)	Value of gold recovered per cubic yard
1903-1915.....		\$12,431,000		
1916.....	34	2,679,000	3,900,000	\$.69
1917.....	36	2,500,000	3,700,000	.68
1918.....	28	1,425,000	2,490,000	.57
1919.....	28	1,360,000	1,760,000	.77
1920.....	22	1,129,932	1,633,861	.69
1921.....	24	1,582,520	2,799,519	.57
1922.....	23	1,767,753	3,186,343	.55
1923.....	25	1,848,596	4,645,053	.40
1924.....	27	1,563,361	4,342,667	.36
1925.....	27	1,572,312	3,144,624	.50
1926.....	32	2,291,000	5,730,000	.40
1927.....	28	1,740,000	6,084,000	.29
1928.....	27	2,185,000	6,371,000	.34
		36,076,000		

The total value of the gold produced by dredges since 1903 is about 14.4 per cent of the total value of gold produced from all kinds of placer mining since 1880, and there has been a constant tendency each year for a greater and greater percentage of the placer production to be mined by dredges. During 1928 the ratio of dredge

production to the output from all other kinds of placer mining was about 65 to 35, and there are no signs of a future diminution in dredge mining; in fact, an even higher ratio seems probable.

In the foregoing table the figures given for yardage mined and value of the gold recovered per cubic yard are open to some inaccuracy, because several of the dredge operators have not furnished specific information on those subjects for their individual properties and the figures for these properties have therefore had to be estimated. In making these estimates the following procedure has been adopted to determine the unknown factors: Operators of dredges that produced approximately \$1,771,788 in gold, or a little more than 81 per cent of the total mined by dredges, report that that amount came from 5,166,362 yards of gravel. The average yield thus shown is about 34.3 cents in gold to the cubic yard. Applying this average to determine the unreported yardage gives a total of 6,371,000 cubic yards, and this is the figure that has been used in the table. This procedure is obviously open to criticism, because the companies that reported fully the amount of gravel mined were the larger ones, and doubtless they worked ground of a lower tenor than that mined by some of the smaller companies. As a result the average value adopted may be too low and consequently may indicate a larger volume of gravel than was actually handled. This method, however, has been followed for the last five years, so that the quantities and values given for 1928 are comparable with those reported for the preceding years. If this value as stated is correct it will be evident from the table that the tenor of the ground dredged in 1928 was more than 5 cents a cubic yard higher than that of the ground dredged in 1927, though considerably lower than the average for the preceding years.

The length of time that the different dredges were operated varied widely. The longest season reported was 162 days for the dredge of the Tanana Valley Gold Dredging Co., and one of the dredges of the Fairbanks Exploration Co., both of which are operating in the Fairbanks district of the Yukon-Tanana region. The length of the working season was not determined wholly by climate or other conditions beyond human control, however, but was determined in part by breakage or purely personal reasons. Therefore the dates of earliest and latest working of all the dredges may be more significant than the record for any single dredge. In 1928 the earliest date for commencing dredging was May 1, by the Tanana Valley Gold Dredging Co. in the Fairbanks district. The latest date for stopping dredge work was December 12 for one of the dredges of the Fairbanks Exploration Co., also in the Fairbanks district. In other words, the operations of these two dredges spanned a period of 226 days that might have been utilized for dredging if the climate

alone had been the controlling element. That these records for 1928 do not represent unusual conditions is shown by the fact that in 1926 a dredge in the Yentna district began work on May 5, and a dredge in the Nome region did not shut down until December 4. The season of 1927 was unusually late in opening and early in closing, the extreme dates being June 1 and November 24. It is therefore evident that even under rather adverse conditions a dredging season of 175 days is not at all excessive for well-equipped, skillfully handled dredges of moderate size in most of the Alaska placer camps situated south of the Arctic Circle. However, the record of the 12 dredges for which details are available for 1928 shows that they averaged a working season of 118 days. For practically all of these dredges the difference between their actual and possible working season was due to some cause which prevented taking full advantage of the available season; for instance, the construction of certain of the dredges of the Fairbanks Exploration Co. was not completed until late in the season, and the dredges of the Hammon Consolidated Gold Fields, in the Nome district, discontinued work early in October, presumably because an adequate amount of thawed ground had not been prepared in advance for mining.

The following is a list of Alaska dredges that did some productive mining during the year:

Yukon Basin:

Fairbanks district—

Chatham Gold Dredging Co.....	Chatham Creek.
Fairbanks Exploration Co. (3).....	Goldstream and Chatanika River.
Fairbanks Gold Dredging Co.....	Fairbanks Creek.
Tanana Valley Gold Dredging Co. (Ltd.).....	Fish Creek.

Hot Springs district—American Creek Dredging Co.... American Creek.

Iditarod district—

J. E. Riley Investment Co.....	Otter Creek.
North American Dredge Co.....	Do.

Innoko district—

Flume Dredge Co.....	Yankee Creek.
Innoko Dredge Co.....	Ganes Creek.

Tolovana district—Nome Creek Dredging Co..... Nome Creek.

Kuskokwim region:

Tuluksak-Aniak district—New York Alaska Gold Dredging Co.....	Bear Creek.
---	-------------

Seward Peninsula:

Casadepaga district—Casadepaga Mining Co.....	Casadepaga River.
---	-------------------

Council district—

Northern Star Dredging Co.....	Ophir Creek.
Ophir Gold Dredging Co.....	Do.

Fairhaven district—Keewalik Mining Co.....	Candle Creek.
--	---------------

Koyuk district—Dime Creek Dredging Co.....	Dime Creek.
--	-------------

Seward Peninsula—Continued.

Nome district—

Bangor Dredging Co.....	Anvil Creek.
Dry Creek Dredging Co.....	Dry Creek.
Hammon Consolidated Gold Fields (3).....	Old beach line.

Solomon district—

Goldsmith Dredging Co.....	Solomon River.
Shovel Creek Dredge Co.....	Shovel Creek.
Solomon Valley Dredge.....	Solomon River.

During 1928 5 dredges that were active in the preceding year were idle and 3 new dredges were constructed, so that the total number of active dredges in 1928 was 26. The 5 dredges that were mining in 1927 but were inactive in 1928 were 1 of the 2 dredges owned by the Flume Dredge Co. in the Innoko district, 1 of the dredges in the Fairhaven district, the dredges of the Crooked Creek Dredging Co. and of the Basin Creek Dredging Co. in the Council district, and the dredge of the Lomen Reindeer & Trading Corporation in the Solomon district. The Lomen dredge, which for many years had been mining the placers of the Solomon River, was dismantled and transferred to property that had been acquired on Osborn Creek, in the Nome district, and the name of the company was altered to the Osborn Mining Co. The three new dredges that were built during the year all belong to the Fairbanks Exploration Co. and were erected on the company's claims in the Fairbanks district. The materials for the two larger of these dredges were shipped in during the winter of 1927-28, the boats were built during the early months of the year in pits that had previously been prepared for them, and the first was ready to be mining on July 2. These dredges were built on lower Goldstream and on Cleary Creek near the town of Chatanika. Later in the spring and early summer the parts for another and smaller dredge were shipped in, and the dredge was built on Goldstream near the junction of Gilmore and Pedro Creeks. This dredge was completed early in September and began productive mining soon afterward. The dredge of the American Creek Dredging Co. on American Creek, in the Hot Springs district of the Yukon-Tanana region, which was built in 1927 but was operated only a short time in that year, was mining throughout the season of 1928. Like most new enterprises, this dredge lost considerable time while the operations were becoming thoroughly organized.

The success of most of the good dredges already built has induced many individuals and companies to reexamine formerly known extensive deposits that were too low in tenor to be worked by any of the methods that require less capital. As a result rumors are heard regarding dredging projects to be undertaken on placer ground from one end of interior Alaska to the other. Unquestionably all

these projects deserve most careful consideration, and some of them will doubtless be successfully carried through, but there is such a tendency to regard the dredge as the magic method by which even worthless deposits may be mined at a profit that a word of caution may not be amiss to those who are considering investment in some of the projects. Fortunately, however, the amount of money needed to finance the building of a dredge is so great that the cost of a report by a competent engineer is relatively insignificant, and such a report should be obtained before any further step is taken. Even the most eminent engineer is not able to reach a sound decision from ordinary surface inspection, and therefore adequate tests by drilling or test pits must be made, so that his judgment may be firmly based on facts. All of this costs money, but unless it is done the enterprise is a pure gamble and not a mining enterprise that warrants confidence. There is no off-hand or short-cut method of ascertaining the value of a mining enterprise, and the cost of collecting the significant facts is as much a justifiable and unavoidable item of expense in the preliminary stage as the cost of power when the enterprise is in successful operation. Mining, and especially dredging, is a business and not a game of chance, and although it is subject to uncertainties, like every other business, these uncertainties can be approximated within definite limits, so that their effect on the success or failure of the enterprise may be relatively closely predicted. A full discussion of many of the problems of dredging and some of the methods by which they have been solved or handled are given in a recent publication of the Bureau of Mines. This report⁶ includes a description of the mechanical features of all the Alaska dredges that had been built prior to 1925, as well as valuable information on the cost and methods of all kinds of placer mining.

Among the places where considerable prospecting is now in progress with a view to determining their suitability to dredging operations may be mentioned the tracts in the vicinity of the Arolic River in the Goodnews Bay district, of the Kuskokwim region; in the vicinity of the Bluestone River, in the Port Clarence district of Seward Peninsula; and in the vicinity of Shungnak, in northwestern Alaska. In addition to these projects that may be regarded as perhaps approaching a prospective stage there are of course many others that have not yet advanced so far, though some of them may be even more meritorious.

COPPER

Deposits containing some copper minerals are found throughout most of the length and breadth of Alaska. At present, however,

⁶ Wimmeler, N. L., Placer-mining methods and costs in Alaska: Bur. Mines Bull. 259, 236 pp., 1927. Price 55 cents from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.

practically all of the Alaska copper comes from two mines in the Copper River region that are operated practically as a single unit, though owned by different companies, and one mine on Latouche Island that is owned and operated by the same company that operates the two mines in the Copper River region. In addition to the copper recovered from these mines a few thousand pounds of copper was reported to have been recovered in 1928 at a smelter in the States from ores and concentrates shipped from southeastern Alaska. The total amount of copper recovered from Alaska ores in 1928 has been taken as 41,421,000 pounds, valued at \$5,965,000. The bare statement of the quantity of copper produced is, however, more or less meaningless unless the basis on which it is computed is stated, because in all the processes that the ore undergoes, from the time it is broken out of the vein in the mines until all of the metallic copper that can be recovered from it is finally placed on sale, there are inevitable losses, so that at no two stages is the amount of copper exactly the same. Even though the losses incurred in these different stages are small compared with the amount recovered, the quantities involved are so large that even a small percentage of loss is equivalent to many thousands of pounds. For instance, with a production in the neighborhood of 50,000,000 pounds a loss of only 1 per cent is equivalent to 500,000 pounds. It is therefore obviously essential to recognize just what stage in the process of converting ore into metal is represented by the figures given. As an illustration of this condition the following facts, taken from the report of the Mother Lode Coalition Mines Co.,⁷ are significant. This company in 1928 mined 61,074 tons of ore that assayed on the average 11.72 per cent copper, which would be equivalent to 14,315,745 pounds of copper. Shipments to the smelter from the mine, however, were reported to contain only 13,417,520 pounds of copper. Evidently nearly 900,000 pounds of copper was lost during the process of handling and milling, by which the bulk of the valuable copper minerals were separated from the worthless material with which they are associated. Although this amount at first sight seems to be enormous, it represents a loss of only about 6¼ per cent, which really indicates a very high mill recovery and exceptionally good practice.


The total copper-bearing ore mined in Alaska in 1928 is estimated to have been 579,500 tons and to have had a copper content of about 44,150,000 pounds. When this ore had been concentrated and was ready for shipment to the smelter it had been reduced to approximately 66,600 tons, having a copper content of 41,421,000 pounds, which represents a recovery of nearly 94 per cent of the copper that was contained in the original ore as mined. For the

⁷ Mother Lode Coalition Mines Co. Tenth Ann. Rept., for 1928, 7 pp., 1929.

purposes of this report this has been adopted as the amount of copper yielded by Alaska mines during 1928.

In attempting to set a value for this copper many different methods may be employed and the results obtained will vary widely. Obviously it would be inaccurate to value all the copper in the ore as it comes from the mine at the current market price for the metal as it comes from the smelter, because not all of it is recovered and most of it is not in the form of metal and so is not worth the full price of metallic copper. Although the same conditions are also in a measure true of the ore and concentrates that are shipped to the smelter, the losses that they undergo in the smelting process are generally much less. As a consequence it has been the practice of the Geological Survey to compute the value of the Alaska output on the assumption that the copper in the ore and concentrates, as shipped to the smelter, is worth the average price at which metallic copper sold during the year. The average price of all copper sold in the United States in 1928, according to computations by the Bureau of Mines, was 14.4 cents a pound. The total value of the copper in the ore and concentrates shipped from Alaska mines during the year is therefore regarded as \$5,965,000. It is recognized that this method of calculating the value does not take into account the fact that an efficient and fortunate selling agent would take advantage of fluctuations in the price of copper and thus dispose of as much of the copper as possible during periods of high prices and hold it during periods of low prices. That the Alaska copper mines were successful in obtaining better than average prices for their output is indicated by their reports. In fact, the average price received by the Mother Lode Coalition Mines Co. for its copper is stated in the annual report of that company to have been 14.887 cents a pound, and the other large company apparently received as much or even more. The figures relating to the value of the Alaska output of copper can not therefore be regarded as representing the amounts received by the different companies for their copper. They do, however, serve to indicate within close limits the magnitude of the industry and are comparable with the figures for value of the copper production for earlier years as stated in these reports.

In the following table are shown the amount and value of the copper produced in Alaska since the earliest recorded mining of copper took place. For the last five years there has been a gradual decrease in the output. Between the production of 1927 and that of 1928 there was a decrease of nearly 14,000,000 pounds in quantity and of \$1,285,000 in value. This decrease in value would have been even greater had not the market price of copper in 1928 been



about 1.3 cents higher than in 1927. This difference alone increased the total value of the 1928 copper production more than \$500,000.

Copper and silver produced at Alaska copper mines, 1880, 1900-1928

Year	Ore mined (tons)	Copper		Silver	
		Pounds	Value	Fine ounces	Value
1880		3,933	\$826		
1900-1915	1,232,396	220,773,969	35,031,225	2,351,726	\$1,297,756
1916	617,264	119,654,839	29,484,291	1,207,121	794,286
1917	659,957	88,793,400	24,240,598	1,041,153	857,911
1918	722,047	69,224,951	17,098,563	719,391	719,391
1919	492,644	47,220,771	8,783,063	488,034	546,598
1920	766,095	70,435,363	12,960,106	682,033	743,416
1921	477,121	57,011,597	7,354,496	544,311	544,311
1922	581,384	77,967,819	10,525,655	623,518	623,518
1923	731,168	85,920,645	12,630,335	715,040	586,333
1924	761,779	74,074,207	9,703,721	572,078	383,292
1925	860,023	73,855,298	10,361,336	596,607	412,131
1926	670,000	67,778,000	9,489,000	605,190	377,600
1927	645,000	55,343,000	7,250,000	525,100	297,800
1928	579,500	41,421,000	5,965,000	350,430	205,000
	9,796,800	1,149,479,000	200,878,000	11,021,530	8,390,000

The general trend of the copper-mining industry in Alaska is graphically shown by the curve in Figure 3, which shows the output of copper in pounds for each year from 1900 to 1928. On the same diagram has also been plotted the average price of copper for each year. It is significant to note that up to very recent times there has been a very close relation between the price of copper and the Alaska output. In other words, when the price of copper was high there was a corresponding stimulation in output, and when prices were lower the output fell off. The foregoing statement applies only to trends and does not at all mean that a certain price for copper will bring out a certain tonnage. For instance, in 1907, when the price of copper was 20 cents a pound, only 6,308,000 pounds was produced, whereas in 1927, with a price of 13.1 cents a pound, the output was 55,343,000 pounds, or nearly nine times as much. Interpretation of the conditions, however, shows that in 1907 an increase in price over the preceding year was accompanied by an increase in output, and in 1927 a decrease in price was accompanied by a decrease in output.

No new developments of note were reported at the mines of the Kennecott Copper Corporation at Kennecott, in the Copper River region, during 1928. The ore from this property, as in the past, was largely high-grade copper sulphide and carbonate containing considerable silver but no gold. The highest-grade ore is sacked and shipped directly to the smelters, but the lower-grade ores are concentrated before shipment. According to the published statements of this company⁸ 74,688 tons of ore was mined during the

⁸ Kennecott Copper Corporation Fourteenth Ann. Rept., for 1928, p. 7, 1929.

year, which was estimated to have an average content of 12.50 per cent of copper and 2.440 ounces of silver to the ton. At the mine of the Mother Lode Coalition Mines Co., which is contiguous to the properties of the Kennecott Copper Corporation and is operated by that corporation, although the accounting and bookkeeping are conducted separately, the ore is essentially the same, being a high-grade copper sulphide and carbonate containing considerable silver. The




FIGURE 3.—Quantity of copper produced from Alaska mines, 1900–1928, and fluctuations in the price of copper during that period

report of this company⁹ shows that during the year 61,074 tons of ore was mined, which had an estimated content of 11.72 per cent of copper and 1.63 ounces of silver to the ton.

The ore of the Beatson mine of the Kennecott Copper Corporation, on Latouche Island, is entirely different from that of the mines in the Copper River region, just described, being a low-grade copper-iron sulphide, mined by a system of caving. All the ore is concentrated at mills near the mine, and only the concentrates are shipped to the smelter in the States. According to the published report of this company¹⁰ 442,765 tons of ore was produced in 1928, which had

⁹ Mother Lode Coalition Mines Co. Tenth Ann. Rept., for 1928, p. 3, 1929.

¹⁰ Kennecott Copper Corporation Fourteenth Ann. Rept., for 1928, p. 7, 1929.

an estimated content of 1.26 per cent of copper and 0.264 ounce of silver to the ton.

So far as reported, no new ore bodies of moment were discovered at any of these large mines, but the owners have by no means concluded that the possibilities of finding such bodies have been exhausted; instead, they appear to feel that the search should be continued even more vigorously. The continued success of this operating company has a most vital bearing on the general development of Alaska, because it furnishes so large a tonnage to the transportation agencies of the Territory.

The amount of copper produced by mines other than those already mentioned was practically negligible, amounting to only a little more than 2,000 pounds and coming from mines that can not properly be regarded as copper mines, the copper being a by-product. There was some prospecting for copper lodes at several places. Probably the most active work of this kind that was done was in the Chitina and Nizina Valleys. Some work was done on the Copper Creek mines, in the Kotsina district, north of the Chitina River, where copper claims have been held for several years on which some development work is done annually. No mining was in progress at the Green Butte mine, on McCarthy Creek, but the property is being looked after so that it can be reopened when its owners desire and when operating conditions are favorable. Late in the season prospecting in the vicinity of Glacier Creek, in the Chitistone Valley, disclosed copper ore of the same general type as that at the large operating copper mines. Arrangements were made to carry on further exploration of the deposit to determine its extent and other physical characters and thus prove whether or not it is of commercial value. This work will take considerable time, so that no early determination of the significance of this find can be made. It may be said, however, that the present indications are such as amply to justify the work necessary to get the determinative facts and to stimulate still further search for commercial deposits in this region. To the north, in the valley of the Nabesna River, prospecting was continued on the property of the Alaska Nabesna Corporation. A group of the financial backers of this enterprise visited the property in July, 1928, evidently with the object of inspecting the work already done and studying the conditions for its future development. No report of the results of these studies has been given out.

Some renewal of activity in prospecting for copper lodes in the Prince William Sound region was reported. Options on the old copper claims on Knight Island were said to have been taken by the Consolidated Mining & Smelting Co. of Canada, and plans were in preparation for a thorough examination of them by a group of engineers from this company. This work will necessitate an exten-

sive drilling and sampling program, and some time will be required to get adequate facts from which the practicability of taking up active mining can be decided. A little prospecting for copper lodes was also done in the vicinity of Valdez, but no details were learned as to its outcome, though apparently no significant new finds were made.

SILVER

None of the ores that are mined in Alaska are valuable solely for the silver they contain, and by far the greater part of the silver that is produced occurs as a relatively minor constituent in ores whose principal value lies in some other metallic constituent. Thus, as shown by the table below, silver to the value of \$205,000 was recovered in 1928 from ores that are valuable principally for the copper they contain. This source alone accounts for nearly 80 per cent of all the silver that was produced in Alaska in 1928. The amount of silver in the copper ore, however, is actually very small, as is shown by the fact that the average silver content of all the copper ore that was reported amounted to less than 1½ ounces to a ton of ore, and the ore from the mine that reported the highest average silver content contained only 2.44 ounces to the ton.

All the gold-lode mines yield some silver in addition to their gold. Thus the mine of the Alaska Juneau Gold Mining Co., though worked principally for gold, yielded 77,591 ounces of silver in 1928, according to the company's published report.¹¹ The silver from all the gold-lode mines amounted to 80,340 ounces and was worth \$47,000. Some silver is also contained in all the gold that is recovered from Alaska placer mines. This silver is not recognizable, as it is intimately alloyed with the gold and is recovered only after the gold is treated chemically or refined. The total silver from this source was worth \$14,000.

Data regarding the production of silver have been referred to in several places in the preceding pages and included in some of the tables that cover the production of other metals. For convenience the sources and the quantity and value of the production from each source in 1928 and 1927 are set forth in the following table.

Silver produced in Alaska in 1928 and 1927

Source	1928		1927	
	Ounces	Value	Ounces	Value
Gold lodes.....	80,340	\$47,000	79,400	\$45,000
Gold placers.....	23,930	14,000	23,300	13,200
Copper lodes.....	350,430	205,000	525,100	297,800
	454,700	266,000	627,800	356,000

¹¹Alaska Juneau Gold Mining Co. Fourteenth Ann. Rept., for 1928.

It is evident from this table that the output of silver in 1928 was worth about \$90,000 less than the output in 1927. It should be remembered that as the bulk of the silver is merely an accessory to the other metals, notably the copper, its output fluctuates widely, being dependent upon the production of the other metals. As the production of copper fell off nearly 14,000,000 pounds, naturally there was a corresponding decrease in the silver that was recovered from the copper ores. There was an increase in the production of silver from gold lodes and placers, but as those sources contain only a relatively slight amount of silver this increase afforded only a slight offset to the decrease from the copper ores. Although the total quantity of silver produced was considerably less in 1928 than in 1927, the increase in the average market price of silver, as computed by the Bureau of Mines, from 56.7 cents an ounce in 1927 to 58.5 cents in 1928 offset some of the decline in production.

The development in Alaska of ores which are principally valuable for their silver content is necessarily attended by many more difficulties and expenses than are likely to be met in developing gold mines. Among the most obvious reasons for this difference is the much lower value per unit of weight of the silver and the fact that more elaborate and expensive processes are usually required to recover it in a readily salable metallic state than to recover gold. As a result it is more or less unfeasible at this time to attempt to develop or even to search for silver lodes in remote parts of Alaska unless the ore has an especially high tenor. Therefore, although silver-lead lodes have been reported at many places in interior parts of Alaska none of them have been given very thorough examination or serious consideration by capitalists. It is true that some shipments of silver-lead ores have been made from interior Alaska, especially from the Kantishna district, north of the Alaska Range, but although the ore was of high grade the expense of transporting it to smelters in the States and having it smelted consumed practically all the profits. In southeastern Alaska, however, where the region is much more accessible to deep-water transportation and all operating costs are lower, there have been many attempts to find and develop silver-lead deposits. The greatest amount of work of this kind has been done in the Hyder district, at the head of Portland Canal, near the international boundary. In 1927 some shipments of silver-lead ore were made from this camp, but in 1928 no shipments were reported, though more than a score of prospectors were engaged in prospecting and development work, and on several properties promising leads were said to have been found. The Hyder district adjoins the mineralized region north of Stewart, in British Columbia, in which the famous Premier silver and gold

mine is situated. This very rich deposit occurs under geologic conditions by no means unlike those that are found in parts of the adjoining Hyder district, and this similarity has sustained interest in the search for profitable silver and gold deposits on the American side of the boundary. During 1928 one of the mines in the Hyder district that had been brought to a producing stage in an earlier year was closed down, but the suspension was reported to be only temporary, and it should be operating again soon. A summary statement regarding general mining activity in this district is made in the section of this report describing the gold lodes (p. 15).

A little development and prospecting work on silver-lead ores is reported to have been done during the year on claims lying a short distance north of the settlement of Wrangell. North of Skagway the Inspiration Point Mining Co. is reported to have continued work on its property where indications of silver-lead lodes that are said to appear promising have been found. In the Susitna Valley of west-central Alaska, about 9 miles east of Chulitna station, on the Alaska Railroad, where a unique deposit containing ruby silver was found some two years ago, little development work was in progress, and the property lay practically idle throughout the season of 1928. A prospector near the head of the Cosna River, in the Yukon Valley, reported doing further work on a silver-lead lode which he stated was showing encouraging signs of developing into a real body of ore. The remoteness of the district will be a severe handicap to its early commercial development unless the lode proves to be of large size and high tenor. The remarkably efficient development of the Mayo deposits, in Yukon Territory east of Dawson, and the successful handling of the ore from that remote camp encourages the belief that methods are being made available whereby even deposits in the remote regions of Alaska, if they afford a considerable tonnage of rich ore, may be mined in spite of adverse physical conditions. With the improved transportation facilities that are already available in Alaska many regions that were formerly almost inaccessible are less difficult to reach, and these facilities are being constantly improved and will doubtless be still further extended as the opening up and development of the Territory as a whole inevitably takes place.

LEAD

The lead produced from Alaska ores in 1928 amounted to 2,038,655 pounds, an increase over the production in 1927 of about 22,000 pounds. This stands as the greatest quantity of lead that Alaska has ever produced in a single year. The value of the output at 5.8 cents a pound, the average market price of the lead sold in the States in 1928 according to the Bureau of Mines, was \$118,000. This was

a slight decrease in total value from that of 1927. The decrease in value accompanying increased production was due to the decline in market price, which in 1927 was 6.3 cents a pound.

Lead produced in Alaska, 1892-1928

Year	Tons	Value	Year	Tons	Value	Year	Tons	Value
1892.....	30	\$2,400	1905.....	30	\$2,620	1918.....	564	\$80,088
1893.....	40	3,040	1906.....	30	3,420	1919.....	687	72,822
1894.....	35	2,310	1907.....	30	3,180	1920.....	875	140,000
1895.....	20	1,320	1908.....	40	3,360	1921.....	759	68,279
1896.....	30	1,800	1909.....	69	5,934	1922.....	377	41,477
1897.....	30	2,160	1910.....	75	6,600	1923.....	410	57,400
1898.....	30	2,240	1911.....	51	4,590	1924.....	631	100,899
1899.....	35	3,150	1912.....	45	4,050	1925.....	789	140,571
1900.....	40	3,440	1913.....	6	528	1926.....	778	124,400
1901.....	40	3,440	1914.....	28	1,344	1927.....	1,008	127,000
1902.....	30	2,460	1915.....	437	41,118	1928.....	1,019	118,000
1903.....	30	2,520	1916.....	820	113,160			
1904.....	30	2,580	1917.....	852	146,584			
							10,830	1,440,000

In Alaska no ores are mined solely for their lead content. Practically all of the lead is recovered as a by-product in the course of gold or silver mining, the concentrates containing lead being shipped to smelters in the States for treatment to recover the various metals they contain. All the lead that is reported in the foregoing table as produced in 1928 was recovered in the course of treatment of the gold ores of the Alaska Juneau mines, in southeastern Alaska. According to the published reports of this company for 1928 it produced 2,038,655 pounds of lead in addition to other metals during that year. This represents a recovery of only a little over half a pound of lead from each ton of ore that is mined and trammed to the mill, or $1\frac{1}{8}$ pounds of lead from each ton of ore that is fine milled.

Although all the lead produced in 1928 came from one mine, this condition has not prevailed in the past, and usually some lead has come from the Hyder district of southeastern Alaska, the Kantishna district of the Yukon Valley, or other widely scattered districts. All the information regarding the recent developments on ores that contain lead as well as other metals has already been given in other parts of this report, especially those that describe the gold or the silver lodes. Lead is a heavy low-priced commodity which requires rather elaborate treatment to produce in readily salable metallic form and thus offers little incentive to development in remote regions. The outlook for any notable increase in the production of this metal therefore seems to depend on the stimulation of the mining of other metals and the consequent increase in their production as well. That this increase in mining lodes of mixed metallic content is likely to take place is regarded as a certainty, and that some of the silver-lead deposits which are now lying idle will be opened

up again in the near future seems almost equally certain. An increase in the output of lead is therefore looked for with considerable assurance.

PLATINUM METALS

Platinum is one of a group of several metals which, because they are closely related in physical and chemical character, are often not differentiated by name or are not even identified specifically in the usual forms of assay or analysis but are spoken of as the platinum metals or, even more loosely, as platinum. Platinum, palladium, osmium, and iridium are some of the individual members of this group. Some of these metals have been found both in lodes and in placers in Alaska. The total quantity of platinum metals produced in Alaska in 1928 is estimated to have been approximately 120 fine ounces, which at the average market price for that metal, as computed by the Bureau of Mines, was worth about \$9,000.

The only occurrence of a metal of this group in a lode that has produced any appreciable quantity was at the mine of the Alaska Palladium Co. on Kasaan Peninsula, Prince of Wales Island, about 30 miles west of Ketchikan. The principal platinum metal found at this mine was palladium. Unfortunately, decrease in the price paid for palladium and some internal difficulties resulted in the closing of this mine in the fall of 1926, and it has not been reopened since. As this mine while it was running produced several hundred thousand dollars' worth of platinum metals a year and in addition a good deal of gold and some copper, its cessation of production has not only made a very decided drop in the Alaskan output of platinum metals but has been felt in the total mineral production of the Territory.

The only platinum metals that were mined in Alaska in 1928 were recovered from placers in the Dime Creek district, of Seward Peninsula, and in the Goodnews Bay region, south of the mouth of the Kuskokwim River. The Seward Peninsula deposits have been known for a long time and have been more or less continuous producers, though their annual yield has amounted to only a few ounces. The occurrence of platinum in the Goodnews Bay region has also been known for a number of years, but interest in the deposits was especially keen during 1928, and for a time it appeared that a small stampede was in progress. Rumors of the richness of these claims, however, seem to have become more glowing the farther they traveled away from their source. In spite of such exaggeration, it is true that placer deposits containing platinum, worth continued careful prospecting, occur in this district, and that about six men were engaged during the summer in the search for places where

concentration had been great enough to form deposits that could be worked at a profit. The most extensive work is reported to have been done in the vicinity of Salmon Creek, a small stream lying between Goodnews and Chagvan Bays, about 2 miles north of the native village of Kiniginagimut. This region has not been surveyed, and the position of the different streams in that region is not known to the writer. According to local reports, however, there were four camps that produced some platinum—one on Clara Creek, two on Squirrel Creek, and one on Platinum Creek, the last worked solely by natives. The facts that more than 100 ounces of platinum were produced from this region in 1928 and that what little is known about the geology of the region seems to be favorable make it evident that this region well warrants more complete investigations as a placer platinum camp. The facts so far known, however, are not such as to justify any stampede to the region or hope that easily won riches await picking up by the first comer. A curious feature of the platinum that has been recovered is that it is accompanied by surprisingly little gold. In the Seward Peninsula camps, where some platinum is found, the quantity of gold is many times that of the platinum, whereas in the Salmon Creek region, where a clean-up was reported which yielded more than 3 ounces of platinum, only a few small specks of gold were found. This condition is also unlike that which is reported at places north of Goodnews Bay, where small amounts of platinum have been found in earlier years associated with much greater quantities of gold.

Although no other places are known to have produced platinum metals that were sold in 1928, it is not at all unlikely that small amounts may have been produced elsewhere and held by their producers. Places where platinum has been recognized are widespread through other parts of Alaska, and some of them have produced platinum that has been sold. Among these places may be mentioned the Chistochina district of the Copper River region; Metal Creek, in the Kenai district; some of the beach placers of Kodiak Island, in southwestern Alaska; the Kahiltna River and near-by streams, in the Yentna district of the Susitna region; Boob Creek, in the Tolstoi area of the Innoko district; Granite Creek, in the Ruby district of the Yukon region; and some streams in the Marshall district, in the western part of the Yukon region. Some platinum is reported to have been found in the gold ores of the Nuka Bay region, in Kenai Peninsula. This report has not been definitely verified, and its accuracy seems doubtful, as the general geology of that district is unlike that in known platinum fields and does not appear favorable for the occurrence of the metal.

TIN

Alaska's tin production showed a fairly large increase in 1928, though the amount of metal recovered was far below that of the period from 1911 to 1919, when the industry was at its height. The increase, however, is regarded as indicating that the production of tin ore in Alaska is decidedly on the upward trend. The output in 1928 was 58.6 tons, which contained 41 tons of metallic tin. The average price of metallic tin for the year, as computed by the Bureau of Mines, was 50.46 cents a pound, so that the value of the Alaska production was \$41,000. Practically all this tin ore was shipped out of Alaska for treatment, only a few hundred pounds remaining unsold in the hands of the producer. Almost all the ore is shipped to Singapore for reduction.

Tin produced in Alaska, 1902-1928

Year	Ore (tons)	Metal (tons)	Value	Year	Ore (tons)	Metal (tons)	Value
1902.....	25	15	\$8,000	1917.....	171	100	\$123,300
1903.....	42	25	14,000	1918.....	104.5	68	118,000
1904.....	23	14	8,000	1919.....	86	56	73,400
1905.....	10	6	4,000	1920.....	26	16	16,112
1906.....	57	34	38,640	1921.....	7	4	2,400
1907.....	37.5	22	16,752	1922.....	2.3	1.4	912
1908.....	42.5	25	15,180	1923.....	3	1.9	1,623
1909.....	19	11	7,638	1924.....	11	7	7,028
1910.....	16.5	10	8,335	1925.....	22.2	13.8	15,980
1911.....	92.5	61	52,798	1926.....	12.85	8	10,400
1912.....	194	130	119,600	1927.....	37.5	26.7	34,000
1913.....	98	50	44,103	1928.....	58.6	41	41,000
1914.....	157.5	104	66,560				
1915.....	167	102	78,846				
1916.....	232	139	121,000		1,754.6	1,092	1,048,000

Tin minerals have been found in the veins and mineralized country rock of the York and Port Clarence districts, Seward Peninsula, and at one time were extensively mined. The tin produced in 1928, however, did not come from lodes but from placer deposits, principally in the York district, of Seward Peninsula, and the Hot Springs district, of the Yukon Valley. In the York district the placer tin, or cassiterite, is mined principally for itself, though some placer gold is also found with it. In the Hot Springs district the tin ore is a by-product obtained from deposits that are mined primarily for their gold. In the York district the tin ore was mined by two small camps, the larger of which is on Goodwin Gulch. In the Hot Springs district the tin ore was mined at several small camps in the vicinity of Tofty.

The National Tin Mining Co. shipped into the York district during 1928 a considerable outfit of machinery and supplies to reopen the tin lodes on the old Crim-Randt-O'Brien properties and

carry on productive mining. It is understood that present plans contemplate the employment of 8 or 10 miners throughout the year and the active development of the most promising lodes. The operations of this company will be watched with considerable interest, as this is the only place in North America where lode mining for tin ore is being undertaken.

Considerable interest in tin mining was revived in the Hot Springs district of the Yukon-Tanana region by the acquisition of options on most of the lowland areas in the vicinity of Tofty and Woodchopper Creeks by an English company which proposed to dredge the placer deposits there to recover the tin and gold that they contain. This transaction was not completed until late in the open season, so that the only steps taken in 1928 were to have engineers of the company examine the ground and make such preliminary tests as the time at their disposal permitted. Doubtless this will be followed up by more extensive tests before the company undertakes to formulate definite plans or start active construction work. The inception of this enterprise, like that of several other projects which have been undertaken in interior Alaska, was due to George F. Lemon.

During 1928 considerable activity was shown in searching for tin in the Ruby district of the Yukon region. This work was being carried on at a score or more claims on Big Creek, some distance east of the town of Ruby, and finds of tin ore both in the placers and in masses that apparently had not traveled far from the parent ledge encouraged search for both lode and placer deposits that might be mined at a profit. This ground has been examined by several mining engineers, and although their reports have not been made public the general conclusions seem to be favorable, as the ground has been taken under option and prospecting carried on consistently.

COAL

More coal was produced from Alaska fields in 1928 than in any other year since coal mining began in the Territory. Although this is an encouraging indication that the industry is becoming firmly established, it should be realized that with a production of only 126,000 tons the industry is still small and by no means supplies even the local market. Thus 71,000 tons of coal was imported from fields outside of Alaska in 1928 and no Alaska coal was exported. A comparison of the records of coal production and consumption in Alaska for the entire period for which records are available is afforded by the statistics set forth in the table on page 63.

Coal produced and consumed in Alaska, 1880-1928

Year	Produced in Alaska, chiefly subbituminous and lignite		Imported from States, chiefly bituminous coal from Washington ^a (short tons)	Imported from foreign countries, chiefly bituminous coal from British Columbia ^a (short tons)	Total coal consumed (short tons)
	Short tons	Value			
1880-1915	71,633	\$456,993	679,844	1,079,735	1,814,047
1916	12,676	57,412	44,934	53,672	111,282
1917	54,275	268,438	58,116	56,589	168,980
1918	75,816	413,870	51,520	37,986	165,322
1919	60,894	345,617	57,166	48,708	166,768
1920	61,111	355,668	38,128	45,264	144,503
1921	76,817	496,394	24,278	33,776	134,871
1922	79,275	430,639	28,457	34,251	141,983
1923	119,826	755,469	34,082	43,205	197,113
1924	99,663	559,980	40,161	41,980	181,804
1925	82,868	404,617	37,324	57,230	177,422
1926	87,300	459,000	35,620	34,254	157,174
1927	104,300	548,000	35,212	27,225	166,700
1928	126,100	662,000	39,184	32,521	197,805
	1,112,554	6,214,000	1,204,026	1,626,396	3,929,805

^a Compiled from Monthly Summary of Foreign Commerce of the United States, 1905-1928, Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce. No figures on imports before 1899 are available.

In the table the total value of the coal produced in Alaska in 1928 is stated to have been \$662,000. This value can only be regarded as a fair approximation, because the records are not available for precise determination of the actual selling price of the coal. Much of the coal is purchased by the Alaska Railroad on contract for large quantities, so that the price paid by the company is not a fair basis on which to compute the price paid for the lots sold to the smaller consumers, who in the aggregate buy a large part of the output and pay much higher prices. From all the available information, and by weighting the resulting estimate as closely as practicable, it appears that the average price of all the coal mined in Alaska in 1928 was approximately \$5.25 a ton, which is the same as in 1927 and is about 50 cents a ton less than the average for the entire period shown in the table.

The Alaska coal came principally from three mines, two in the Matanuska field and one in the Nenana or Healy River field. The two mines in the Matanuska field were that of the Evan Jones Coal Co. at Jonesville and that of the Premier Mining Co. in the valley of Moose Creek. The Evan Jones mine was inactive throughout the winter and early months of the year, but from May until the end of the year it was engaged in filling its contract with the railroad and supplying other customers. Mining at the Premier was carried on throughout the early part of the year at approximately the same rate as in 1927, but beginning in August its production dwindled,

and in the last five months of the year it produced only a few tons, mainly derived in the course of development work. The litigation regarding this property, which had been dragging on for several years, was still unsettled at the end of the season. In addition to these two principal producing mines a little work was in progress at the Pioneer mine, in the southern part of the Moose Creek Valley, and small quantities of coal were produced at the Ross Heckey property, on Coal Creek, in the eastern part of the Matanuska Valley near Chickaloon. The coal from the Heckey property is especially good for blacksmithing, and for several years the Alaska Railroad has operated a home-made coke oven, using this coal to make such coke as it requires for local use. The coke is strong and of good quality, and it seems entirely possible that a more extended use of this coal for that purpose, not only by the railroad but by others, will be made. The old Government-owned mine at Eska was maintained in a more or less stand-by condition throughout the year, so that if anything should happen which might endanger the supply of coal needed to run the railroad it could be quickly reopened and mining resumed.

In the Nenana coal field the only producing property was the Suntrana mine of the Healy River Coal Corporation, on the Healy River, about 4 miles east of the junction of that stream and the Nenana River. The mine is connected with the main line of the Alaska Railroad by a standard-gage spur track which crosses the Nenana River on a sturdily constructed steel bridge. The plant of this mine has been well laid out and is now equipped with the necessary modern machinery to handle 200 tons or more of coal a day. The corporation has a contract for supplying the coal used by the Fairbanks Exploration Co. in furnishing power to its dredges and in its large placer-mining operations in the vicinity of Fairbanks. The coal has a somewhat lower heating value than that from the Matanuska and near-by fields and as a consequence is not used in the railroad locomotives. This mine was in continuous operation throughout 1928 and yielded nearly half of all the coal mined in Alaska that year. Farther up the Healy River are the coal claims of Roth & Manley. In 1927 it was reported that negotiations had been practically closed for the railroad to extend the Suntrana spur up to this property, and that work would be started at once in opening up this coal, which was supposed to be of higher quality than that farther down the valley. The necessary financial arrangements, however, were not carried through, and this plan was either abandoned or lay dormant throughout the year. That there is coal of good quality in this part of the Healy River Valley has been abundantly proved. That it is of better quality than the other coals and

can be mined more cheaply has not been demonstrated. Consequently the extra haul necessary to bring it to market would place an additional charge against it without any compensating offset, unless it can be mined more cheaply or has a higher heating value.

During 1928 a new development in the coal-mining industry of the Territory took place in southeastern Alaska. This was the development of the old Harkrader coal claims, on Kootznahoo Inlet, on the west coast of Admiralty Island. An inclined shaft continuing the old shaft on the property has been driven to a depth of several hundred feet, and several levels have been turned off to drift along the bed of coal. Several small shipments of coal have been taken to Juneau and used locally with satisfactory results. The coal is said to occur in two benches, the upper one about 2 feet thick and the lower one about 3 feet thick. The conditions for mining are in general regarded as favorable, but only further work can determine adequately the extent of the deposits and, what is of perhaps even more importance, the cost of mining and making the coal available for shipment. The solution of these problems as well as the transportation and marketing of the coal will require careful investigation if the enterprise is to be successful.

Elsewhere in Alaska there are numerous deposits of coal, and from some of them small supplies are taken to supply local needs. In northwestern Alaska, in the vicinity of Wainwright, are extensive coal beds that furnish a few hundred tons of coal to the people living in that region. According to newspaper reports about 300 tons of coal from these deposits was mined in 1928, mostly by natives, and carried by them in their skin boats to Wainwright, where it was disposed of to the traders and others. Some of this coal was said to have been loaded aboard the *Bower*, the boat belonging to the Bureau of Education, and delivered to a number of the schools along the coast that are under the jurisdiction of that bureau. This coal has long been known, but as the coal mined comes from close to the surface and is weathered and mixed with much dirt it is not of as good a quality as the coal that is shipped in from other fields. In fact, it is said that the local people prefer to import coal from outside rather than use the local coal, even though the outside coal costs nearly three times as much. It is believed that the apparent difference in quality of this local coal is not inherent in the coal but is due to the methods of mining and the fact that the coal is taken too close to the outcrop.

In the Bering River field, where extensive deposits of coal, ranging in composition from bituminous to anthracite, have long been known, prospecting or other development work relating to the coal resources was at a standstill. Rumors of renewed activity in

this field were heard from time to time, and requests for extensions of some of the Government permits for coal prospecting there were received. It is evident that this field has too much potential value to be allowed to remain idle long, but it is also evident that the present coal consumption of Alaska is not such as to stimulate large companies to undertake the opening up of extensive projects and that until there is a greater demand for their product or until they are prepared to invade a more distant market where competition will be more severe they will not enter this field. Furthermore, the development work already done in the field indicates that some complex geologic conditions will be encountered, so that desultory prospecting by small, poorly financed, or technically unskilled operators holds little promise of success, and full development must await a company that is able to go into the matter in a large way and can stand the necessary expense of exploring a new field.

PETROLEUM

The only petroleum produced in Alaska comes from the wells of the Chilkat Oil Co., in the Katalla field. This company obtains oil from a number of relatively shallow wells, few of which are more than 1,000 feet deep and none more than 2,000 feet. A small refinery is operated at Katalla by the company, and the products—gasoline and distillate—find a ready market near at hand, especially for use by boats of the fishing fleet near Cordova. A new condensing plant was built at the refinery during the year. The production from these wells was maintained at approximately the same rate as heretofore, and its value was also about the same. Owing to the wreck of the vessel used in carrying the company's products to Cordova it was necessary to reduce production during the first three months of the year, until a new boat was obtained. No other new developments are reported to have taken place in this field during the year.

The small domestic production of petroleum from the Katalla field is not at all adequate to supply even local needs, and the demand for large quantities of petroleum products throughout the Territory is met principally by imports from the States. The most notable feature that is brought out by the data of the subjoined table is the constant increase since the war in the amount of gasoline and related lighter products of distillation imported. This increase is called for by the growing use of power in fishing boats and other water craft, in the canneries, in many mining developments, and in the operation of means of transportation such as automobiles and gas cars or engines on practically all the railroads.

Petroleum products shipped to Alaska from other parts of the United States, 1905-1928, in gallons^a

Year	Heavy oils, including crude oil, gas oil, residuum, etc.	Gasoline, including all lighter products of distillation	Illuminating oil	Lubricating oil
1905	2,715,974	713,496	627,391	83,319
1906	2,688,940	580,978	568,033	83,992
1907	9,104,300	636,881	510,145	100,145
1908	11,891,375	939,424	566,598	94,542
1909	14,119,102	746,930	531,727	85,687
1910	19,143,091	788,154	620,972	104,512
1911	20,878,843	1,238,865	423,750	100,141
1912	15,523,555	2,736,739	672,176	154,565
1913	15,682,412	1,735,658	661,656	150,918
1914	18,601,384	2,878,723	731,146	191,876
1915	16,910,012	2,413,962	513,075	271,981
1916	23,555,811	2,844,801	732,369	373,046
1917	23,971,114	3,256,870	750,238	465,693
1918	24,379,566	1,086,852	382,186	362,413
1919	18,784,013	1,007,073	3,515,746	977,703
1920	21,881,569	1,764,302	887,942	412,107
1921	9,209,102	1,403,683	2,021,033	232,784
1922	15,441,542	1,436,050	2,095,675	345,400
1923	12,285,808	4,882,015	473,826	454,090
1924	14,412,120	5,554,859	566,431	506,364
1925	16,270,746	6,993,560	562,844	580,321
1926	14,000,664	5,069,584	328,615	730,924
1927	17,628,744	8,141,574	516,306	620,450
1928	13,000,176	8,025,402	463,134	715,082
	372,179,963	66,876,375	19,723,014	8,198,055

^a Compiled from Monthly Summary of Foreign Commerce of the United States, 1905 to 1928, Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce.

Search for new oil fields in Alaska has not been vigorously carried on during the year. At only one place was any drilling done, and at one place where drilling had been done in 1927 formal notice was given in 1928 of the company's intention to abandon the well that had been started. Hundreds of permits for prospecting for oil that have been issued by the Government and cover tracts in all parts of Alaska are outstanding in the hands of individuals and companies, but most of them were taken up solely for speculative purposes and will lapse after a short time if no active work is done under them. At present the interest in Alaska oil prospecting has flagged, as the test wells already sunk have proved that the discovery of commercial pools there will require much work and the expenditure of large sums of money. There is no reason, however, to believe that the chances of finding oil in Alaska have been exhausted, and the lack of success that attended some of the wildcat wells that have already been drilled is not unlike the history of the early drilling in fields in the States that subsequently have proved to be immensely productive. There are many tracts in Alaska that are believed to show favorable structure and will warrant extensive testing when the pressure to find new supplies of oil becomes stronger. These tests, however, should not be undertaken by any but a strong organization that is able to carry through the exploration

of the tract selected to a determinative conclusion and that is advised by a competent technical staff able to interpret and utilize to the fullest the facts that are disclosed in the course of the work.

The only other place where drilling for oil was in progress in 1928 was in the Matanuska Valley, a few miles west of Chickaloon, on the property of the Peterson Oil Association. Work at this place was started in 1926, and when operations were suspended in the winter of 1927 the well had been put down to a depth of 865 feet. In 1928 drilling started at this depth and was carried down to a depth of 1,300 feet by the end of the year. The work was done rather slowly, as care had to be taken to keep the hole alined. In part of the section rather massive, dense rock was penetrated, which probably was a sill of igneous rock similar to rocks exposed at the surface near Chickaloon. This rock is interbedded with the shales and sandstones that occur throughout the rest of the section. Apparently the dip of the formation, which in the upper part was rather steep, becomes less near the bottom of the hole and at the depth reached in 1928 is said to be almost flat. The operators were experiencing considerable difficulty in sinking the hole deeper with the Star rig that had been used in the shallower ground and had made arrangements for the purchase of a Standard rig, which was to be shipped in early enough to be used throughout the season of 1929. Apparently the showings are regarded by the owners as sufficiently promising to warrant their continuing drilling for at least another year, and inasmuch as they have carried the work so far that decision is probably justified, so that the question as to whether or not oil occurs there may be definitely settled. The geologic conditions in the vicinity of the well, so far as known, are not those usually found in the areas in the States where the larger commercial pools of oil occur, and a geologist can not but entertain grave doubts as to the occurrence of oil in that region. The finding of a commercial deposit of oil would be of so much benefit to the region as a whole, as well as to the individual operator, that it is earnestly hoped that the enterprise may be successful.

MISCELLANEOUS MINERAL PRODUCTS

The list of minerals of value that have been found in Alaska is long. In addition to those described in the preceding sections of this report the following have at one time or another been produced in quantities large enough to have more than local significance, and some of them have been and still are the basis of profitable mining industries: Metallic minerals, antimony, arsenic, bismuth, chromium, iron, manganese, mercury or quicksilver, molybdenum, nickel, tungsten, and zinc; nonmetallic minerals, asbestos, barite, building stone,

clay, garnet, graphite, gypsum, jade, limestone, marble, and sulphur. Without doubt in 1928 small quantities of practically all these minerals were "produced" in the broadest sense of that word, but with the exception of stone, marble, antimony, and quicksilver none of them were reported to have been produced and sold in quantities that justified their being considered as having contributed materially to the mineral output of the Territory.

In the following table, as well as in certain of the other tables accompanying this report, all these minerals that were produced in quantities so small that to list them separately would disclose the production of individual operators have been grouped together under the collective term "miscellaneous mineral products." Among the mineral products that have been described elsewhere in this report but are included in this table are platinum and petroleum.

Value of output of miscellaneous mineral products of Alaska, including platinum, petroleum, gypsum, marble, and other products, 1901-1928

Year	Value	Year	Value	Year	Value
1901.....	\$500	1911.....	\$141,739	1921.....	\$235,438
1902.....	255	1912.....	165,342	1922.....	260,396
1903.....	389	1913.....	286,277	1923.....	229,486
1904.....	2,710	1914.....	190,767	1924.....	348,728
1905.....	710	1915.....	205,061	1925.....	454,207
1906.....	19,965	1916.....	326,737	1926.....	444,500
1907.....	54,512	1917.....	203,971	1927.....	162,000
1908.....	81,305	1918.....	171,452	1928.....	164,000
1909.....	86,027	1919.....	214,040		
1910.....	96,408	1920.....	372,599		
					^a 5,289,000

^a \$112,000 of placer platinum metals mined prior to 1926 and \$238,000 of antimony mined prior to 1927 is not distributed by years but carried in total.

Practically the entire output of Alaska marble comes from quarries owned and operated by the Vermont Marble Co. In the past the company's output of marble has come mainly from quarries at Tokeen, on Marble Island, off the northwest coast of Prince of Wales Island. Depletion of these deposits and demand for more of its product led the company to search the adjacent region for other deposits of the type desired. After a long hunt satisfactory masses of marble were found in the vicinity of Calder and El Capitan, and they are being developed as rapidly as conditions permit. At present all the marble shipped by the Vermont Marble Co. is rough stone, which is dressed in the States for use in interior decoration. In the past several marble quarries were in operation in southeastern Alaska, but they are now standing idle. It seems strange that more limestone deposits favorably situated with respect to ocean transportation have not been profitably developed. A recent report by Buddington is accompanied by a map¹² which shows, among other

¹² Buddington, A. F., The geology and mineral deposits of southeastern Alaska: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 800, pl. 1, 1929.

things, the distribution and extent of some of the large belts of limestone that traverse much of southeastern Alaska. According to Burchard,¹³ many different types of marble occur in these deposits, some even approaching statuary grade.

A new industry that bids fair to be of great importance in the development of southeastern Alaska commenced productive work in 1928. This is an enterprise to quarry high-grade limestone required as one of the constituents of cement. The work is being done by the Pacific Coast Cement Co., whose cement plant that uses the limestone is near Seattle. The quarries from which the limestone is taken are on View Cove, off Baldy Bay, on the east coast of Dall Island, one of the southwesternmost islands of southeastern Alaska. The quarry is opened on a floor about 150 feet above the sea. The rock is blasted down by dynamite, loaded into cars by a steam shovel, trammed to a crusher, which breaks up the larger pieces and feeds to a hammer mill that breaks it down into still finer pieces, the largest of which will pass through a 1-inch ring. The crushed rock is temporarily stored in an excavation below the crusher until such time as it is loaded aboard freighters and taken to Seattle. The loading is done by an extension of a belt conveyor which delivers the rock from storage through towers directly into the holds of the vessels. Transportation to Seattle is effected by two steel freighters having a cargo capacity of about 6,500 tons each, which are operated by the Pacific Coast Steamship Co. and which, when operations have become standardized and on schedule, plan to deliver a shipload of limestone at Seattle every nine days.

Antimony minerals are widely distributed through Alaska, and the common antimony mineral, stibnite, is recognized in most of the mineralized areas in interior Alaska. There has been little demand for this metal lately, and the market for it is said to be fairly closely controlled. In 1928, however, a Los Angeles firm reports having purchased a carload of antimony ore that came from the Fairbanks district. Specific information as to the mine from which this shipment was made is not at hand, but it is believed to have come from one of the gold-lode mines on the northern flanks of Pedro Dome and to have been accumulated in the course of other mining. A considerable number of veins of high-grade antimony ore have long been known to occur at various places in Cleveland Peninsula, in the Ketchikan district; several attempts have been made to open them up, and some ore has been shipped. Renewed activity in prospecting some of these veins was reported to have been under way, and promising results were obtained. There was also a revival of interest in

¹³ Burchard, E. F., Marble resources of southeastern Alaska: U. S. Geol. Survey Bull. 682, pp. 29-39, 1920.

the antimony lodes that occur on Stampede Creek in the Kantishna district of the Yukon region. Plans were under discussion for the taking over of a number of claims in this district by an English company that had become interested in the project from reports made to it by George F. Lemon, and engineers were to be sent in to examine into the technical details. It was reported that if this project was carried through it would be undertaken on a large scale, as the company was not interested in taking hold of a small or moderate-sized venture in that rather remote region, realizing that economical development would call for considerable outlays for transportation and other facilities.

Prospecting and development work is said to have been continued on the known nickeliferous sulphides of the Chichagof district, in southeastern Alaska, but no ore is reported to have been produced for sale during the year.

No detailed information has been received by the Geological Survey regarding the developments during the year at the quicksilver deposits in the Kuskokwim Valley. It is currently reported that during 1928 a small retorting plant was built at the quicksilver property lying north of the Kuskokwim River, between Georgetown and the mouth of Holitna River, and that for a while the operator was turning out more than a flask of quicksilver a day. This plant, however, had been running only a short time when it caught on fire and was destroyed, so that production ceased. Most of the quicksilver from this property is sold to the placer mines in the Iditarod district or the near-by parts of the Kuskokwim Valley. In the Bluff district of Seward Peninsula there was considerable activity during the year in prospecting and development work on one of the old lodes near the town of Bluff that carries some quicksilver. Several claims are included in the tract on which most work is being done, and some veins were uncovered that encourage further search. The ore is said to be on the whole of low grade, but the tenor is believed by the owners to be high enough to pay for mining if there is any considerable quantity of it. Work will be continued here on a small scale for some time at least, or as long as the showings continue to be encouraging.

In the Kobuk district, northwestern Alaska, search for workable deposits of asbestos and jade is said to have been undertaken in the vicinity of Shungnak and the Ambler River. That these minerals occur in that remote district has long been known, but the expense and difficulty of developing them, even if they should be of higher quality than any samples so far seen, seems to shut out the possibility of their being mined at a profit or in appreciable quantities at present. In southeastern Alaska, on Bear Creek, near the north end

of Admiralty Island, about $2\frac{1}{2}$ miles from the shore, some work had been done on claims on which some asbestos had been found and a considerable amount of surface construction, both of houses and roads, was started. Some of the samples of asbestos from this place have fibers nearly a foot long, but they are rather weak and brittle. Possibly these samples were badly weathered, and material of better quality may be found when mining penetrates the deposit farther underground, away from the surface influence. A microscopic examination of a specimen of this asbestos was made by J. B. Mertie, jr., who identifies it as belonging to the chrysotile variety.

In the course of the studies made by the companies that are undertaking the development of the paper-pulp industry in southeastern Alaska some consideration has been given to the possibility of obtaining the required sulphur from local sources. As a result some scouting has been done to look over the pyrites deposits that have been reported in various parts of the region, but no decision as to the outcome of this search has been announced. No recent developments have been reported at any of the small sulphur deposits known to be associated with some of the volcanoes of the Aleutian Islands.

A few years ago there was a considerable production of gypsum from a mine on Iyoukeen Cove, on the east coast of Chichagof Island, southeastern Alaska, but this work was discontinued, and there has been no production from that place since. Prospecting is being continued, however, and a number of tunnels aggregating several hundred feet in length have been driven lately to explore the deposit.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

By PHILIP S. SMITH

INTRODUCTION

The task of obtaining information regarding the mineral resources of Alaska and assisting the industry in every practicable way has for many years devolved upon the Alaskan branch of the Geological Survey, and each year Congress appropriates certain funds to support the work. To give an account of the work that has been accomplished during the year just closed, so that the people may know how and where their money has been expended, is the prime object of this report. The details of the geologic results that are achieved are described more fully in reports covering the individual projects, which are published as soon after the completion of the work as possible. In the third of a century that this work has been in progress the Geological Survey has published several hundred reports on various phases of the mineral industry of Alaska, and these have been accompanied by several hundred maps of different parts of the Territory. Practically every known mineral-producing camp has been visited by the geologists, engineers, and topographers of the Geological Survey, and reports regarding these camps have been issued. There still remain, however, extensive tracts of Alaska that have not yet been surveyed, though they are believed to hold promise of containing mineral deposits that may be of value. In fact, although more than 40 per cent of the Territory has been surveyed, at least on exploratory standards, there is probably an area of more than 200,000 square miles that is regarded as of potential mineral value which should be studied as soon as funds and personnel can be assigned to the work. This is a conservative estimate and would exclude about 150,000 square miles of country, such as the Yukon Delta and Yukon Flats, where, though there may be deposits of value, the chances are regarded as not sufficiently favorable to warrant much work until studies in other more promising areas have been completed. Furthermore, it should be evident that the exploratory and reconnaissance standards that have been adopted for practically all the work so far accomplished are adequate to give only

general information, so that detailed investigations, such as are essential to the solution of most mining problems, are required for higher standards of work. The task of investigating the mineral resources of Alaska is a large one, on which only a start has yet been made.

In attempting to set forth in this report the recent activities of the Geological Survey in its Alaska work difficulty is at once encountered, in that the work is a continuing project which has no clearly marked steps or interruption to serve as well-marked breaks from which to report progress. Most of the work bears little relation to the calendar year. Many of the projects start in May and may last a few months or several years, but some have been started in February and others in July. The fiscal year which so clearly forms a basis from which to define much of the other Government work has little significance in reporting on the work in Alaska. This is true because most of the appropriations for Alaska work are made immediately available on the passage of the act through which the money is appropriated. Thus the act may pass at any time and the funds it authorizes may at once be allotted and expenditure commenced. For example, the appropriation for the Alaska work in the act for the Interior Department covering the fiscal year 1928-29 became effective on March 7, 1928, and was available for expenditure at any time after that date until June 30, 1929. At the same time the similar appropriation contained in the act for 1927-28 was available until June 30, 1928, and the act for 1929-30, which was passed March 4, 1929, was available after that date until June 30, 1930. Under these conditions it is evident that the determination as to which of these appropriations should be charged with a certain project is more likely to be decided by administrative convenience than by any real difference in the character or object of the work. To attempt to differentiate two jobs simply because they were paid for from different appropriations would obviously fail to give a correct understanding of the work to a person who was more interested in that aspect than in mere accounting procedure. For this reason the projects have been described principally on the basis of what may be called field seasons, though it should be realized that not all the time devoted to a project is spent in the field. Thus the field season of 1928 for many projects began early in the spring of 1928, when the field men began to assemble their supplies and equipment. It continued through the period of actual field operations and into the fall and winter and the spring of 1929, while the office and laboratory work of preparing the report of the results was in progress. The last stages of this work may have gone

on more or less coincidentally with the beginning of preparations for the field season of 1929 and may have ceased only when the geologist or engineer left headquarters to undertake the new project. Certain of the projects, of course, naturally fall better into other periods. For example, the statistical studies of mineral production relate to the calendar year, though the most intensive part of the work falls in the early part of the year succeeding that to which the statistics relate. Thus, though collection of data and sending out of questionnaires for the 1928 canvass went on throughout 1928, the bulk of the replies was not received until the end of the year, and the final compilations could not be made until well into the spring of 1929. The project of collecting these data relating to the calendar year 1928 therefore has been considered as belonging to the season of 1928.

Although there is no direct relation of the field season to the fiscal year, the amount of money spent during any field season closely approximates the amount of money appropriated for the fiscal year. Thus, broadly speaking, the expenditures for starting parties in the field season of 1928 in advance of July 1 that were paid from one appropriation are about balanced by the expenditures for parties that started in the season of 1929 in advance of July 1 and were paid from the next appropriation. In other words, the sum of the expenditures during a field season, though paid from different appropriations, is essentially identical with the total amount of the appropriation available for a single year, unless there has been a marked change in the amount of money appropriated for the two fiscal years. No marked change has been made in the appropriations for the Alaska work in the last few years.

The funds used by the Geological Survey in its Alaska work are provided in two items in the general act making appropriations for the Interior Department. One of these is "for continuation of the investigation of the mineral resources of Alaska." In the act for 1928-29 the amount appropriated was \$64,500, which was later increased \$3,000 through the provisions of the deficiency act to take care of salary advances made under the Welch Act. In the similar act for 1929-30 the amount appropriated was \$67,500. Each of these appropriations was made available immediately on the passage of the act in which it was contained.

The other item is an allotment made from the appropriation "for the enforcement of the provisions of the acts of October 20, 1914, October 2, 1917, February 25, 1920, and March 4, 1921, and other acts relating to the mining and recovery of minerals on Indian and public lands and naval petroleum reserves." Appropriations carried for this item are available only during the specified fiscal year. In

the fiscal year 1927-28 an allotment of \$14,500 was made for work of this kind in Alaska, and for the fiscal year 1928-29 the allotment was reduced to \$10,000.

The two types of work indicated by the different phraseology of the appropriation items will be described in some detail in the following pages. For convenience the work done under the first item will be referred to briefly as the work on mineral resources and the work under the second item as the leasing work.

WORK ON MINERAL RESOURCES

PRINCIPAL RESULTS OF THE YEAR

The principal products of the Alaska work of the Geological Survey are reports and maps based on original surveys or investigations. During the year eight such reports have been issued, as follows:

- The Skwentna Region, by S. R. Capps. (Bulletin 797-B.)
- Preliminary Report on the Sheenjok River District, by J. B. Mertie, jr. (Bulletin 797-C.)
- Surveys in Northwestern Alaska in 1926, by Philip S. Smith. (Bulletin 797-D.)
- Aerial Photographic Surveys in Southeastern Alaska, by R. H. Sargent and F. H. Moffit. (Bulletin 797-E.)
- Geology and Mineral Resources of the Aniakchak District, by R. S. Knappen. (Bulletin 797-F.)
- Mineral Industry of Alaska in 1927, by Philip S. Smith. (Bulletin 810-A.)
- Administrative Report, 1927-28, by Philip S. Smith. (Bulletin 810-A.)
- Geology of Hyder and Vicinity, Southeastern Alaska, with a Reconnaissance of Chickamin River, by A. F. Buddington. (Bulletin 807.)

Six reports have been completed by their authors and approved for editing or printing, as follows:

- The Chakachamna-Stony Region, by S. R. Capps. (Bulletin 813-B.)
- Mining in the Fortymile District, by J. B. Mertie, jr. (Bulletin 813-C.)
- A Geologic Reconnaissance of the Dennison Fork District, by J. B. Mertie, jr. Administrative Report, 1928-29, by Philip S. Smith. (Bulletin 813-A.)
- Mineral Industry of Alaska in 1928, by Philip S. Smith. (Bulletin 813-A.)
- Notes on the Upper Nizina River, by F. H. Moffit. (Bulletin 813-D.)

The following reports are in process of printing:

- The Upper Cretaceous Floras of Alaska, by Arthur Hollick, with a Description of the Upper Cretaceous Plant-Bearing Beds, by G. C. Martin. (Professional Paper 159.)
- Geology and Mineral Deposits of Southeastern Alaska, by A. F. Buddington and Theodore Chapin. (Bulletin 800.)
- Geology and Mineral Resources of Northwestern Alaska, by Philip S. Smith and J. B. Mertie, jr. (Bulletin 815.)
- The Mount Spurr Region, by S. R. Capps. (Bulletin 810-C.)
- The Chandalar-Sheenjek Region, by J. B. Mertie, jr. (Bulletin 810-B.)
- Geology of the Eagle-Circle District, by J. B. Mertie, jr. (Bulletin 816.)

The reports listed below are still in course of preparation but have not approached near enough to completion to warrant any definite statement as to when they are likely to be printed and available:

The Tertiary Floras of Alaska, by Arthur Hollick.

The Igneous Geology of Alaska, by J. B. Mertie, jr.

The Alaska Railroad Route, by S. R. Capps.

The Geology and Mineral Resources of the Chitina Valley and Some Adjacent Areas, by F. H. Moffit.

Geology of the Fairbanks-Rampart Region, by J. B. Mertie, jr.

Geographic Dictionary of Alaska, 3d edition, by James McCormick.

Several other manuscripts have long been in course of preparation, but as they will require further field work before they can be completed, they are no longer considered as in progress.

Practically all the completed reports are accompanied by maps, the bases of which have been made principally from surveys conducted by the topographers of the Alaskan branch. The following maps have been published during the year:

Drainage map of part of the Ketchikan-Hyder region, southeastern Alaska, compiled mainly from aerial photographs made by the Navy Department at the request of the Geological Survey. Compilation made under direction of R. H. Sargent; scale, 1:250,000. Published in Bulletin 797-E.

Topographic map of the Hyder district (new ed.); topography by R. M. Wilson; scale, 1:62,500. Published in Bulletin 807.

Topographic map of the Pavlof region, Alaska Peninsula; scale, 1:250,000; by C. P. McKinley, of the National Geographic Society, Pavlof Volcano Expedition. Published by the Geological Survey as a preliminary lithographic edition.

The maps listed below were completed during the year, under the direction of R. H. Sargent, and submitted for publication:

Topographic map of Valdez and vicinity, by J. W. Bagley and C. E. Giffin; scale, 1:62,500. To be published as a sale map. The base of this map is largely the Port Valdez map, now out of print, but it covers a somewhat larger area, has been revised and brought up to date, and includes the results of hitherto unpublished surveys.

Topographic map of Revillagigedo Island, southeastern Alaska, by R. H. Sargent; scale, 1:125,000. The topography of this map is based on surveys made in 1928 by the usual ground methods but is incorporated with drainage features of the Hyder-Ketchikan map, which was compiled from aerial photographs. To be issued as a preliminary photolithographic edition.

Progress was also made in the preparation of a topographic map of the Mount Spurr region, scale, 1:250,000, compiled from surveys in the Skwentna, Mount Spurr, Chakachamna-Stony, and Lake Clark districts in recent years.

In addition to these detailed maps the base map of Alaska on a scale of 1:5,000,000 was revised and brought up to date for publica-

tion as an index map to show the progress of topographic mapping in the territory. This contains a list of selected publications of the Geological Survey that describe the mineral deposits of Alaska and the features of its major geographic divisions. Considerable work has also been done toward the revision of the map of Alaska on a scale of 1:2,500,000, with a view to the publication of a new edition as a sale map.

Several other maps are in preparation, but so little progress has been made in putting them into shape for publication that they are not listed here.

Besides the official reports, several articles were prepared by the scientific and technical members of the Alaskan branch for publication in outside journals, and ten or more public lectures were given regarding the general work of the branch or some of its special features. Most of these were prepared unofficially but represent by-products of the regular work and serve to reach special audiences not readily reached by the official publications. Among these articles may be mentioned the following:

Gold Reserves of Alaska, by Philip S. Smith, for presentation at the International Geological Congress in South Africa, 1929.

Geology and Geography of Alaska, by Philip S. Smith, for publication in "Geology of North America," included in "Geologie der Erde."

The pre-Cambrian of Interior Alaska, by J. B. Mertie, jr. Delivered before the Geological Society of Washington.

Notes on Geographic Features of Alaska, by R. H. Sargent, delivered at the meeting of the Association of American Geographers in New York.

Field Work of the Geological Survey in 1928, by F. H. Moffit, for publication in Alaska newspapers.

PROJECTS IN PROGRESS DURING THE SEASON OF 1928

Some of the results that the Geological Survey has accomplished in its Alaska work may be expressed in terms of the area covered. The following tabular statement indicates the areas covered by the surveys of different types as well as the percentage of the total area of Alaska that has been covered by all types of surveys. The areas reported in this table are based on the field season and not on the fiscal year. It is for this reason that in this tabulation no account is taken of the work that was started during the field season of 1929 but remained uncompleted at the end of the fiscal year 1928-29. This procedure has been adopted in part because at the end of the fiscal year most of the parties at work during the field season of 1929 were out of communication and so could not report the extent of the work they had accomplished, but in part it has been adopted because the field season is a more practicable unit of measurement.

Areas surveyed by Geological Survey in Alaska, 1898-1928, in square miles

Field season	Geologic surveys			Topographic surveys		
	Exploratory (scale 1:500,000, or smaller)	Reconnaissance (scale 1:250,000)	Detailed (scale 1:62,500 or larger)	Exploratory (scale 1:500,000, or smaller)	Reconnaissance (scale 1:250,000)	Detailed (scale 1:62,500 or larger)
1898-1927	75,150	160,305	4,277	55,630	204,565	4,066
1928		5,450			^a 3,965	
	75,150	^b 174,305	4,277	55,630	208,530	4,066
Percentage surveyed of total area of Alaska	43.3			45.8		

^a Includes 2,000 square miles mapped by National Geographic Society Pavlof Expedition on Geological Survey standards.

^b 450 square miles surveyed prior to 1928 deducted because of resurvey during 1928.

In the table given above only the net areas surveyed are listed in the appropriate column under geologic surveys or topographic surveys, though of course most of the areas that have been surveyed geologically have also been surveyed topographically. It is by no means unusual that areas surveyed hastily are resurveyed with more precision, and if the areas thus revised were not excluded from the totals the same areas would be counted twice. It is for this reason that an area of 450 square miles which was reexamined geologically in 1928 has been deducted from the total in the column of reconnaissance geologic surveys. The necessity for resurveying some areas in more detail is generally not due to faulty execution of the earlier surveys but to the need of covering a large tract rapidly at first. Then as development takes place in certain parts of that large tract more accurate and detailed work may be required to furnish the desirable information. To cover the entire tract with that same degree of care would unduly delay the work and cost far more than would be warranted. Therefore the resurvey of certain tracts here and there as required is really the most economical and logical procedure. Even in those tracts where more detailed work is known to be needed, it is usually best to make first a relatively rapid, inexpensive survey so as to supply immediate needs and then to follow this up with the necessarily slower, more expensive detailed surveys. This policy is well illustrated by the procedure adopted in surveying the Seward Peninsula placer camps. Within two or three months after the return of the Federal geologist from this camp during the height of the first stampede to Nome a rough exploratory map and report on the environs of Nome were published by the Geological Survey. During the next field season reconnaissance surveys were made of the entire region within 100 miles of Nome, and these

in turn were later succeeded by detailed mapping and reports on smaller tracts in the vicinity of the richest camps.

The scale most commonly adopted for Alaska surveys, either geologic or topographic, has been called the reconnaissance scale and is 1:250,000, or about 4 miles (250,000 inches) on the ground represented by 1 inch of paper on the map, with a contour interval of 200 feet. This scale has been chosen because all the larger features of the country can be represented by it, so that it is adequate for most general purposes and at the same time can be made expeditiously and cheaply. It is obvious, however, that so small a scale can not effectively show detailed features of topography or geology, and yet many of these are of prime importance in their relations to the mineral resources of the region. Therefore, although more than two-fifths of the Territory has been mapped on reconnaissance or exploratory standards, there is a constant demand for more detailed work, and this demand will become more and more insistent as the Territory develops. But even for the reconnaissance type of mapping there still remains about 200,000 square miles of country holding promise of containing mineral deposits of value that has not been surveyed. The present rate at which the work is being carried on is entirely inadequate to meet even the most general needs. At this rate it will be many decades before even the reconnaissance mapping of the prospective mineral areas can be completed, and the requisite detailed mapping of the most promising tracts must be postponed far into the future or must supplant the equally pressing reconnaissance work unless more funds are available with which to speed up the work.

The parts of Alaska in which the surveys in 1928 tabulated above were conducted by the Geological Survey were the Ketchikan and Juneau districts of southeastern Alaska, the Chitina-Nizina district of the Copper River region, the vicinity of Mount Spurr in the Alaska Range, and a tract lying north of the Tanana River and west of the international boundary.

The work in the Ketchikan district consisted of reconnaissance topographic mapping conducted by R. H. Sargent. The principal object of this work was to furnish a complete topographic map of this district, which is economically important. Ores of various metals have long been known to occur in this region, and some of them have been worked more or less successfully. The easy accessibility of most parts of the region to ocean transportation holds promise of making many of the costs of development low, and the general geologic conditions are such as to encourage search for deposits of commercial extent. Although the principal object of this topographic mapping was to furnish a base which would serve for the

mineral investigations, it has met a very immediate need of those concerned with the development of the timber, pulp, and water-power resources of this region. This project is of special technical interest because the topographer made use of the first of the drainage maps compiled from the aerial photographs taken for the Geological Survey by an expedition sent by the Navy Department to the region in 1926. The use of these aerial pictures proved highly advantageous in facilitating the work of the topographer in this region of high relief and almost impenetrable timber cover. As the photographs taken by the naval expedition cover nearly 10,000 square miles of southeastern Alaska, this project is the forerunner of similar surveys that will be carried on in this region as rapidly as funds and personnel permit. A word here regarding the utilization of these airplane views in making complete topographic surveys of this region may not be out of place, as many people do not realize the multiplicity of steps that must intervene between the compilation of the drainage map from the airplane pictures and the production of the finished topographic map. The drainage map shows no relief, so the topographer must make many stations in the field throughout the area covered by it, and by means of triangulation with his instruments determine the actual height of a great number of points. He must then sketch the contour lines which pass through points of similar elevation, so that the form of every part of the mapped area can be indicated graphically. In the course of this field work it often becomes apparent that distortion of the pictures through lack of horizontality of the airplane from which they were taken has introduced errors in compilation that must be corrected before the base map is in satisfactory adjustment. This may require revising the original compilation so as to fit the points as determined by the ground surveys. Then the corrected field map must be carefully penciled in detail and later inked so that it will be suitable for preservation and for yielding sharp photographic copy. It is then ready to undergo the various processes of reproduction, which for most Geological Survey maps involve being engraved on copper or reproduced on stone or metal for photolithographic reproduction. As the topographic map of part of the Ketchikan district was the first of its kind to be made of a tract of Alaska topography, it has presented many technical problems that required special consideration. As a result it has raised many questions as to the best method of procedure and has been carefully studied at all stages to determine its relative expense as compared with other methods of doing the work. Obviously, if it had not been for the hearty cooperation of the Navy Department and the excellent results achieved by its person-

nel to whom was intrusted the original making of the pictures, this method would have been entirely impracticable.

The surveys in the Juneau region of southeastern Alaska were performed by R. K. Lynt, a topographer of the Geological Survey, who was temporarily assigned to duty with a party of the Forest Service. The cost of this work was borne entirely by the Forest Service through a transfer of funds to the Geological Survey, and the resulting map was turned over to the Forest Service. It has not been included in the table of areas surveyed nor in the table of expenditures. This work was especially desired by the Forest Service in connection with its activities in developing the paper-pulp industry in southeastern Alaska, and although the Geological Survey would doubtless have mapped that area eventually in the course of its regular mineral investigations, the immediate needs of the Forest Service were so urgent that that organization bore the entire cost. The work covered a small tract on the west coast of Admiralty Island and was done with the accuracy required for publication on a scale of 1:62,500—the detailed scale adopted by the Geological Survey. The tract is exceedingly difficult to survey, and the map covered an area of only a few score square miles.

The work in the Nizina district of the Copper River region consisted principally of the revision of earlier geologic surveys and the critical study of some of the places where different interpretations that have been advanced could be tested. This work was done by F. H. Moffit, who, with a small pack train and camp assistant, traversed much of the known copper-bearing region north of the Chitina River and greatly refined the broad determinations of the geology resulting from the earlier more hurried expeditions. The much more precise knowledge of the stratigraphy and geologic history thus obtained is essential in directing the search for valuable deposits in this important mineralized region. In addition to these areal studies, Mr. Moffit spent some time at the large copper mines near Kennecott and at the placer gold camps on Dan and Chititu Creeks and visited all the places in the district where prospecting has recently been in progress, so far as time permitted, to obtain information regarding current conditions.

In the vicinity of Mount Spurr, in the Alaska Range west of Anchorage, a combined geologic and topographic party in charge of S. R. Capps, geologist, with Gerald FitzGerald as topographer, carried on extensive surveys by means of a pack-train expedition. The geologist, topographer, and recorder were carried by airplane to the point where the new surveys were to be started. This afforded a good example of the effectiveness of airplane transportation, for the trip from Anchorage to the initial point consumed only 80

minutes, whereas the pack train that was sent overland from the west shore of Cook Inlet took more than 20 days to make the trip. In a region like that of the Alaska Range, where the season is limited to less than 100 days, this great saving in time is evidently of almost paramount importance. But even if this greatly increased length of working season is not considered justification enough of itself, the saving in food and salaries almost, if not quite, makes this means of transportation cheaper than tramping on foot across the country. The geologic and geographic results of this work are of great interest and significance. The party traversed with pack train a pass across the Alaska Range leading on the west side to streams flowing into the Stony River, one of the large southern tributaries of the Kuskokwim River, and mapped a tract of 1,000 square miles that has hitherto remained a blank on all authoritative maps of the Territory. This route, however, did not prove to be a practicable one for common use in traversing the range. Some indications of mineralization were seen, but there were almost no signs of prospectors or even hunters in most of the area.

In the vicinity of the international boundary north of the Tanana River and extending more than 100 miles to the west is a triangular tract that lies south of the gold placer camps of the Fortymile district. A reconnaissance topographic map of this tract had been made some years ago, but until the season of 1928 it had not been possible to map the area geologically. In that year J. B. Mertie, jr., with a small pack train and two camp assistants, left Eagle to carry on reconnaissance geologic surveys. A serious injury to one of the camp assistants before reaching the field necessitated the return of the party to Eagle to get medical attention. The loss of the assistant still further hampered the party, which even before the accident had been undermanned, but in spite of this Mr. Mertie pushed ahead with only one camp hand, and was successful in mapping the major geologic features of an area of nearly 4,000 square miles. The geologic features observed seem to indicate that at a number of places the conditions are favorable for the occurrence of gold mineralization and that where concentration has been effective placer deposits may be sought with considerable assurance of success.

The only other field work that was done during the season of 1928 by a member of the staff having headquarters in Washington was the customary broad survey of recent developments in the mining industry as a whole, with special visits to some of the more active mining camps or those that have not been recently visited by members of the Geological Survey. This work was done by the chief Alaskan geologist. In the season of 1928 it was possible for him to visit several of the lode camps in the Ketchikan district, in addition to spend-

ing several days with Mr. Sargent's party that was engaged in topographic mapping; to visit Juneau and to spend a few days with Mr. Stewart at the Juneau office and confer with members of the Forest Service regarding future work; to visit the large copper mines in the Kennecott district and to spend a couple of weeks with Mr. Moffit's party in the areal geologic work both east and west of Kennecott; to go over the Richardson Trail to Fairbanks, stopping for a short time at the Tenderfoot placer camp; to visit all the larger operations in the Fairbanks district, including Nome Creek; to visit most of the mining operations in the vicinity of Hot Springs, including those on Woodchopper, Tofty, and American Creeks; to go to Tanana and thence up the Yukon, stopping on the way at Rampart and joining Mr. Mertie's party for a 10-day trip to study the geology of the country adjacent to the boundary, especially in the vicinity of the Tatonduk River; thence to go to Circle and across the newly opened automobile road to Fairbanks, and thence by the Alaska Railroad to Seward, from which he returned to the States. A general familiarity with the mining industry, such as may be gained by a rapid survey of this type, is regarded as essential in keeping track of recent developments and in laying out plans for future work so that they will fit the needs of the mining industry.

The Geological Survey maintains in Alaska two district offices, one at Juneau and one at Anchorage. The main duties of the personnel attached to these offices relate to mineral leasing, but a part of their service relates to general investigations of mineral resources. Up to July 1, 1928, both kinds of work were conducted under a single appropriation, but on that date the two were separated, and although no change in the actual handling of the work was involved, the accounting was changed. Under this arrangement approximately two-fifths of the time of B. D. Stewart, who is in direct charge of the local offices, was allotted to general investigations of mineral resources, including, besides office duties, visits to different parts of the Territory as conditions warrant. Mr. Stewart's long familiarity with mining matters throughout the Territory and his availability for consultation at Juneau have made his advice much sought by many of the Federal and Territorial agencies in Alaska, including the Alaska Railroad, the Forest Service, the governor, and members of the Territorial legislature, as well as by many of the individual operators and prospectors. The Alaska offices also act as local distributing points for publications of the Geological Survey and assist in furnishing the main office at Washington with information on many phases of the mineral industry in the Territory. The suitable coordination of the mineral investigation work done from the Alaskan offices with that done from the Washington office is still in process

of being worked out, but the aim is to make such an adjustment that the combination will be able to give better and greater service to the mining industry with less expense.

A field project that really does not properly belong to the work of this branch, as it was financed by a non-Federal organization and was performed by members of the Geological Survey, who belong to other branches, was the National Geographic Society's Pavlof Expedition to the Alaska Peninsula, which was in charge of T. A. Jaggard, jr., volcanologist, with C. P. McKinley as topographer. Through the courtesy of the National Geographic Society the excellent topographic field charts which resulted from this survey were made available without expense to the Geological Survey, which has issued the resulting map in a preliminary edition that is in every respect comparable to the standards used for its own maps. This map has been listed among the maps issued by the Geological Survey during the year, and the area covered by it has been included in the table on page 79. This adds one more to the already long list of notable contributions which the National Geographic Society has made to Alaskan exploration.

In addition to these distinctly field projects the Alaskan branch each year compiles and issues statements regarding the production of all the different mineral commodities that are mined in the Territory. This work is mainly carried on from the Washington office, but the wide acquaintance of the field men and their surveys in different parts of the Territory each year make them a source of much definite authoritative information. These annual production reports are conducted on the basis of the calendar year, but the work of assembling the data and canvassing the different producers goes on practically without interruption. For example, the task of accumulating the facts regarding the production of minerals for 1927 commenced the 1st of January of that year and was not completed until June, 1928, when the report was finally transmitted for publication. Necessarily during the period from January to June, 1928, data relating to the mineral production of two separate calendar years were being collected coincidentally. The statistical data are compiled principally by Miss L. H. Stone, and the material is coordinated and the resulting report prepared by the chief Alaskan geologist.

Each of the field projects involves considerable office work in examining and testing the specimens collected, preparing the illustrations and maps, and writing the reports. In addition to work of this sort on the field projects enumerated above, there was considerable office work required on field projects of earlier years. Some of the work represents only the normal routine of seeing a report

through the press, such as proof reading the text and illustrations, but some represents the completion of work that for some reason or other was not finished during the year in which the field surveys were made. Some progress was also made during the year toward the completion of certain of the reports listed on page 77 as still in the authors' hands. Of these the most active work was done on the Geographic Dictionary of Alaska, 3d edition, by James McCormick, and the report on the geology and mineral resources of the Chitina Valley and some adjacent areas, by F. H. Moffit.

In all the office work on the technical reports the members of the Alaskan branch have received much assistance and valuable advice from their associates in other branches of the Geological Survey. T. W. Stanton, G. H. Girty, J. B. Reeside, jr., Edwin Kirk, David White, and E. W. Berry, paleontologists, have examined and reported on the fossils collected in the course of the field surveys. The map editors have been especially helpful in critically scrutinizing the Alaska maps that were in course of preparation to see that they conform so far as practicable to the best Geological Survey standards.

PROJECTS FOR THE SEASON OF 1929

The six projects that have been approved for the season of 1929 had been under way for only a short time at the end of the fiscal year 1928-29, and on most of them only a start had been made. Furthermore, almost all the parties were out of touch with ordinary means of communication, so that no specific details were available regarding the work actually accomplished. Under these conditions it has seemed desirable at this time to outline only the principal objects of these projects, which include topographic studies in connection with the airplane photographing expedition of the Navy Department in the northern part of southeastern Alaska; geologic reconnaissance surveys in the Alaska Range in the vicinity of Mentasta Pass and Chistochina, at the head of the Copper River Basin; a combined geologic and topographic reconnaissance survey of an unexplored tract of the Alaska Range northwest of Lake Clark, in southwestern Alaska; a geologic reconnaissance of the White and Crazy Mountains, in the north-central part of the tract between the Yukon and Tanana Rivers, central Alaska; investigations of mineral properties in the vicinity of the Alaska Railroad; and a general inspectional trip to obtain information regarding recent mining activities throughout the Territory and, so far as time permits, to visit some of the field parties.

The projected work in southeastern Alaska is essentially a part of the undertaking by the Navy Department to photograph from airplanes a large tract including Baranof and Chichagof Islands and

contiguous territory. This work is really a continuation of similar work done in 1926, when about 10,000 square miles of the southern part of southeastern Alaska was photographed and the resulting films were turned over to the Geological Survey for working up into drainage maps. The value of the pictures was at once apparent, and subsequently the Forest Service, feeling the urgent need of similar pictures for the northern part of this region, entered into an agreement whereby the work should be resumed by the Navy during the season of 1929. Under this agreement most of the extra expense of the photographic work is borne by the Forest Service, the Geological Survey contributing only \$2,000 and the services of R. H. Sargent, topographer, who serves as technical adviser, to see that the resulting films are suitable for cartographic use. It is expected that as a result of this work many thousand new films will be obtained and that with these, as well as those already in hand from the earlier expedition, it will be practicable to compile drainage maps of almost all the hitherto unmapped portions of southeastern Alaska. The task of taking off the cartographic data from these views is laborious, and the funds for this work at the disposal of the Geological Survey are so small that unless they are materially increased these valuable data can not be worked up into maps in the near future. It is hoped, however, that maps of some of the tracts most urgently needed can be worked up at once. The former success of the Navy in this work and the whole-hearted enthusiasm with which its personnel have started in on the new project give every assurance of its successful completion. The resulting maps will be of service not only to the Geological Survey in its mineral investigations but to everyone having an interest in the development of any of the natural resources of this region. The topography of southeastern Alaska gives a very severe test of the application of methods of photographic surveying, because the relief is so strong that distortion of scale is especially great. Furthermore, the atmospheric conditions are bad, with a great amount of clouds and rain and the intricate interspersal of land and water areas. Aerial photographic methods, however, have many advantages over ground methods in this region, because of the difficulty of traversing on foot the high ridges, precipitous ledges, and almost impenetrable jungle of forested and brush-clad slopes.

The geologic reconnaissance in the Alaska Range, at the head of the Copper River, is being conducted by F. H. Moffit, accompanied by a small pack train and two camp assistants. The country adjacent to Mentasta Pass has long been known to be a mineralized area, which has afforded evidence of the presence of gold and lead and some indications of the presence of copper. Development work is

in progress in this district on prospects of lode gold and lead. Productive gold placers have long been worked in the Slate Creek district, which lies along the western margin of the area to be surveyed. The region as a whole lies across the axis of the Alaska Range, and the surveys are expected to yield information as to the relation of the metamorphic rocks on the north side of the range to the Paleozoic and Mesozoic sediments on the south. A part of the area was mapped both topographically and geologically by very hasty reconnaissance methods in 1902, but the results of the geologic investigations were never published. It is especially desirable now to revise and extend that mapping in the light of the present knowledge of the stratigraphy and the renewed interest that is being taken in the mineral deposits in this general region.

The combined geologic and topographic surveys to be made in the Alaska Range region north and west of Lake Clark are in charge of S. R. Capps, geologist, with Gerald FitzGerald, topographer. These surveys started from the previously surveyed region adjacent to Lake Clark and will extend northward as far as time and other conditions permit, possibly tying in with the surveys made during the field season of 1928 in the valley of the Stony River, a tributary of the Kuskokwim. The party, consisting of its technical members and camp assistants, with a pack train and the necessary supplies for the season, was landed at Iliamna Bay early in June and proceeded at once overland to the point where the new work was to be started. The surveys should fill in some of the gap that now exists between the work that has been done in the northern and central part of the Alaska Range and that done in the south. The region holds promise of containing deposits of valuable minerals, but it is practically unexplored, and this possibility can be stated in advance of survey only as a surmise. In fact, the very absence of authoritative information regarding it makes its exploration by the Geological Survey especially desirable at this time.

The work in the Yukon-Tanana region will be principally a geologic reconnaissance and revision of the older mapping of parts of the country adjacent to the White Mountains and the extension of the surveys northward to the Yukon Flats and eastward to the Crazy Mountains. The work is in charge of J. B. Mertie, jr., accompanied by a pack train and two camp assistants, who went into the country by way of Fairbanks. In the course of the work the party will have the opportunity of visiting some of the old placer camps, especially those near Circle, and will collect information regarding the progress of mining and prospecting in those places. This work is part of the general revision that Mr. Mertie has been carrying on for a number of years in the Fairbanks and Circle dis-

tricts. The results of this critical study, when completed, should be of much significance in determining the general geologic history of the region and in throwing light on the conditions under which the mineralization was effected and consequently giving clues to the places where further prospecting is most likely to be successful.

Early in 1929 Col. O. F. Ohlson, in charge of the Alaska Railroad, broached the question of organizing a geologic staff as part of the railroad personnel, to assist the railroad in its search for tonnage and in solving problems that arose in its work that required this special type of information. The Geological Survey agreed to make available to the railroad the services of an engineer or geologist for about four months a year and to meet so far as possible any requests for areal work that might be submitted by the railroad officials. This arrangement was started in the season of 1929, and at the end of the fiscal year the results were not yet sufficient to afford adequate measure of its success. It is proposed that in the main the needs of the railroad for an engineer or geologist shall be taken care of by members of the staff attached to the local offices at Anchorage and Juneau. If, however, this arrangement does not work out as planned, additional assistance will be given by the chief Alaskan geologist or such other members of the field force as are in the general neighborhood of the railroad. The successful operation of the railroad as a means of developing Alaska is of most vital concern to the entire mining industry, and the Geological Survey in its relation to that industry feels keenly concerned with making this cooperation effective, though it may take a little time to work out the most practicable way by which this assistance can be contributed.

The general work on mineral resources performed by B. D. Stewart from the Alaska offices, in addition to that called for by the arrangement with the Alaska Railroad, will be closely comparable with that done by him in the season of 1928. It will consist in such general field studies as time and other conditions permit, the maintenance of office records, the answering of inquiries, and the holding of such conferences as may be required. These duties are so varied and diverse that no estimate of the proportion of time required for the different items can be made in advance.

The only other field work that the Geological Survey proposes to do in Alaska in 1929 is the customary broad survey of recent developments in the mining industry as a whole, with special visits to some of the more active camps and to some of those that have not been visited recently by members of the Geological Survey. In the course of this work it is proposed to visit such of the field parties as can be reached without too much delay, and to visit each of the local offices so as to be in close personal touch with the problems

they are meeting. This work will be done by the chief Alaskan geologist, who will reach Alaska the later part of July and spend the rest of the season on the project.

Although not to be regarded as field projects, the general office duties attendant on the annual statistical canvass, the answering of hundreds of inquiries from all sources, the advancing of the preparation of such old reports as are awaiting further attention, the revision of pending reports, and the necessary proof reading of those in course of publication will call for no small share of the time of the members of the Alaskan branch, both technical and clerical, so that they might well be counted in as part of the projects facing the branch during the season of 1929.

EXPENDITURES

The funds used for the work of the Geological Survey on Alaska's mineral resources during the field season of 1928 were made available through the Interior Department appropriation acts for 1927-28 and 1928-29. The amount appropriated by the act of 1927-28 was \$60,000; for 1928-29 it was \$64,500, to which was later added through the deficiency act \$3,000 to take care of salary adjustments brought about through the Welch Act. During the field season of 1929 the funds used were made available through the Interior Department appropriation act for 1928-29 and the supplementary deficiency act, already noted, and the act for 1929-30, which appropriated \$67,500. From the foregoing it is evident that for a large part of the time two appropriations were running concurrently. All the expenditures from these different items have, of course, been properly accounted for under the usual system of bookkeeping, but the analysis from that standpoint, as has already been pointed out, gives only an imperfect picture of the real conduct of the work. An attempt here has been made to summarize the expenditures and group them under a number of major heads, so as to show the principal objects for which the funds appropriated during the fiscal year 1928-29 were expended.

Expenditures from funds appropriated for investigation of mineral resources of Alaska for the fiscal year 1928-29

Projects for the season of 1928-----	\$13,566
Projects for the season of 1929-----	11,350
Administrative salaries, July 1, 1928, to June 30, 1929-----	3,150
All other technical and professional salaries, July 1, 1928, to June 30, 1929-----	28,167
All other clerical and drafting salaries, July 1, 1928, to July 30, 1929--	7,667
Office maintenance and expenses-----	3,251
Balance-----	349
	67,500

In the first two items in the foregoing statement no charges are included for the salaries of any of the permanent employees of the branch, as all these are carried in the three following items. Proper proportional charges for these services, as well as for the expenditures listed as office maintenance and expenses, might well have been made in these first two items, for practically every expenditure made by the branch relates more or less directly to these projects. Thus the administrative officers are concerned primarily with the successful accomplishment of these projects, the scientific and technical personnel is maintained solely to carry out these projects, the clerical and drafting force is required to help in preparing the reports and maps and in attending to the innumerable details connected with the task of properly conducting the projects, and all the office supplies and other equipment purchased are really incidental to the task of carrying through the projects.

The expenditures for the projects of 1928 amounted to \$13,566, which includes \$7,938 for geologic and general investigations and \$5,628 for topographic work. These figures are based on the assumption that in combined geologic and topographic parties the expenses are divided equally between the two types of work. A similar analysis of the expenditures for the season of 1929 shows that expenditures from funds for the fiscal year 1928-29 amounted to \$11,350, of which \$6,675 was for geologic work and \$4,675 for topographic work. Of the \$24,916 allotted to field projects for both seasons from the appropriation, \$14,613, or about 58 per cent, was allotted to geologic or related general work and \$10,303, or 42 per cent, to topographic work.

The item for administrative salaries in the foregoing table includes only those salaries that are directly related to general administration and does not include charges for administration such as each party chief is called on to perform with regard to the party in his charge, though that work requires considerable time and much administrative skill to discharge properly. During the fiscal year 1928-29 the chief Alaskan geologist was in the field until early in October and was on leave from April 3 throughout the rest of that year. During his absence the general administration of the branch was carried on by S. R. Capps until he left in May to undertake field work in the Alaska Range region. During part of May and all of June Miss L. M. Graves served for the chief of the branch. Part of Mr. Stewart's salary has been included in this item, as the local administration of the Alaska offices is in his charge. The low cost of administration is due principally to the fact that the administrative officers are engaged also in technical projects, which therefore bear their proportional charge of their salaries. This makes for

low cost of administration but lessens the amount of time available for real directive handling of many of the affairs of the branch and would not be at all practicable except with a branch whose personnel has long been familiar with the work to be done and is well qualified to solve for itself many of the problems that arise.

The item for clerical and drafting salaries for the Washington office covers part of the salary of the chief clerk, a junior clerk, and a draftsman, and the services for a little more than three months of a stenographer. In addition the item includes part of the salary of a clerk in the Anchorage office. Approximately three-fourths of the time of the junior clerk in the Washington office is directed to the canvass and compilation of data regarding the production of minerals in the Territory and the necessary office work related thereto, which is practically a technical project. The draftsman is engaged in all kinds of map preparation, especially in the finer kinds of work required where photolithographic methods are to be used for reproducing the original copy. The present clerical and drafting personnel is entirely too small to handle the volume of business that passes through the office. As a result many things conducive to the proper conduct of the work are unduly rushed or laid aside, thus crippling the work. This condition is the result of curtailments in appropriations, which have been met by curtailments in the clerical force, so as to make as much money as possible available for the field projects. This procedure is having an injurious effect on the work as a whole and can not much longer be continued.

The item for office maintenance and expenses includes all the miscellaneous expenses incident to the general conduct of the work that are not directly part of a definite project. It includes purchase and repair of all the technical instruments used and the photographic and related work required in the course of the compilation and preparation of the maps. Other expenditures that fall under this item are telegrams, stationery, technical books, services rendered by other units of the Geological Survey, such as making thin sections of rocks and minerals needed in microscopic examinations, editorial inspection of maps and other cartographic data submitted for publication, and shipment of material not for use in designated projects. By far the heaviest charges entering into this item during the fiscal year 1928-29 were those for new instruments. These amounted to \$1,742 and covered principally a transit and its equipment, a telescopic alidade to replace instruments that have been long in service and are now worn out, a photographic printer especially designed for airplane films, and a special stereoscopic apparatus necessary for handling the airplane views used for map compilation. The amount spent for new

instruments is unusually large and represents in fact a replacement that will not be necessary again for some years, unless the scope of the work is expanded. The next largest item of expense under this heading covers photographic and related work, which amounted to about \$800. Nearly 40 per cent of this total was for the cost of preparing a preliminary edition of the Pavlof map, which was made available to the Geological Survey by the National Geographic Society, and 15 per cent was for work on the drainage map prepared from airplane pictures of part of southeastern Alaska. The cost of all other supplies and equipment for the branch was considerably less than 1 per cent of the total appropriation. This proportion is rather less than can be consistently maintained.

In the following tables the cost of the work, including field expenses and the salaries paid from different appropriations, by geographic regions or by classes of work, has been set down. In these tables the cost of the salaries charged against each project is only approximately accurate, for the whole time of a geologist or topographer assigned to a project is charged against that project, whereas much of his time at the office is required for miscellaneous duties. The columns of salaries, except as specifically noted, do not include administrative salaries or clerical salaries, and the columns of expenses do not include items charged to office maintenance or expense. For these reasons, as well as because two different appropriation years are tabulated together, the total given in the last column does not equal, even approximately, the total given in the table on page 90 for a single fiscal year.

Approximate cost and distribution of work by geographic divisions for the season of 1928

Region or work	Appropriation for 1927-28		Appropriation for 1928-29		Total
	Expenses	Salaries	Expenses	Salaries	
Southeastern Alaska ^a	\$1,900	\$765	\$3,278	\$3,333	\$9,276
Copper River region.....	660	765	2,408	4,167	8,000
Alaska Range.....	2,600	1,445	4,700	7,200	15,945
Yukon-Tanana region.....	2,400	700	2,089	4,000	9,189
General investigations.....			986	3,533	4,519
Statistical studies.....				^b 2,200	2,200
Alaska offices.....			105	^c 2,563	2,668
	7,560	3,675	13,566	26,996	51,797

^a Does not include \$1,925 transferred to Geological Survey from Forest Service for detailed topographic mapping.

^b Includes \$1,515 for clerical salaries.

^c Includes \$1,000 for administrative salary and \$480 for clerical salary.

Approximate cost and distribution of work by geographic divisions for the season of 1929

Region or work	Appropriation for 1928-29		Appropriation for 1929-30		Total
	Expenses	Salaries	Expenses	Salaries	
Southeastern Alaska.....	^a \$2,350	\$833	\$600	\$4,167	\$7,950
Copper River region.....	1,800	833	3,000	4,167	9,800
Alaska Range.....	4,650	1,533	3,585	7,670	17,438
Yukon-Tanana region.....	2,550	800	3,150	4,000	10,500
General investigations.....			1,000	2,400	3,400
Statistical studies.....				^b 2,272	2,272
Alaska offices.....			1,837	^c 2,563	4,400
	11,350	3,999	13,172	27,239	55,760

^a Includes \$2,000 transferred to Navy Department for aerial photography.

^b Includes \$1,215 for clerical services.

^c Includes \$1,000 for administrative salary and \$480 for clerical salary.

LEASING WORK

Part of the activities of the Alaskan branch are related to the proper conduct of mining work on the public mineral lands that have been leased to private individuals or corporations under certain laws. Funds for this work throughout the United States are provided in a general item contained in the Interior Department appropriation act, and the amount that is allotted for the different districts, including Alaska, is determined by the relative needs of each. For the fiscal year 1928-29 the allotment for Alaska leasing work was \$10,000. This was nominally somewhat less than heretofore but in reality was exactly the equivalent of the \$14,500 allotted during the preceding year, because, as explained on an earlier page, certain work that was paid for out of the allotment for leasing work in 1927-28 was in 1928-29 carried in the appropriation for the work on mineral resources.

In order that the policies and practices that have been developed by the leasing unit of the conservation branch of the Geological Survey for handling the much larger volume of similar work in the States should be maintained in Alaska and at the same time the specialized knowledge of Alaskan affairs possessed by the Alaskan branch should be utilized, the general conduct of the leasing work in Alaska is in a measure shared between the two branches, the office work in Washington being done principally by the conservation branch and the field work by the Alaskan branch. The field work is done by the same engineers as the mineral resources work that is assigned to the Alaska local offices, under the immediate charge of B. D. Stewart, supervising engineer, who has headquarters at Juneau, and J. J. Corey, coal-mining engineer, at Anchorage. The use of the same personnel and facilities for both the leasing work and the work on mineral resources makes it extremely difficult and at times

uncertain to distinguish accurately between the two. Except from an accountant's point of view, however, the distinction is really of little importance. The point of real importance is that by this close cooperation or consolidation of interests duplication of activities is avoided, costs are lowered, and the technical facilities are focused on the main problem, which is the development of the Territory's mineral resources. At present about three-fifths of Mr. Stewart's time, all of Mr. Corey's time, and two-thirds of the time of the clerk is considered to be devoted to the leasing work. The charges for the maintenance of the local office are shared between the leasing and mineral-resources work on ratios of about 2 to 1. In the fiscal year 1928-29 the allotment for field expenses was approximately \$1,400, an amount that is inordinately low and that proved adequate only because the Alaska Railroad has extended to the limit its services in facilitating the movement of the engineers.

The primary purpose of the leasing work is to supervise the operations under the coal and oil leases or permits that have been granted by the Government and to advise and consult with the proper authorities, both Federal officers and private applicants, regarding lands that may be under consideration for lease or permit. Practically all the coal mining and much of the oil prospecting in Alaska is done on public lands by private individuals or companies under leases or permits issued by the Secretary of the Interior. The interest of the Government in these lands requires not only that these grants shall be a source of revenue to the Nation but that proper methods of extracting the minerals shall be employed, thus preventing waste or damage to the property, and that the lives, health, and welfare of those engaged in the work shall be properly safeguarded. Practically all the producing coal mines that have been opened in the Territory are in the region adjacent to the Alaska Railroad. The Government has therefore an especial interest in their successful operation. For this reason the Federal engineers have given intensive study to the problems confronting these mines and have been especially active in supervising their operations, not only to see that the terms of the leases are observed but also to be of as much assistance as possible to the small operators who are opening them, by giving them competent technical advice and aiding them in making their ventures successful. Among the points to which special attention has been given are the installation and maintenance of safe and efficient tramming and hoisting equipment, the adequate ventilation of the mines, the reduction of explosion and blasting hazards, and the providing of adequate pillars in advance of all mining operations. This service is appreciated by the operators, and the relations between them and the engineers are extremely cordial and friendly,

with no hint of the antagonism that sometimes exists between inspector and inspected.

At the present time drilling for oil is being done under Government permit at only one point in Alaska, and consequently little of the time of the engineers is spent in the supervision of oil developments. There are, however, many tracts of public lands in Alaska that appear to hold promise of containing oil, and hundreds of prospecting permits for oil have been issued by the Government throughout the length and breadth of the Territory. If the staff were larger it would be good practice for the engineers to check up on these permits occasionally by field visits. Under present conditions it is necessary to rely mostly on local unofficial reports, especially as these indicate no active oil prospecting in progress. In this connection it should be pointed out that the number of engineers needed to look after the Government's mineral lands in Alaska is not comparable with the number required in certain of the States. Neither is the need to be measured by the revenues received by the Government, nor by the number of leases or permits outstanding. In Alaska the open season is so short, the distances so great, and the means of transportation so slow and infrequent that either a proportionately much larger force must be maintained or supervision in the more remote parts must be reduced merely to a gesture.

SELECTED LIST OF GEOLOGICAL SURVEY PUBLICATIONS ON ALASKA

[Arranged geographically]

All these publications can be obtained or consulted in the following ways:

1. A certain number of copies are delivered to the Director of the Geological Survey, from whom they can be obtained for a limited period free of charge (except certain maps) on application.

2. Other copies are deposited with the Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D. C., from whom they can be had at the price indicated. No copies are available of those marked with an asterisk (*); they may be consulted at many public libraries.

3. Copies of all Government publications are furnished to the principal public libraries throughout the United States, where they can be consulted by those interested.

The maps whose price is stated are sold by the Geological Survey and not by the Superintendent of Documents. On an order for maps amounting to \$5 or more at the retail price a discount of 40 per cent is allowed.

GENERAL

REPORTS

* The geography and geology of Alaska, by A. H. Brooks. Professional Paper 45, 1906, 327 pp.

The Alaskan mining industry in 1928, by Philip S. Smith. In Bulletin 813, 1930. Free on application. The preceding volumes in this series are Bulletins 259, 1904, 15 cents; 284, 1905, 25 cents; 314, 1906, 30 cents; 345, 1907, 45 cents; 379, 1908, 50 cents; 442, 1909, 40 cents; 480, 1910, 40 cents; 520, 1911, 50 cents; 542, 1912, 25 cents; * 592, 1913 (592-A, 15 cents); 622, 1914, 30 cents; 642, 1915, 35 cents; 662, 1916, 75 cents; * 692, 1917 (692-A, 5 cents); * 712, 1918; * 714, 1919; 722, 1920, 25 cents; 739, 1921, 25 cents; 755, 1922, 40 cents; 773, 1923, 40 cents; 783, 1924, 40 cents; 792, 1925, 25 cents; 797, 1926, 80 cents; 810, 1917, free on application.

Railway routes from the Pacific seaboard to Fairbanks, Alaska, by A. H. Brooks. In Bulletin 520, 1912, pp. 45-88. 50 cents.

Geologic features of Alaskan metalliferous lodes, by A. H. Brooks. In Bulletin 480, 1911, pp. 43-93. 40 cents.

The mineral deposits of Alaska, by A. H. Brooks. Bulletin 592-A, 1914, pp. 18-44. 15 cents.

Alaska coal and its utilization, by A. H. Brooks. Bulletin 442-J, reprinted 1914, pp. 47-100. 10 cents.

The preparation and use of peat as a fuel, by C. A. Davis. In Bulletin 442, 1910, pp. 101-132. 40 cents.

* Methods and costs of gravel and placer mining in Alaska, by C. W. Purington. Bulletin 263, 1905, 273 pp.

* Geographic dictionary of Alaska, by Marcus Baker (second edition, prepared by James McCormick). Bulletin 299, 1906, 690 pp.

- Tin mining in Alaska, by H. M. Eakin. In Bulletin 622, 1915, pp. 81-94. 30 cents.
- Antimony deposits of Alaska, by A. H. Brooks. Bulletin 649, 1916, 67 pp. 15 cents.
- The use of the panoramic camera in topographic surveying, by J. W. Bagley. Bulletin 657, 1917, 88 pp. 25 cents.
- Mineral springs of Alaska, by G. A. Waring. Water-Supply Paper 418, 1917, 114 pp. 25 cents.
- The future of Alaska mining, by A. H. Brooks. Bulletin 714-A, pp. 5-57. 25 cents.
- Preliminary report on petroleum in Alaska by G. C. Martin. Bulletin 719, 1921, 83 pp. 50 cents.
- The Mesozoic stratigraphy of Alaska, by G. C. Martin. Bulletin 776, 1926, 493 pp. 75 cents.

In preparation

- The Upper Cretaceous flora of Alaska, by Arthur Hollick, with a description of the Upper Cretaceous plant-bearing beds, by G. C. Martin. Professional Paper 159.
- Tertiary flora of Alaska, by Arthur Hollick.
- Igneous geology of Alaska, by J. B. Mertie, jr.
- Mineral industry of Alaska in 1929, by Philip S. Smith.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

- Map of Alaska (A); scale, 1:5,000,000; 1927. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale.
- Map of Alaska (C); scale, 1:12,000,000; 1929. 1 cent retail or five for 3 cents wholesale.
- Map of Alaska, showing distribution of mineral deposits; scale, 1:5,000,000; 1925. 20 cents retail or 12 cents wholesale.
- Index map of Alaska, including list of publications; scale, 1:5,000,000; 1929. Free on application.
- Relief map of Alaska (D); scale, 1:2,500,000; 1923. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale.
- Map of Alaska (E); scale, 1:2,500,000; 1923. 25 cents retail or 15 cents wholesale.

SOUTHEASTERN ALASKA

REPORTS

- The Juneau gold belt, by A. C. Spencer, pp. 1-137, and A reconnaissance of Admiralty Island, by C. W. Wright, pp. 138-154. Bulletin 287, 1906, 161 pp. 75 cents.
- Reconnaissance on the Pacific coast from Yakutat to Alsek River, by Eliot Blackwelder. In Bulletin 314, 1907, pp. 82-88. 30 cents.
- The Ketchikan and Wrangell mining districts, by F. E. and C. W. Wright. Bulletin 347, 1908, 210 pp. 60 cents.
- The Yakutat Bay region, Alaska, by R. S. Tarr and B. S. Butler. Professional Paper 64, 1909, 183 pp. 50 cents.
- Occurrence of iron ore near Haines, by Adolph Knopf. In Bulletin 442, 1910, pp. 144-146. 40 cents.
- Geology of the Berners Bay region, by Adolph Knopf. Bulletin 446, 1911, 58 pp. 20 cents.

- The Eagle River region, southeastern Alaska, by Adolph Knopf. Bulletin 502, 1912, 61 pp. 25 cents.
- The Sitka mining district, by Adolph Knopf. Bulletin 504, 1912, 32 pp. 5 cents.
- The earthquakes at Yakutat Bay, in September, 1899, by R. S. Tarr and Lawrence Martin. Professional Paper 69, 1912, 135 pp. 60 cents.
- *A barite deposit near Wrangell, by E. F. Burchard. In Bulletin 592, 1914, pp. 109-117.
- Geology and ore deposits of Copper Mountain and Kasaan Peninsula, by C. W. Wright. Professional Paper 87, 1915, 110 pp. 40 cents.
- *The structure and stratigraphy of Gravina and Revillagigedo Islands, by Theodore Chapin. In Professional Paper 120, 1918, pp. 83-100.
- *Geology and mineral resources of the west coast of Chichagof Island, by R. M. Overbeck. In Bulletin 692, 1919, pp. 91-136.
- The Porcupine district, by H. M. Eakin. Bulletin 699, 1919, 29 pp. 20 cents.
- Notes on the Salmon-Unuk River region, by J. B. Mertie, jr. Bulletin 714-B, 1921, pp. 129-142. 10 cents.
- Marble resources of southeastern Alaska, by E. F. Burchard. Bulletin 682, 1920, 118 pp. 30 cents.
- Water-power investigations in southeastern Alaska, by G. H. Canfield. In Bulletin 722, 1922. 25 cents. Similar previous reports in Bulletins 642, 1916, 35 cents; 662, 1917, 75 cents; *692, 1919; *712, 1920; 714-B, 1921, 10 cents.
- Ore deposits of the Salmon River district, Portland Canal region, by L. G. Westgate. In Bulletin 722, 1922, pp. 117-140. 25 cents.
- Mineral deposits of the Wrangell district, by A. F. Buddington. In Bulletin 739, 1923, pp. 51-75. 25 cents.
- Mineral investigations in southeastern Alaska in 1924, by A. F. Buddington. In Bulletin 783, 1927, pp. 41-62. 40 cents. Similar report for 1923 in Bulletin 773, 1925, pp. 71-139. 40 cents.
- Aerial photographic surveys in southeastern Alaska, by F. H. Moffit and R. H. Sargent. In Bulletin 797, 1929, pp. 143-160. 80 cents.
- Geology of Hyder and vicinity with a reconnaissance of Chickamin River, southeastern Alaska, by A. F. Buddington. Bulletin 807, 1929, 124 pp. 35 cents.
- Geology and mineral deposits of southeastern Alaska, by A. F. Buddington and Theodore Chapin. Bulletin 800, 1929, 398 pp. 85 cents.

In preparation

- Geology and ore deposits of the Juneau district, by H. M. Eakin.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

- Juneau gold belt, Alaska; scale, 1:250,000; compiled. In Bulletin 287, 1906. 75 cents. Not issued separately.
- Juneau special (No. 581A); scale, 1:62,500; 1904, by W. J. Peters. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale.
- Berners Bay special (No. 581B); scale, 1:62,500; 1908, by R. B. Oliver. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also contained in Bulletin 446, 1911, 20 cents.
- Kasaan Peninsula, Prince of Wales Island (No. 540A); scale, 1:62,500; by D. C. Witherspoon, R. H. Sargent, and J. W. Bagley. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also contained in Professional Paper 87, 1915, 40 cents.

- Copper Mountain and vicinity, Prince of Wales Island (No. 540B); scale, 1:62,500; by R. H. Sargent. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also contained in Professional Paper 87, 1915, 40 cents.
- Eagle River region; scale 1:62,500; 1912, by J. W. Bagley, C. E. Giffin, and R. E. Johnson. In Bulletin 502, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Juneau and vicinity (No. 581D); scale, 1:24,000; 1918, by D. C. Witherspoon. 20 cents retail or 12 cents wholesale.
- Hyder and vicinity (No. 540C); scale, 1:62,500; 1927, by R. M. Wilson. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also published in Bulletin 807, 1929, 35 cents.
- Revillagigedo Island; scale, 1:125,000; by R. H. Sargent (preliminary edition). Free on application.

CONTROLLER BAY, PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND, AND COPPER RIVER REGIONS

REPORTS

- Geology of the central Copper River region, by W. C. Mendenhall. Professional Paper 41, 1905, 133 pp. 50 cents.
- Geology and mineral resources of Controller Bay region, by G. C. Martin. Bulletin 335, 1908, 141 pp. 70 cents.
- Mineral resources of the Kotsina-Chitina region, by F. H. Moffit and A. G. Maddren. Bulletin 374, 1909, 103 pp. 40 cents.
- Mineral resources of the Nabesna-White River district, by F. H. Moffit and Adolph Knopf, with a section on the Quaternary, by S. R. Capps. Bulletin 417, 1910, 64 pp. 25 cents.
- Reconnaissance of the geology and mineral resources of Prince William Sound, by U. S. Grant and D. F. Higgins. Bulletin 443, 1910, 89 pp. 45 cents.
- Geology and mineral resources of the Nizina district, by F. H. Moffit and S. R. Capps. Bulletin 448, 1911, 111 pp. 40 cents.
- Headwater regions of Gulkana and Susitna Rivers, with accounts of the Valdez Creek and Chistochina placer districts, by F. H. Moffit. Bulletin 498, 1912, 82 pp. 35 cents.
- Coastal glaciers of Prince William Sound and Kenai Peninsula, by U. S. Grant and D. F. Higgins. Bulletin 526, 1913, 75 pp. 30 cents.
- The McKinley Lake district, by Theodore Chapin. In Bulletin 542, 1913, pp. 78-80. 25 cents.
- Geology of the Hanagita-Bremner region, Alaska, by F. H. Moffit. Bulletin 576, 1914, 56 pp. 30 cents.
- * Mineral deposits of the Yakataga district, by A. G. Maddren. In Bulletin 592, 1914, pp. 119-153.
- * The Port Wells gold-lode district, by B. L. Johnson. In Bulletin 592, 1914, pp. 195-236.
- * Geology and mineral resources of Kenai Peninsula, by G. C. Martin, B. L. Johnson, and U. S. Grant. Bulletin 587, 1915, 243 pp.
- The gold and copper deposits of the Port Valdez district, by B. L. Johnson. In Bulletin 622, 1915, pp. 140-188. 30 cents.
- The Ellamar district, by S. R. Capps and B. L. Johnson. Bulletin 605, 1915, 125 pp. 25 cents.
- * A water-power reconnaissance in south-central Alaska, by C. E. Ellsworth and R. W. Davenport. Water-Supply Paper 372, 1915, 173 pp.
- Copper deposits of the Latouche and Knight Island districts, Prince William Sound, by B. L. Johnson. In Bulletin 662, 1917, pp. 193-220. 75 cents.

- The Nelchina-Susitna region, by Theodore Chapin. Bulletin 668, 1918, 67 pp. 25 cents.
- The upper Chitina Valley, by F. H. Moffit, with a description of the igneous rocks, by R. M. Overbeck. Bulletin 675, 1918, 82 pp. 25 cents.
- *Platinum-bearing auriferous gravels of Chistochina River, by Theodore Chapin. In Bulletin 692, 1919, pp. 137-141.
- *Mining on Prince William Sound, by B. L. Johnson. In Bulletin 692, 1919. Similar previous reports in Bulletins *592, 1914; 622, 1915, 30 cents; 642, 1916, 35 cents; 662, 1918, 75 cents.
- *Mineral resources of Jack Bay district and vicinity, by B. L. Johnson. In Bulletin 692, 1919, pp. 153-173.
- *Nickel deposits in the lower Copper River Valley, by R. M. Overbeck. In Bulletin 712, 1919, pp. 91-98.
- The Kotsina-Kuskulana district, by F. H. Moffit and J. B. Mertie, jr. Bulletin 745, 1923, 149 pp. 40 cents.
- The metalliferous deposits of Chitina Valley, by F. H. Moffit. In Bulletin 755, 1924, pp. 57-72. 40 cents.
- The occurrence of copper on Prince William Sound, by F. H. Moffit. In Bulletin 773, 1925, pp. 141-158. 40 cents.

In preparation

- Notes on the upper Nizina River, by F. H. Moffit. In Bulletin 813.
- Geology of the Chitina quadrangle, by F. H. Moffit.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

- Central Copper River region; scale, 1:250,000; by T. G. Gerdine. In Professional Paper 41, 1905, 50 cents. Not issued separately. Reprint in Bulletin 498, 1912, 35 cents.
- Headwater regions of Copper, Nabesna, and Chisana Rivers; scale 1:250,000; by D. C. Witherspoon, T. G. Gerdine, and W. J. Peters. In Professional Paper 41, 1905, 50 cents. Not issued separately.
- Controller Bay region (No. 601A); scale, 1:62,500; 1907, by E. G. Hamilton and W. R. Hill. 35 cents retail or 21 cents wholesale. Also published in Bulletin 335, 1908, 70 cents.
- Headwater regions of Nabesna and White Rivers; scale 1:250,000, by D. C. Witherspoon, T. G. Gerdine, and S. R. Capps. In Bulletin 417, 1910, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Latouche Island, part of; scale, 1:21,120; by D. F. Higgins. In Bulletin 443, 1910, 45 cents. Not issued separately.
- Chitina quadrangle (No. 601); scale 1:250,000; 1914, by T. G. Gerdine, D. C. Witherspoon, and others. Sale edition exhausted. Also published in Bulletin 576, 1914, 30 cents.
- Nizina district (No. 601B); scale, 1:62,500; by D. C. Witherspoon and R. M. La Follette. In Bulletin 448, 1911, 40 cents. Not issued separately.
- Headwater regions of Gulkana and Susitna Rivers; scale 1:250,000; by D. C. Witherspoon, J. W. Bagley, and C. E. Giffin. In Bulletin 498, 1912, 35 cents. Not issued separately.
- Prince William Sound; scale 1:500,000; compiled. In Bulletin 526, 1913, 30 cents. Not issued separately.
- The Bering River coal field; scale, 1:62,500; 1915, by G. C. Martin. 25 cents retail or 15 cents wholesale.
- The Ellamar district (No. 602D); scale, 1:62,500; by R. H. Sargent and C. E. Giffin. In Bulletin 605, 1915, 25 cents. Not issued separately.

- Nelchina-Susitna region; scale, 1:250,000; by J. W. Bagley, T. G. Gerdine, and others. In Bulletin 668, 1918, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Upper Chitina Valley; scale, 1:250,000; by International Boundary Commission, F. H. Moffit, D. C. Witherspoon, and T. G. Gerdine. In Bulletin 675, 1918, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- The Kotsina-Kuskulana district (No. 601C); scale 1:62,500; 1922, by D. C. Witherspoon. 10 cents. Also published in Bulletin 745, 1923, 40 cents.
- Valdez and vicinity (No. 602B); scale, 1:62,500; 1929, by J. W. Bagley. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale.

In preparation

- Prince William Sound region; scale, 1:250,000; by J. W. Bagley, D. C. Witherspoon, and others.

COOK INLET AND SUSITNA REGION

REPORTS

- Geologic reconnaissance in the Matanuska and Talkeetna basins, by Sidney Paige and Adolph Knopf. Bulletin 327, 1907, 71 pp., 25 cents.
- *The Mount McKinley region, by A. H. Brooks. Professional Paper 70, 1911, 234 pp.
- A geologic reconnaissance of the Iliamna region, by G. C. Martin and F. J. Katz. Bulletin 485, 1912, 138 pp. 35 cents.
- Geology and coal fields of the lower Matanuska Valley, by G. C. Martin and F. J. Katz. Bulletin 500, 1912, 98 pp. 30 cents.
- The Yentna district, by S. R. Capps. Bulletin 534, 1913, 75 pp. 20 cents.
- *Geology and mineral resources of Kenai Peninsula, by G. C. Martin, B. L. Johnson, and U. S. Grant. Bulletin 587, 1915, 243 pp.
- The Willow Creek district, by S. R. Capps. Bulletin 607, 1915, 86 pp. 25 cents.
- The Broad Pass region, by F. H. Moffit and J. E. Pogue. Bulletin 608, 1915, 80 pp. 25 cents.
- The Nelchina-Susitna region, by Theodore Chapin. Bulletin 668, 1918, 67 pp. 25 cents.
- Platinum-bearing gold placers of Kahiltna Valley, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 692-D, 1919, pp. 233-264. 15 cents.
- *Mining developments in the Matanuska coal fields, by Theodore Chapin. In Bulletin 714, 1921. (See also Bulletin 692-D, 1919, 15 cents; and Bulletin *712, 1920.)
- *Lode developments in the Willow Creek district, by Theodore Chapin. In Bulletin 714, 1921. (See also Bulletin 642, 1916, 35 cents; Bulletin 692-D, 1919, 15 cents; and Bulletin *712, 1920.)
- Geology of the vicinity of Tuxedni Bay, Cook Inlet, by F. H. Moffit. In Bulletin 722, 1922, pp. 141-147. 25 cents.
- The Iniskin Bay district, by F. H. Moffit. In Bulletin 739, 1922, pp. 117-132. 25 cents.
- Chromite of Kenai Peninsula, by A. C. Gill. Bulletin 742, 1922, 52 pp. 15 cents.
- Geology and mineral resources of the region traversed by the Alaska Railroad, by S. R. Capps. In Bulletin 755, 1924, pp. 73-150. 40 cents.
- An early Tertiary placer deposit in the Yentna district, by S. R. Capps. In Bulletin 773, 1925, pp. 53-61. 40 cents.

- Mineral resources of the Kamishak Bay region, by K. F. Mather. In Bulletin 773, 1925, pp. 159-181. 40 cents.
- A ruby-silver prospect in Alaska, by S. R. Capps and M. N. Short. In Bulletin 783, 1927, pp. 89-95. 40 cents.
- The Iniskin-Chinitna Peninsula and the Snug Harbor district, Alaska, by F. H. Moffit. Bulletin 789, 1927, 71 pp. 50 cents.
- Geology of the upper Matanuska Valley, Alaska, by S. R. Capps, with a section on the igneous rocks, by J. B. Mertie, jr. Bulletin 791, 1927, 92 pp. 30 cents.
- Geology of the Knik-Matanuska district, Alaska, by K. K. Landes. In Bulletin 792, 1927, pp. 51-72. 25 cents.
- The Skwentna region, by S. R. Capps. In Bulletin 797, 1929, pp. 67-98, 80 cents.
- The Mount Spurr region, by S. R. Capps. In Bulletin 810, 1930, pp. 141-172. Free on application.
- The Chakachamna-Stony region, by S. R. Capps. In Bulletin 813, 1930, pp. 97-123. Free on application.

In preparation

- The Alaska Railroad route, by S. R. Capps.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

- Matanuska and Talkeetna region; scale, 1:250,000; by T. G. Gerdine and R. H. Sargent. In Bulletin 327, 1907, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Yentna district; scale, 1:250,000; by R. W. Porter. Revised edition. In Bulletin 534, 1913, 20 cents. Not issued separately.
- *Mount McKinley region; scale, 1:625,000; by D. L. Reaburn. In Professional Paper 70, 1911. Not issued separately.
- *Kenai Peninsula; scale, 1:250,000; by R. H. Sargent, J. W. Bagley, and others. In Bulletin 587, 1915. Not issued separately.
- *Moose Pass and vicinity; scale, 1:62,500; by J. W. Bagley. In Bulletin 587, 1915. Not issued separately.
- The Willow Creek district; scale, 1:62,500; by C. E. Giffin. In Bulletin 607, 1915, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Lower Matanuska Valley (No. 602A); scale, 1:62,500; 1918, by R. H. Sargent. 10 cents.
- Nelchina-Susitna region; scale, 1:250,000; by J. W. Bagley. In Bulletin 668, 1918, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Iniskin-Chinitna Peninsula, Cook Inlet region; scale, 1:62,500; 1922, by C. P. McKinley, D. C. Witherspoon, and Gerald FitzGerald (preliminary edition). Free on application. Also published in Bulletin 789, 1927. 50 cents.
- Iniskin Bay-Snug Harbor district, Cook Inlet region, Alaska; scale, 1:250,000; 1924, by C. P. McKinley and Gerald FitzGerald (preliminary edition). Free on application. Also published in Bulletin 789, 1927. 50 cents.
- The Alaska Railroad route: Seward to Matanuska coal field; scale, 1:250,000; 1924, by J. W. Bagley, T. G. Gerdine, R. H. Sargent, and others. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale.
- The Alaska Railroad route: Matanuska coal field to Yanert Fork; scale, 1:250,000; 1924, by J. W. Bagley, T. G. Gerdine, R. H. Sargent, and others. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale.
- The Alaska Railroad route: Yanert Fork to Fairbanks; scale, 1:250,000; 1924, by J. W. Bagley, T. G. Gerdine, R. H. Sargent, and others. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale.
- Upper Matanuska Valley; scale, 1:62,500; by R. H. Sargent. In Bulletin 791, 1927. 30 cents. Not issued separately.

In preparation

Mount Spurr region; scale, 1:250,000; by Gerald FitzGerald.

SOUTHWESTERN ALASKA

REPORTS

- *Geology and mineral resources of parts of Alaska Peninsula, by W. W. Atwood. Bulletin 467, 1911, 137 pp.
- A geologic reconnaissance of the Iliamna region, by G. C. Martin and F. J. Katz. Bulletin 485, 1912, 138 pp. 35 cents.
- Mineral deposits of Kodiak and the neighboring islands, by G. C. Martin. In Bulletin 542, 1913, pp. 125-136. 25 cents.
- The Lake Clark-central Kuskokwim region, by P. S. Smith. Bulletin 655, 1917, 162 pp. 30 cents.
- Beach placers of Kodiak Island, by A. G. Maddren. In Bulletin 692-E, 1919, pp. 299-319. 5 cents.
- Sulphur on Unalaska and Akun Islands and near Stepovak Bay, by A. G. Maddren. In Bulletin 692-E, 1919, pp. 283-298. 5 cents.
- The Cold Bay-Chignik district, by W. R. Smith and A. A. Baker. In Bulletin 755, 1924, pp. 151-218. 40 cents.
- The Cold Bay-Katmai district, by W. R. Smith. In Bulletin 773, 1925, pp. 183-207. 40 cents.
- The outlook for petroleum near Chignik, by G. C. Martin. In Bulletin 773, 1925, pp. 209-213. 40 cents.
- Mineral resources of the Kamishak Bay region, by K. F. Mather. In Bulletin 773, 1925, pp. 159-181. 40 cents.
- *Aniakchak Crater, Alaska Peninsula, by W. R. Smith. In Professional Paper 132, 1925, pp. 139-149.
- Geology and oil developments of the Cold Bay district, by W. R. Smith. In Bulletin 783, 1927, pp. 63-88. 40 cents.
- Geology and mineral resources of the Aniakchak district, by R. S. Knappen. In Bulletin 797, 1928, pp. 161-223. 80 cents.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

- *Herendeen Bay and Unga Island region; scale, 1:250,000; by H. M. Eakin. In Bulletin 467, 1911. Not issued separately.
- *Chignik Bay region; scale, 1:250,000; by H. M. Eakin. In Bulletin 467, 1911. Not issued separately.
- Iliamna region; scale, 1:250,000; by D. C. Witherspoon and C. E. Giffin. In Bulletin 485, 1912. 35 cents. Not issued separately.
- Kuskokwim River and Bristol Bay region; scale, 1:625,000; by W. S. Post. In Twentieth Annual Report, pt. 7, 1900. \$1.80. Not issued separately.
- Lake Clark-central Kuskokwim region; scale, 1:250,000; by R. H. Sargent, D. C. Witherspoon, and C. E. Giffin. In Bulletin 655, 1917. 30 cents. Not issued separately.
- Cold Bay-Chignik region, Alaska Peninsula, 1924; scale, 1:250,000; by R. K. Lynt and R. H. Sargent (preliminary edition). Free on application.
- Kamishak Bay-Katmai region, Alaska Peninsula, 1927; scale, 1:250,000; by R. H. Sargent and R. K. Lynt (preliminary edition). Free on application.
- Aniakchak district, Alaska Peninsula, 1927; scale, 1:250,000; by R. H. Sargent (preliminary edition). Free on application.
- Pavlof region, Alaska Peninsula, 1929; scale, 1:250,000; by C. P. McKinley (Natl. Geog. Soc. Expedition) (preliminary edition). Free on application.

YUKON AND KUSKOKWIM BASINS

REPORTS

- The Fortymile quadrangle, Yukon-Tanana region, by L. M. Prindle. Bulletin 375, 1909, 52 pp. 30 cents.
- Water-supply investigations in the Yukon-Tanana region, 1907 and 1908 (Fairbanks, Circle, and Rampart districts), by C. C. Covert and C. E. Ellsworth. Water-Supply Paper 228, 1909, 108 pp. 20 cents.
- Mineral resources of the Nabesna-White River district, by F. H. Moffit, Adolph Knopf, and S. R. Capps. Bulletin 417, 1910, 64 pp. 25 cents.
- The Bonnifield region, by S. R. Capps. Bulletin 501, 1912, 64 pp. 20 cents.
- A geologic reconnaissance of a part of the Rampart quadrangle, by H. M. Eakin. Bulletin 535, 1913, 38 pp. 20 cents.
- A geologic reconnaissance of the Fairbanks quadrangle, by L. M. Prindle and F. J. Katz. Bulletin 525, 1913, 220 pp. 55 cents.
- The Koyukuk-Chandalar region, by A. G. Maddren. Bulletin 532, 1913, 119 pp. 25 cents.
- A geologic reconnaissance of the Circle quadrangle, by L. M. Prindle. Bulletin 538, 1913, 82 pp. 30 cents.
- Surface water supply of the Yukon-Tanana region, by C. E. Ellsworth and R. W. Davenport. Water-Supply Paper 342, 1915, 343 pp. 45 cents.
- Gold placers of the lower Kuskokwim, with a note on copper in the Russian Mountains, by A. G. Maddren. In Bulletin 622, 1915, pp. 292-360. 30 cents.
- Quicksilver deposits of the Kuskokwim region, by P. S. Smith and A. G. Maddren. In Bulletin 622, 1915, pp. 272-291. 30 cents.
- The Chisana-White River district, by S. R. Capps. Bulletin 630, 1916, 130 pp. 20 cents.
- The Yukon-Koyukuk region, by H. M. Eakin. Bulletin 631, 1916, 88 pp. 20 cents.
- The gold placers of the Tolovana district, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 662, 1918, pp. 221-277. 75 cents.
- Lode mining in the Fairbanks district, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 662, 1918, pp. 403-424. 75 cents.
- Lode deposits near the Nenana coal field, by R. M. Overbeck. In Bulletin 662, 1918, pp. 351-362. 75 cents.
- The Lake Clark-central Kuskokwim region, by P. S. Smith. Bulletin 655, 1918, 162 pp. 30 cents.
- The Cosna-Nowitna region, by H. M. Eakin. Bulletin 667, 1918, 54 pp. 25 cents.
- The Anvik-Andreafski region, by G. L. Harrington. Bulletin 683, 1918, 70 pp. 30 cents.
- The Kantishna district, by S. R. Capps. Bulletin 687, 1919, 118 pp. 25 cents.
- The Nenana coal field, Alaska, by G. C. Martin. Bulletin 664, 1919, 54 pp. \$1.10.
- * The gold and platinum placers of the Tolstoi district, by G. L. Harrington. In Bulletin 692, 1919, pp. 339-351.
- * Mineral resources of the Goodnews Bay region, by G. L. Harrington. In Bulletin 714, 1921, pp. 207-228.
- Gold lodes in the upper Kuskokwim region, by G. C. Martin. In Bulletin 722, 1922, pp. 149-161. 25 cents.
- The occurrence of metalliferous deposits in the Yukon and Kuskokwim regions, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 739, 1922, pp. 149-165. 25 cents.

- The Ruby-Kuskokwim region, by J. B. Mertie, jr., and G. L. Harrington. Bulletin 754, 1924, 129 pp. 50 cents.
- Geology and gold placers of the Chandalar district, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 773, 1925, pp. 215-263. 40 cents.
- The Nixon Fork country, by J. S. Brown. In Bulletin 783, 1927, pp. 97-144. 40 cents.
- Silver-lead prospects near Ruby, by J. S. Brown. In Bulletin 783, 1927, pp. 145-150. 40 cents.
- The Toklat-Tonzona River region, by S. R. Capps. In Bulletin 792, 1927, pp. 73-110. 25 cents.
- Preliminary report on the Sheenjek River district, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 797, 1929, pp. 99-123. 80 cents.
- The Chandalar-Sheenjek district, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 810, 1930, pp. 87-139. Free on application.
- Mining in the Fortymile district, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 813, 1930, pp. 125-142. Free on application.
- Geology of the Eagle-Circle district, by J. B. Mertie, jr. Bulletin 816, 1930, 168 pp. Free on application.

In preparation

- Geologic reconnaissance of the Dennison Fork district, by J. B. Mertie, jr.
- Geology of the Yukon-Tanana region, by J. B. Mertie, jr.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

- Circle quadrangle (No. 641); scale, 1:250,000; 1911, by T. G. Gerdine, D. C. Witherspoon, and others. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 538, 1913, 20 cents.
- Koyukuk and Chandalar region, reconnaissance map; scale, 1:500,000; by T. G. Gerdine, D. L. Reaburn, D. C. Witherspoon, and A. G. Maddren. In Bulletin 532, 1913, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Fairbanks quadrangle (No. 642); scale 1:250,000; 1911, by T. G. Gerdine, D. C. Witherspoon, R. B. Oliver, and J. W. Bagley. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 337, 1908, 25 cents, and Bulletin 525, 1913, 55 cents.
- Fortymile quadrangle (No. 640); scale, 1:250,000; 1902, by E. C. Barnard. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 375, 1909, 30 cents.
- Rampart quadrangle (No. 643); scale, 1:250,000; 1913, by D. C. Witherspoon and R. B. Oliver. 20 cents retail or 12 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 337, 1908, 25 cents, and part in Bulletin 535, 1913, 20 cents.
- Fairbanks special (No. 642A); scale, 1:62,500; 1908, by T. G. Gerdine and R. H. Sargent. 20 cents retail or 12 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 525, 1913, 55 cents.
- Bonnifield region; scale, 1:250,000; by J. W. Bagley, D. C. Witherspoon, and C. E. Giffin. In Bulletin 501, 1912, 20 cents. Not issued separately.
- Iditarod-Ruby region; scale, 1:250,000; by C. G. Anderson, W. S. Post, and others. In Bulletin 578, 1914, 35 cents. Not issued separately.
- Middle Kuskokwim and lower Yukon region; scale, 1:500,000; by C. G. Anderson, W. S. Post, and others. In Bulletin 578, 1914, 35 cents. Not issued separately.
- Chisana-White River region; scale, 1:250,000; by C. E. Giffin and D. C. Witherspoon. In Bulletin 630, 1916, 20 cents. Not issued separately.
- Yukon-Koyukuk region; scale, 1:500,000; by H. M. Eakin. In Bulletin 631, 1916, 20 cents. Not issued separately.

- Cosna-Nowitna region; scale, 1:250,000; by H. M. Eakin, C. E. Giffin, and R. B. Oliver. In Bulletin 667, 1917, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Lake Clark-central Kuskokwim region; scale, 1:250,000; by R. H. Sargent, D. C. Witherspoon, and C. E. Giffin. In Bulletin 655, 1917, 30 cents. Not issued separately.
- Anvik-Andreafski region; scale, 1:250,000; by R. H. Sargent. In Bulletin 683, 1918, 30 cents. Not issued separately.
- Marshall district; scale, 1:125,000; by R. H. Sargent. In Bulletin 683, 1918, 30 cents. Not issued separately.
- Upper Tanana Valley region; scale, 1:125,000; 1922, by D. C. Witherspoon and J. W. Bagley (preliminary edition). Free on application.
- Lower Kuskokwim region; scale, 1:500,000; 1921, by A. G. Maddren and R. H. Sargent (preliminary edition). Free on application.
- Ruby district; scale, 1:250,000; 1921, by C. E. Giffin and R. H. Sargent (preliminary edition). Free on application. Also in Bulletin 754, 1924. 50 cents.
- Innoko-Iditarod region; scale, 1:250,000; 1921, by R. H. Sargent and C. G. Anderson (preliminary edition). Free on application. Also in Bulletin 754, 1924. 50 cents.
- Nixon Fork region; scale, 1:250,000; 1926, by R. H. Sargent (preliminary edition). Free on application.
- Chandalar-Sheenjek district; scale, 1:500,000; by Gerald FitzGerald and J. O. Kilmartin. In Bulletin 810. Free on application. Not issued separately.

SEWARD PENINSULA

REPORTS

- The Fairhaven gold placers, Seward Peninsula, by F. H. Moffit. Bulletin 247, 1905, 85 pp. 40 cents.
- The gold placers of parts of Seward Peninsula, including the Nome, Council, Kougarok, Port Clarence, and Goodhope precincts, by A. J. Collier, F. L. Hess, P. S. Smith, and A. H. Brooks. Bulletin 328, 1908, 343 pp. 70 cents.
- Geology of the Seward Peninsula tin deposits, by Adolph Knopf. Bulletin 358, 1908, 71 pp. 15 cents.
- Geology and mineral resources of the Solomon and Casadepaga quadrangles, Seward Peninsula, by P. S. Smith. Bulletin 433, 1910, 234 pp. 40 cents.
- A geologic reconnaissance in southeastern Seward Peninsula and the Norton Bay-Nulato region, by P. S. Smith and H. M. Eakin. Bulletin 449, 1911, 146 pp. 30 cents.
- Geology of the Nome and Grand Central quadrangles, by F. H. Moffit. Bulletin 533, 1913, 140 pp. 60 cents.
- Surface water supply of Seward Peninsula, by F. F. Henshaw and G. L. Parker, with a sketch of the geography and geology by P. S. Smith, and a description of methods of placer mining, by A. H. Brooks. Water-Supply Paper 314, 1913, 317 pp. 45 cents.
- *The gold and platinum placers of the Kiwalik-Koyuk region, by G. L. Harrington. In Bulletin 692, 1919, pp. 368-400.
- Metalliferous lodes of southern Seward Peninsula, by S. H. Cathcart. In Bulletin 722, 1922, pp. 163-261. 25 cents.
- The geology of the York tin deposits, by Edward Steidtmann and S. H. Cathcart. Bulletin 733, 1922, 130 pp. 30 cents.

Pliocene and Pleistocene fossils from the Arctic coast of Alaska and the auriferous beaches of Nome, Norton Sound, by W. H. Dall. Professional Paper 125-C, 1921, 15 pp. 10 cents.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

- Seward Peninsula; scale 1:500,000; compiled from work of D. C. Witherspoon, T. G. Gerdine, and others, of the Geological Survey, and all other available sources. In Water-Supply Paper 314, 1913, 45 cents. Not issued separately.
- Seward Peninsula, northeastern portion, reconnaissance map (No. 655); scale, 1:250,000; 1905, by D. C. Witherspoon and C. E. Hill. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 247, 1905, 40 cents.
- Seward Peninsula, northwestern portion, reconnaissance map (No. 657); scale, 1:250,000; 1907, by T. G. Gerdine and D. C. Witherspoon. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 328, 1908, 70 cents.
- Seward Peninsula, southern portion, reconnaissance map (No. 656); scale, 1:250,000; 1907, by E. C. Barnard, T. G. Gerdine, and others. 50 cents retail or 30 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 328, 1908, 70 cents.
- Seward Peninsula, southeastern portion, reconnaissance map; scale, 1:250,000; by D. C. Witherspoon, D. L. Reaburn, H. M. Eakin, and others. In Bulletin 449, 1911, 30 cents. Not issued separately.
- Nulato-Norton Bay region; scale, 1:500,000; by P. S. Smith, H. M. Eakin, and others. In Bulletin 449, 1911, 30 cents. Not issued separately.
- Grand Central quadrangle (No. 646A); scale, 1:62,500; 1906, by T. G. Gerdine, R. B. Oliver, and W. R. Hill. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 533, 1913, 60 cents.
- Nome quadrangle (No. 646B); scale, 1:62,500; 1906, by T. G. Gerdine, R. B. Oliver, and W. R. Hill. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 533, 1913, 60 cents.
- Casadepaga quadrangle (No. 646C); scale, 1:62,500; 1907, by T. G. Gerdine, W. B. Corse, and B. A. Yoder. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 433, 1910, 40 cents.
- Solomon quadrangle (No. 646D); scale 1:62,500; 1907, by T. G. Gerdine, W. B. Corse, and B. A. Yoder. 10 cents retail or 6 cents wholesale. Also in Bulletin 433, 1910, 40 cents.

NORTHERN ALASKA

REPORTS

- A reconnaissance in northern Alaska in 1901, by F. C. Schrader, with notes by W. J. Peters. Professional Paper 20, 1904, 139 pp. 40 cents.
- Geology and coal resources of the Cape Lisburne region, by A. J. Collier. Bulletin 278, 1906, 54 pp. 15 cents.
- Geologic investigations along the Canada-Alaska boundary, by A. G. Maddren. In Bulletin 520, 1912, pp. 297-314. 50 cents.
- The Noatak-Kobuk region, by P. S. Smith. Bulletin 536, 1913, 160 pp. 40 cents.
- The Koyukuk-Chandalar region, by A. G. Maddren. Bulletin 532, 1913, 119 pp. 25 cents.
- The Canning River region of northern Alaska, by E. de K. Leffingwell. Professional Paper 109, 1919, 251 pp. 75 cents.
- Pliocene and Pleistocene fossils from the Arctic coast of Alaska and the auriferous beaches of Nome, Norton Sound, by W. H. Dall. Professional Paper 125-C, 1921, 15 pp. 10 cents.

- *A reconnaissance of the Point Barrow region, by Sidney Paige and others. Bulletin 772, 1925, 33 pp.
- Summary of recent surveys in northern Alaska, by P. S. Smith, J. B. Mertie, jr., and W. T. Foran. In Bulletin 783, 1926, pp. 151-168. 40 cents.
- Geologic investigations in northern Alaska, 1925, by Philip S. Smith. In Bulletin 792, 1927, pp. 111-122. 25 cents.
- Surveys in northwestern Alaska in 1926, by Philip S. Smith. In Bulletin 797, 1928, pp. 125-142. 80 cents.
- Preliminary report on the Sheenjek River district, Alaska, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 797, 1928, pp. 99-123. 80 cents.
- The Chandalar-Sheenjek district, by J. B. Mertie, jr. In Bulletin 810, 1930, pp. 87-139. Free on application.

In preparation

- Geography and geology of northwestern Alaska, by Philip S. Smith and J. B. Mertie, jr. Bulletin 815.

TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS

- Koyukuk River to mouth of Colville River, including John River; scale, 1:1,250,000; by W. J. Peters. In Professional Paper 20, 1904, 40 cents. Not issued separately.
- Koyukuk and Chandalar region, reconnaissance map; scale, 1:500,000; by T. G. Gerdine, D. L. Reaburn, D. C. Witherspoon, and A. G. Maddren. In Bulletin 532, 1913, 25 cents. Not issued separately.
- Noatak-Kobuk region; scale 1:500,000; by C. E. Giffin, D. L. Reaburn, H. M. Eakin, and others. In Bulletin 536, 1913, 40 cents. Not issued separately.
- Canning River region; scale, 1:250,000; by E. de K. Leffingwell. In Professional Paper 109, 1919, 75 cents. Not issued separately.
- North Arctic coast; scale, 1:1,000,000; by E. de K. Leffingwell. In Professional Paper 109, 1919, 75 cents. Not issued separately.
- Martin Point to Thetis Island; scale, 1:125,000; by E. de K. Leffingwell. In Professional Paper 109, 1919, 75 cents. Not issued separately.
- Chandalar-Sheenjek district; scale, 1:500,000; by Gerald FitzGerald and J. O. Kilmartin. In Bulletin 810. Free on application. Not issued separately.

In preparation

- Northwestern Alaska; scale, 1:500,000; by Gerald FitzGerald and others.



